

faegredrinker.com

Susan M. Sharko
Partner
susan.sharko@faegredrinker.com
973-549-7350 direct

Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jersey 07932 +1 973 549 7000 main +1 973 360 9831 fax

Via ECF

May 8, 2024

Honorable Rukhsanah L. Singh, U.S. Magistrate Judge United States District Court- District of NJ Clarkson S. Fisher Building & U.S. Courthouse 402 East State Street, Court Room 7W Trenton, NJ 08608

Re: In re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Products
Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation MDL 2738

Dear Magistrate Judge Singh:

I write on behalf of the Johnson & Johnson Defendants to provide a copy of the transcript of the May 3, 2024, continued plenary hearing regarding disqualification of Beasley Allen. This is the transcript produced by the court reporter retained jointly by the parties. The official transcript prepared through the audio recording in the court room is not yet available.

Thank you for your consideration of these matters.

Respectfully,

<u>/s/ Susan M. Sharko</u>

Susan M. Sharko

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

SMS/scg

Encl: (1)

Cc: All counsel of record (via ECF)

	Page 1	
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY	
	LAW DIVISION - ATLANTIC COUNTY	
2	Docket No. ATL-L-2648-15	
3		
	IN RE: TALC-BASED POWDER : CIVIL ACTION	
4	PRODUCTS LITIGATION : CASE NO. 300	
5		
6		
	TRANSCRIPT OF PLENARY HEARING	
7	(VOLUME III)	
8		
9	PLACE: ATLANTIC COUNTY CIVIL COURTHOUSE	
1.0	1201 BACHARACH BOULEVARD	
10	ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY	
11 12	DATE: MAY 3, 2024 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JOHN C. PORTO, P.J.Cv.	
12	THE HONORABLE RUKHSANAH L. SINGH	
13	U.S. DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE	
14	O.B. DIBIRICI COORT MAGISTRATE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	Stenographically reported and transcribed by:	
22	Constance E. Perks, CRR, CCR, CRC, RSA	
	NJ CCR License #30XI00142900	
23		
24	GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES, LLC	
	ph 877.370.3377 fax 917.591.5672	
25	deps@golkow.com	

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
	FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
3	BY: JEFFREY M. POLLOCK, ESQUIRE
	AUSTIN HILTON, ESQUIRE
4	Princeton Pike Corporate Center
	997 Lenox Drive
5	Lawrenceville, New Jersey 08648
	609.896.3600
6	jpollock@foxrothschild.com
	ahilton@foxrothschild.com
7	Representing Andy D. Birchfield, Jr., Beasley Allen
8	
•	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
9	BY: STEPHEN D. BRODY, ESQUIRE
1.0	1625 Eye Street N.W.
10	Washington, DC 20006
1 1	202.383.5300
11	sbrody@omm.com
12	Representing Johnson & Johnson, LTL Management, LLC
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	Erik Haas, Johnson & Johnson
	World Wide Vice President, Litigation
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 32149 Filed 05/08/24 Page 4 of 211 PageID: 181632

			Page 3
1			
		EXAMINATION	
2			
3			
	WITNESS: A	NDY BIRCHFIELD	PAGE
4			
	Cross		22
5			
	Redirect		86
6			
_	Recross	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	138
7	,,		1.45
0	Further Red	irect	147
8 9			
10			
10		EXHIBITS	
11			
12	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	ID EVD
13	J&J 1A	Transcript Excerpt	147
14	J&J 1B	Transcript Excerpt	147
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

	Page 4
1	(Hearing commenced at 9:38 a.m.)
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning,
3	everyone. Please be seated. Very nice to see
4	everyone.
5	This is In Re: Talc, In Re: Johnson &
6	Johnson Talc-Based Products Litigation, Docket No.
7	ATL-L-2648-15, and MDL Case 2738, MCL Case 300.
8	May I have the appearance of counsel.
9	We'll start with Mr. Pollock.
10	MR. POLLOCK: Good morning, Your
11	Honor. How are you?
12	THE COURT: Fine, thank you. Good to
13	see you.
14	MR. POLLOCK: I'm here on behalf of
15	Mr. Birchfield and the Beasley Allen law firm, and
16	I'm joined with my associate, Austin Hilton.
17	MR. BRODY: Thank you. Good morning,
18	everyone.
19	And Mr. Brody.
20	MR. BRODY: Good morning, Your Honor.
21	Steve Brody from O'Melveny & Myers for Johnson &
22	Johnson and LLT Management LLC.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning
24	to you.
25	Mr. Haas.

Page 5 MR. HAAS: Good morning, Your Honors. 1 2. Erik Haas on behalf of Johnson & Johnson. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning 4 to you. 5 We've been together so many times. We have a continuation of your client's testimony, 6 7 Mr. Pollock. We've got the correspondence. We've got the attorney's eyes only material. And anything 8 9 to address before we go forward with the testimony? 10 MR. POLLOCK: I think there's two 11 preliminary matters, and I -- you know, the Courts, 12 Courts -- the Courts can run this any way they want. 13 One is the admission of P-5. 14 P-5 was the document that was the 15 response from Mr. Conlan. The issue that -- we 16 tried to work it out as counsel. We could not. 17 Opposing counsel is objecting to the statement by 18 Mr. Conlan, he did not recommend. There was 19 testimony on this point. I submitted the 20 correspondence. At some point, we need to address 21 that issue. It doesn't need to be addressed now. 2.2 If you want to, we can, but it's completely up to 23 you and to my opposing counsel. 2.4 The second one is the belated 2.5 submission of timesheets in a redacted format.

Page 6 I have -- I don't know whether you want to hear oral 1 2. argument on this now, or do you want formal submissions, but I object to it. I object to it 3 because I asked for it prior to these hearings. 4 Ι 5 objected to it because Yuna says that you can produce it in camera, but it didn't say you can 6 7 produce it in camera after three witnesses, three key witnesses have taken the stand and left; and, in 8 particular, Mr. Conlan, who they are attacking. 9 10 If they had wanted -- they've had 11 these documents. They prepared an Excel sheet. So, 12 if you want me to, I can prepare a formal response, 13 but I understand the Court didn't invite submission 14 of these documents, and I read the transcript and 15 I'm sure you know what you said. 16 But to me, this is completely 17 violative of due process as far as Mr. Conlan's 18 rights, and I don't represent him, but the fact is, 19 by the implication, if you will, they're saying the 20 two of us are hooked together. 21 So I would like to know, does the 2.2 Court want oral argument right now? Does it want to have briefing later on? How would Your Honors like 23 24 to proceed, because I do object to their admission. 2.5 THE COURT: Let's hear from Mr. Brody

Page 7

first. And then what we can do is -- I'm not so sure about oral arguments now. Maybe let's start with the testimony. Judge Singh and I will confer, after we hear from counsel, and then we will render our thoughts and decision on that issue. Okay?

Mr. Brody.

MR. BRODY: Certainly, Your Honor.

And as Your Honors are aware, after the lunch break on April 10th, the Court indicated that Johnson & Johnson would be entitled to make an in camera attorneys' eyes only submission with redactions where we felt redactions needed to be made in order to maintain privilege, really as impeachment of instances where Mr. Conlan testified to things that are flatly contradicted by the record of what he did as J&J's counsel, J&J's outside counsel on the talc litigation on these very matters over the course of 20 months where he represented the company. And so that's what we did.

I think that -- I don't think there's an objection to the submission of impeachment evidence that would be proper. I do think that if Mr. Pollock wants to, in the briefing that Your Honors have indicated that you wish to receive from the parties after the conclusion of this hearing, if

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 8 he wants to argue as to how that should or should 1 2. not be considered by the Court, and the weight that it should be given as impeachment, he is certainly 3 entitled to do that, and the briefing will give him 4 5 the opportunity to do that, and the Court then will have an opportunity to decide how, based on 6 7 briefing, it wants to consider those materials and the extent to which it believes those materials are 8 9 material to its evaluation of Mr. Conlan's credibility and his testimony overall. 10 THE COURT: Well, our record is not 11 12 closed, and there's not been any decision on closing 13 the record. So, you know, keeping in mind we also have a confrontation issue, right, with regard to 14 15 certain material, and it's -- I'm going to suggest 16 to you that Judge Singh and I, perhaps, anticipated 17 this argument, that maybe there's another way to address this, Mr. Brody and Mr. Pollock. 18 19 So let's start with the testimony. 20 Judge Singh and I will confer, and we'll address 21 counsel. All right? 2.2 MR. POLLOCK: Thank you. 23 MR. BRODY: Understood. 24 THE COURT: With the testimony today of Mr. Birchfield, can you give us at least an 2.5

Page 9 understanding or a heads-up with regard to time 1 2. frame? This is a continuation, candidly, of 3 Mr. Birchfield's testimony. Are we going to be all day? 4 5 MR. BRODY: No, I don't think we're going to be all day. And I was looking back at the 6 7 transcript from April 10th and I saw that I told the Court at 4:30 p.m. that day that I thought I had 30 8 9 minutes left. It might be 40. But I'm going to try 10 to hold close to what I said on the 10th. 11 THE COURT: It just gives us an idea 12 of about where our day is. Okay. 13 MR. BRODY: Obviously, how long we're 14 here then will depend on what Mr. Pollock raises 15 with Mr. Birchfield and any redirect that I may 16 have. 17 Thank you, Mr. Brody. THE COURT: 18 Mr. Pollock, any thoughts? We don't 19 have a time -- you know, we don't have a stop watch 20 on everybody. Just to give us an idea. 21 MR. POLLOCK: I acknowledge the fact 2.2 that I respect both judges have been patient and 23 you've committed the time to this hearing. I do thank you for that. I understand we also want to 24 25 get this job done, and I respect that, too.

Page 10

It did not miss me that yesterday

Mr. Haas went out on national press on the news and
attacked Mr. Birchfield and his firm on the same

vein he's attacking him here today; that this is
self-interest, that this is just a big pay day for

Beasley Allen, et cetera.

I'm also very mindful that with regard to the -- one of the things they are directly attacking is whether Beasley Allen should maintain its position on the talc claimants committee and should it be involved in the future.

I have to take this job seriously, because I respect and I know the Beasley Allen firm is committed to what it is doing. I have to allay any concerns you have that that firm is not out there for a pay day. They are out there to protect their clients. Mr. Birchfield will explain that on the stand. And, respectfully, it takes whatever time it takes. I will do it as quickly as I can.

But, obviously, we were very patient with Mr. Haas, who talked ad nauseam in narrative.

And I, therefore, I don't want to waste your time, but I also -- I can't commit to a specific time frame. I will do it as quickly and efficiently as I can. But as you both understand, this is a very

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 11 serious matter for a firm that is completely 1 2. committed to its clients, and I have to protect 3 them. THE COURT: This Court -- I didn't 4 5 see any comments, and I will have Judge Singh address it, too. This Court has no position with 6 regard to Mr. Birchfield or J&J. The decision here 7 with regard to this decision is going to be based on 8 the facts and the facts only. Whatever is going on 10 in the litigation and whatever the comments are, pro 11 or against any of the firms have no bearing, Mr. Pollock, Mr. Brody, on this Court's decision on 12 13 this particular issue, or even going forward, should we have any substantive issues. 14 We have a trial coming up in 15 16 September, although it's not with Beasley Allen. 17 But under no circumstances have I heard or thought 18 anything that would cause this Court to recuse 19 itself or to issue an adverse decision related to 20 either J&J or Beasley Allen. 21 MR. POLLOCK: Right. So, Judge, just 2.2 to -- and look, you have both dealt with me for a 23 long time now. I am pretty straight to the point. The real question is 1.6. You and I talked about 24 2.5 that; was there a disclosure. There's been zero

Page 12

evidence of a disclosure. None. There's been not even one proffer that there's a disclosure.

So my firm belief is the real reason that J&J is pursuing this nonsense is that they believe that they can attack in the news, in the press, every place in the world, and especially before a federal court, and perhaps in state court, they can attack the Beasley Allen firm and say this firm has got to go, it's a problem child, it shouldn't be here. And that is -- it is absolutely crystal clear to me that's what's going on.

So I do understand that's the decision you're making here today, Judge Porto.

You're here to decide whether a motion to disqualify should be granted, but you're also both smart enough to know this case has a life. And the fact is,

Mr. Murdica is out there beating the band trying to collect plaintiffs' lawyers so that Mr. Haas can get to his 70 or 75 percent of the people, which he announced in the national press yesterday. They are playing this whole process for time.

So I do agree that we are here on a very specific little matter and, frankly, shouldn't be here at all, in my humble view, but I also am quite aware that there's a broader spectrum. And

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 13 that's why I have to go into some detail with regard 1 2. to the commitment the Beasley Allen firm has, the 3 fact that it did not need any information, whatsoever, from Jim Conlan, because they knew far 4 5 more than Jim Conlan would ever have known regarding any of these matters. I have to be able to develop 6 7 that theme. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And Judge Singh? JUDGE SINGH: No, I would like to 9 I do appreciate the concerns. It is 10 comment. 11 patently evident that there are things going on 12 between the parties in the media. That is not what 13 is before the Court. I echo Judge Porto's comments 14 in that regard. What is before the Court is, at least 15 16 in the MDL motion, the order, the request for the 17 entry of an order to show cause as to the 18 disqualification. We are here to hear the evidence. 19 Although we are asking in terms of managing our 20 expectations for today's time frame, I don't believe Judge Porto or I have any intent on limiting the 21 2.2 testimony without actually hearing what will be presented on the front end as to what Mr. Birchfield 23 24 intends to testify in response to your examination. 2.5 But I would like to take this

Page 14 opportunity to again remind the parties that we are 1 2. here to determine this particular issue, based off of the record presented, not only the declarations 3 and certifications previously submitted, but also 4 5 the hours of testimony that both Judge Porto and I have had the opportunity to hear. 6 7 So thank you, Judge Porto. THE COURT: You're welcome. 8 9 MR. POLLOCK: Thank you. 10 MR. BRODY: And if I may briefly 11 respond on behalf of Johnson & Johnson and Mr. Haas. 12 Mr. Haas -- and first of all, I think 13 that the events of this week, frankly, are relevant 14 to the disqualification issue that is before the 15 Court, because they speak to the ongoing prejudice 16 to Johnson & Johnson of having Beasley Allen and 17 Mr. Birchfield and Ms. O'Dell both representing 18 plaintiffs in the litigation, in the MDL, in the MCL 19 on a going-forward basis as evidenced by the events 20 this week, where Johnson & Johnson announced a 21 proposed plan that would resolve these cases. 2.2 It was immediately criticized in the 23 press by Mr. Birchfield and by Ms. O'Dell, by the 24 Beasley Allen firm. I mean, within an hour or so of when it was announced. And Mr. Haas responded to 2.5

Page 15 1 the statements that were made first by Mr. Birchfield. 2. And so it's -- but, as a whole, it's 3 something that we will likely get into to a degree 4 5 today because it is directly relevant to how the parties are positioned, where Beasley Allen and 6 7 Mr. Birchfield are positioned with the benefit of their relationship that they have, as Judge 8 9 Schneider referred to as a collaboration, with 10 Mr. -- with Mr. Conlan over a long period of time, 11 unbeknownst to Johnson & Johnson last year. 12 And it creates what is not just a 1.6 13 issue, and I understand why Mr. Pollock repeatedly refers to this as a narrow 1.6 issue, but it's a 1.9 14 15 issue, it's a Rule 5.3 issue, it's a Rule 8.4 issue. 16 It is much broader than where Mr. Pollock wants to 17 put it. But all of this, including the events of 18 this week, are relevant to that question and to the 19 Court's evaluation of what is the right answer going 20 forward and what has to happen here. 21 MR. POLLOCK: May I respond, Your 2.2 Honor? 23 THE COURT: Sure. But let's keep in mind that this Court, you know, we all live in the 24 world, these Courts live in the real world. 2.5

Page 16 understand what's going on, and we can focus on what is at issue here. And I can assure you, whatever is out there is not going to affect this Court's decision with regard to the underlying issue of disqualification. MR. POLLOCK: I understand, Your Honor. And I'm not arguing, I'm not guibbling at all. The only point I would make on that is what you say and how you say it is going to have ramifications and reverberations down the road, right, because the fact is these -- this transcript, these hearings, these discussions, I promise you Mr. Birchfield and his firm will be seeing it for years, and Mr. Haas and Mr. Brody or someone else will be using it as a lever for years to come. how we articulate it is going to be important.

If you will, I would like to step back for one second. When we first talked, years ago, it was literally last year, we were talking about Trupos, we were talking about Yuna, O Builders, et cetera. And when you -- I spent, I don't know, 20 years, 15 years on the ethics committee and another 10 on the character and fitness committee. When someone is being attacked, where someone is being accused of an ethical

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Page 17 violation, it usually is referred to the ethics 1 2. committee. That's what those people do. 3 what I did for years. And, typically, the person who is 4 5 being accused or being challenged or being -- being attacked is told exactly what it is they're alleged 6 7 to have done. They're told with precision, This is the five funds you should not have taken; this was 8 9 the alcoholism and drug, which unfortunately is half 10 the matters we deal with. It was a specific 11 allegation of negligence and miscarriage, or 12 something along those lines. 13 In this case, it has been a constant 14 morphing. I had to -- with Mr. Brody's first oral 15 argument before you, Judge Porto, was there is no 16 ethical violation, no RPC on point that we can find. 17 None. 18 Judge Singh, you asked the question 19 pointedly: Do I need to find an RPC violation to 20 disqualify? Answer from Mr. Brody: No. 21 He and I disagree vehemently on this 2.2 point. And by the way, I think the Supreme Court of 23 New Jersey is squarely in my corner on this one. 24 Once you got rid of the appearance of impropriety, which they did in 1984, under the Pollock 2.5

Page 18 Commission --1 2. THE COURT: 1993? 3 MR. POLLOCK: I'm sorry. '93, I apologize. 4 5 Once they came out with that one, at that point, the reality is that we shouldn't be here 6 7 because I understand you want to do a credibility determination. If that's what we're focused on, 8 9 then the credibility can be determined by, Is Andy an honest guy? Is Andy's firm committed to his 10 11 clients? You know, Why are they opposing the 12 bankruptcy? If you want to, you can get into all of 13 those issues. 14 But what Mr. Brody now is saying is 15 let's just try all the issues right here. I'm ready 16 to do it. If that's what we want to do, I'm ready 17 to do it, and we will proceed. But I just think 18 that what the Supreme -- the reason the Supreme 19 Court said you should decide it on the papers, 20 unless -- and you grabbed onto this, Judge Porto, 21 and I'm not criticizing you, but you appropriately 2.2 said: Hey, I got some issues. I want to look at 23 credibility. 24 It's not supposed to be a free for all. Now I'm looking at RPC 1.6, sharing of 2.5

Page 19 confidential information. Somehow I'm now looking 1 at 1.9 and 1.10. I've got other rules I haven't 2. 3 even looked at yet that Mr. Brody is now articulating. I have never in my 35 years of 4 5 practice seen an ethics matter, which this is 6 becoming, alleged where someone is not told, This is 7 what you're accused of doing. And that is a real 8 problem here. 9 So I -- if we want to have the scope 10 be broad, Mr. Brody is arguing that this week's 11 discussion showed that, you know, that Mr. Haas is a 12 great guy and Beasley Allen is a bad guy. How is 13 that conceivably relevant in any way to the question of whether Mr. Conlan shared confidential 14 15 information with my client. Isn't that the issue 16 we're here before you. 17 THE COURT: That's what Judge Singh and I believe the issue is. 18 19 MR. POLLOCK: So to me, if we go 20 miles and miles beyond that, it has ramifications --21 THE COURT: I haven't seen it go that 2.2 far, and I don't think --23 MR. POLLOCK: He just argued he wants 2.4 to do it. I didn't hear that yet. 2.5 THE COURT:

Page 20 But, you know, it squarely is: Did Mr. Conlan share 1 2. confidential information with Beasley Allen? 3 know, if I were to write a decision today, I would say, The issue before this Court is: Did Mr. Conlan 4 5 share confidential Johnson & Johnson information with Beasley Allen. 6 7 I'm with you. MR. POLLOCK: THE COURT: And I would then start 8 9 with the, as we did in law school: rules, analysis, 10 conclusion. 11 MR. POLLOCK: Yup. 12 THE COURT: Credibility based on the 13 seven factors that are found, candidly, in our evidence rules, consistency, et cetera, does it make 14 sense. And understandably, I was also thinking 15 16 about this on my way in, is you have the charge 17 where "is false in one false in all," does that come 18 into play with regard to credibility. 19 So I think, and I will turn the dais, 20 the bench over to Judge Singh, but that's the issue 21 that we're here for. That's it. 2.2 Judge Singh? 23 JUDGE SINGH: I don't disagree. you are all familiar with federal court standards 24 for motions for disqualification, as well. 2.5

Page 21 are looking at the same issue and the ramifications 1 thereof. 3 MR. BRODY: Absolutely. And the questioning that I -- the 30, 40 minutes that I have 4 5 is focused on wrapping up the rest of that and, hopefully, giving the Court what it needs in order 6 7 to evaluate the questions that it's going to have to evaluate here. 8 9 It's -- you know, certainly, this is 10 not an appearance of impropriety case; this is a 11 case of actual impropriety. And we are looking 12 forward, based on the record that has been 13 developed, to setting that out, and in the briefing 14 that the Court has asked for, and explaining 15 precisely why it is that you have actual 16 impropriety, you have actual violations of the 17 ethical rules, and why it is that disqualification 18 is required here. 19 Thank you, Your Honors. MR. POLLOCK: 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 21 Mr. Birchfield, we'll administer the 2.2 oath one more time, today, May 3rd, 2024. Mr. Birchfield, please raise your 23 right hand, tell us your name, and spell your last 24 2.5 name, sir.

```
Page 22
                    THE WITNESS: Andy Birchfield,
1
2.
     B-I-R-C-H-F-I-E-L-D.
3
                    ANDY BIRCHFIELD, having been duly
4
5
     sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
6
7
                    THE COURT: Thank you. You may be
     seated.
8
9
                    Mr. Brody.
10
11
                      CROSS EXAMINATION
12
13
     BY MR. BRODY:
14
                    Good morning, Mr. Birchfield.
            0.
15
            Α.
                    Good morning.
16
                    You recall providing testimony on
            Ο.
17
     April 10th about a draft term sheet that was
     exchanged between your firm and Legacy?
18
19
            Α.
                    Yes.
20
                    All right. And we had looked at --
            Q.
21
     we had given you a copy of the full privilege log
22
     that was prepared by the plaintiffs steering
     committee asserting mediation privilege over
23
24
     documents that we had subpoenaed from KCIC.
2.5
                    Do you recall that?
```

Page 23 1 Α. Yes. 2. Ο. All right. I have prepared, and if I 3 may approach, an excerpt of that to make it a little easier to talk about the term sheet. I'm happy to 4 5 give you the full privilege log, as well. I see you 6 didn't bring a copy of it up with you. 7 prepared an excerpt with the references to the term sheet taken directly from that, which I thought 8 9 would make it easier. 10 If I may approach? 11 THE COURT: You may approach. Did 12 you share that with Mr. Pollock? 13 MR. POLLOCK: Yes, I have a copy, 14 Your Honor. 15 BY MR. BRODY: 16 And I can give you a bigger one, Ο. which has larger font, if you think it would be 17 18 helpful. 19 I'll use this. Let me try. Α. 20 Now, we're going to take this in Q. 21 chronological order. We have sorted the spreadsheet 2.2 that the PSC provided to us and we put it in chronological order here. 23 24 So, going in chronological order, starting at the top, the first time we see your name 2.5

	Page 24
1	is on May 12th of last year, right? It's the second
2	entry.
3	A. The second entry on here is May 12th,
4	yes.
5	Q. And you're listed as the author,
6	correct?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And you're listed as the author of a
9	Draft Term Sheet for Legacy Ovarian Cancer Claim
10	Proposal, right?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. All right. And then if you if you
13	continue just below the two entries with your name,
14	you see that on the 16th of May, Mr. Conlan
15	MR. POLLOCK: I think mine is May
16	24th. On the top. Okay. Got it.
17	BY MR. BRODY:
18	Q. The document number for the record
19	is 111. Do you see it?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And so on the 16th, Mr. Conlan
22	forwarded the proposal to KCIC, right?
23	A. I see that entry.
24	Q. And the plaintiffs steering committee
25	has asserted mediation privilege over that

		Page 25
1	communication,	as well, right?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Because your position is that the
4	mediation priv	ilege covers Mr. Conlan's
5	communications	with KCIC in this instance, right?
6	Α.	I presume so.
7	Q.	As well as what you wrote in your
8	communications	with Mr. Conlan, correct?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	And there are a number of emails back
11	and forth addre	essing the term sheet. And then, if
12	we go down into	the green, which gets us to
13	May 24th, which	n is about 12 days after your name
14	first appears,	we see your name again, right? It's
15	number 20 in th	ne document number column.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	And that's May 24th, 2023, correct?
18	А.	Yes.
19	Q.	And that's, again, the Draft Term
20	Sheet for Legac	cy Ovarian Cancer Claim Proposal,
21	right?	
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	But this time, what was on the 12th
24	of May a seven-	-page document has grown to eight
25	pages, hasn't	it, if you look at the page count

		Page 26
1	column?	
2	Α.	I see that entry on here.
3	Q.	Right. And then it looks like there
4	are a number o	f copies of it. You know, we see
5	document 42, do	ocument 135. They're all eight pages,
6	right?	
7	А.	That that's the entries here, yes.
8	Q.	All right. Now, if you flip over to
9	the next page a	and we move forward to June 7th, it's
10	highlighted in	green at the bottom. Do you see
11	that?	
12	А.	Yes.
13	Q.	And you see the document number 45?
14	А.	Yes.
15	Q.	That document, document number 45,
16	you're the autl	nor of that, right?
17	Α.	I'm listed as the author, yes.
18	Q.	That's a Draft Term Sheet for Legacy
19	Ovarian Cancer	Claim Proposal, correct?
20	А.	Yes.
21	Q.	And by that point, which is another
22	two weeks exact	tly after the May 24th version, it had
23	grown to 10 pag	ges, hadn't it?
24	Α.	The the entry here is 10 pages.
25	That is correct	t.

	Page 27
1	Q. Right.
2	A. I don't
3	Q. And exact same description, Draft
4	Term Sheet for Legacy Ovarian Cancer Claim Proposal,
5	correct?
6	A. Yes. I can't say that that is the
7	you know, that the term sheet grew to 10 pages.
8	That's a general description. That's the number of
9	pages that's in the entry. I haven't I haven't
10	reviewed any of it to see what that, you know, what
11	that transmission was.
12	But the essence of that term sheet as
13	I described previously, that was our term sheet. It
14	was a term sheet that had been prepared before,
15	before the dismissal of LTL 1, as we were and
16	before the filing of J&J's second bankruptcy. We
17	had prepared a term sheet. We had prepared a term
18	sheet and offered to meet and present that term
19	sheet
20	Q. And that's and Mr. Birchfield, I
21	think you're getting very far afield from my
22	question.
23	THE COURT: Let him complete his
24	thought, Mr. Brody.
25	MR. BRODY: Sure.

Page 28 1 THE WITNESS: And as I've testified 2 previously, that term sheet was prepared and there 3 were no substantive changes in any discussions with -- with Legacy regarding that term sheet. 4 5 Scott Gilbert --BY MR. BRODY: 6 7 That's -- I think that's -- and just Ο. on that point, on conversations, we actually see a 8 9 reference to a conversation that you had with Legacy 10 and KCIC. It's document 118. It's the same date, 11 June 7th, 2023. It's just three or four lines below 12 the entry we were looking at. And there's a 13 reference to a KCIC and Legacy discussion of 14 conversation with ovarian cancer counsel regarding 15 comments on draft ovarian cancer term sheet, right? 16 Α. Right. 17 As well as discussing additional Q. 18 ovarian cancer resolution proposal details, right? 19 Α. That is -- that's what the entry 20 says, and --21 Ο. Yeah. And ovarian cancer counsel 2.2 did, I mean, as you just mentioned in your prior 23 answer, have discussions with Legacy about the term sheet, correct? 24 2.5 We discussed the term sheet and we Α.

Page 29 provided the draft term sheet to KCIC, and there were discussions about the -- how the term sheet would be applied. The terms of that agreement would be applied in a claims administration process. That was the role of KCIC. You didn't just provide it to KCIC. You also provided it to Mr. Conlan, because as we saw, he forwarded on May 16th the draft term sheet. He was the one who forwarded it to KCIC, right? Correct, because KCIC is the claims administrator who was working with -- working with Legacy, that Legacy had brought in. Ο. And then you all got together and you discussed it. And over the course of the next three, four weeks, as we see from the privilege log, the page count grew from seven to eight and then to 10 pages, right? MR. POLLOCK: Objection; argumentative, assumes facts not in -- not in evidence. The document actually could be red-lined. We have no idea why it went from four to eight to 10. We have no clue where it came from. Well, I'm going to THE COURT: overrule the objection. But why don't you clarify with your questions, Mr. Brody, how it grew, why it

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 30 grew, and maybe get testimony from Mr. Birchfield 1 2. who will provide background with regard to the 3 length of this particular document. MR. BRODY: 4 Sure. 5 THE COURT: I don't think it's argumentative, but, you know, address the question 6 7 then. MR. BRODY: Sure. 8 9 BY MR. BRODY: 10 Based on the privilege log, the page Ο. 11 count for what is described as that proposal started 12 at seven pages, became eight pages, and then became 13 10 pages; right? 14 The entries on this privilege log do 15 change, just as you said. That does not -- that 16 does not tell me that the term sheet, itself, is 17 being changed in any respect. So I can acknowledge 18 that that's what the entries say. 19 Now, if I --Ο. 20 I can't go beyond that. Α. 21 -- understood your testimony on April 0. 2.2 10th, the last time we were here, your position is 23 that it was just, and I'm going to use your words 24 from the transcript, grammatical type stuff that was addressed in the back and forth, right? 2.5

Page 31 Α. That's correct. There were no substantive changes. Right. And you were willing to say 0. that, but you're asserting that the substance of the term sheet, itself, is privileged, so we can't see it; right? Α. If you want to see the term sheet, I'll be glad to show you the term sheet. What I'm not willing to do here -- I was prepared, I was prepared on behalf of the ovarian cancer committee to present that term sheet to J&J, to the general counsel, Ms. Forminard and Mr. Haas, about two weeks before the second bankruptcy petition was filed. We made that offer. We were prepared to -- to share that term sheet. That is -- that is not an issue. But what we were not prepared to do was to eviscerate the mediation privilege and just say -- because this is -- this is covered in the mediation process. That's why we asserted the privilege. It's not that the term sheet is secretive. This was a term sheet that would have gone to J&J. We were prepared to present it to J&J. So you asserted the mediation 0. privilege over the version that is described as the

seven-page version of the term sheet, over the

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Page 32 eight-page version of the term sheet, over what is 1 2. described as the 10-page page count version of the term sheet, over the discussions that the ovarian 3 cancer counsel had with Legacy about the term sheet; 4 5 you have asserted mediation privilege over all of that, right? 6 7 MR. POLLOCK: Objection; it's 8 argumentative. This is a -- this is a closing 9 argument made in the middle of questioning. Does he 10 really -- he knows the mediation privilege has been 11 asserted. He's already stipulated that the page 12 count is the page count. So it's inappropriate for 13 counsel to be making an argument to the Court like 14 this is a jury. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Brody. 16 I just asked him if he's MR. BRODY: 17 asserting mediation privilege as to all the different versions of the term sheet, as well as the 18 19 discussions that were had with Mr. Conlan --20 MR. POLLOCK: We're asserting the 21 mediation privilege. MR. BRODY: -- about the term sheet. 2.2 23 That's the question. 2.4 THE COURT: Across all three documents? 2.5

Page 33 1 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honor, Judge 2. Schneider has ruled on these issues. We are asserting the mediation privilege. I'll answer for 3 the witness. We're standing by it. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Notwithstanding that Mr. Birchfield said he was prepared to share 6 7 that document with J&J? 8 MR. POLLOCK: He was prepared to provide a document to J&J. He didn't say which one. 9 10 He said he was going to provide a term sheet to J&J. 11 You've got multiple variations, you've got comments 12 of counsel. I would doubt that J&J would provide us 13 with a draft that has all their mark-ups. We're 14 certainly willing and able right now to provide a 15 term sheet to them, if that's what they want. 16 I'm not going to give him a mediation-privileged 17 protected document. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So the privilege 19 was asserted then, Mr. Brody. 20 MR. BRODY: Yes. And I think 21 Mr. Pollock just frankly made my point. So why 2.2 don't we talk about something that was happening at 23 the same time these term sheets were going back and 2.4 forth. BY MR. BRODY: 2.5

Page 34 The whole time that you were sending 1 0. 2. term sheets back and forth to Legacy and to KCIC, you and the tort claimants committee were trying to 3 get the LTL 2 bankruptcy dismissed, right? 4 5 Yes. I'm just trying to -- trying to get the timing right. I mean, we -- we had -- we 6 7 did not engage. We didn't have any discussions with -- with Legacy until after, until after the second 8 9 bankruptcy petition, you know, was filed. 10 So while -- so, yes, the mediate --11 the -- Judge Kaplan ordered mediation after LTL 2 12 was filed, and that's the period when we were having 13 discussions with Legacy. 14 Right. And if I -- let me make this Ο. 15 simpler, if you will allow me to do it this way. 16 If I told you that the claimants 17 committee filed its motion to dismiss the bankruptcy on April 24th of last year, would you disagree with 18 19 me? 20 Α. No. All right. And then, as we saw, at 21 Ο. 2.2 least from the documents on the privilege log, the first version of the term sheet that you sent over 23 24 to Legacy was on May 12th, while that was pending? 2.5 Α. Correct.

Page 35 Now, you knew, of course, that 1 Ο. 2. Johnson & Johnson wanted plan confirmation in the 3 bankruptcy proceeding, right? That was Johnson & Johnson's objective, correct? 4 5 Α. Right. And then you filed a motion to 6 Ο. dismiss, correct? 7 Yes. I mean, I didn't. The TCC did, 8 Α. 9 but I'm part of that. 10 You're part of that, right? 11 putting you in with the TCC, because you were part 12 of the TCC? 13 Α. Yes. 14 You didn't want the plan to come up Ο. 15 for a vote, right? 16 We -- no, we did not want J&J's plan Α. to come up for a vote. We were prepared --17 18 Q. Neither did --19 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honor, he keeps on 20 doing this. I want him to answer the question, 21 please. 2.2 THE COURT: Well, so does this Court. 23 So go ahead, Mr. Birchfield. 24 THE WITNESS: So we were -- we were preparing at the -- at the same time that we were 25

Page 36 moving forward with our motion to dismiss, we were 1 2. also preparing an alternative plan to be presented. And we had asked the Court, if the Court denied our 3 motion to dismiss, we -- we wanted to present an 4 5 alternative plan. And that -- that would have been voted on, had the -- had the judge -- had Judge 6 7 Kaplan not dismissed the bankruptcy and had allowed us to move forward with a competitive plan. 8 9 BY MR. BRODY: 10 I quess Mr. Conlan didn't want the Ο. 11 bankruptcy plan to come up for a vote, either, did 12 he? 13 MR. POLLOCK: Objection; asks for 14 speculation as to what Mr. Conlan wanted. He's not 15 here to testify. 16 THE COURT: Unless Mr. Birchfield 17 knows. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 19 BY MR. BRODY: 20 But you heard Mr. Conlan testify on Q. 21 April 10th that if the Legacy proposal was accepted, 2.2 he and Legacy would stand to make money, correct? 23 Yes. Α. 2.4 Ο. Right. And that's not something that he would be able to take advantage of if J&J's 2.5

Page 37 preferred resolution through a plan confirmation in 1 2. the bankruptcy court had been accomplished, correct? 3 That's true only if you accept the Α. version of the plan that J&J put forward. 4 5 bankruptcy plan that did include an option for the Legacy toggle, I believe as he testified to 6 previously, that would have been -- that would have 7 been part of a bankruptcy plan. It would have 8 9 included an option. It would have included in the alternative plan that we were putting forward. 10 That 11 was part of the discussions that were going on at 12 that time. And your alternative was -- was not 13 Ο. 14 what J&J put forth, was it? 15 Α. No. It is very clear that what --16 what I want on behalf of my clients, which is a fair 17 and reasonable compensation plan, is not what J&J 18 wants, and we are opposed to that. It is not --19 Ο. And so --20 -- that we're opposed to bankruptcy, Α. 21 period. Because in the very beginning, before --2.2 before J&J filed its first bankruptcy, we were working toward a resolution. We were working toward 23 24 a resolution that would have included a bankruptcy 2.5 component.

Page 38 1 When J&J filed its first bankruptcy, 2. I was pushing, as Mr. Haas knows, I was pushing --3 MR. BRODY: We -- we're so far afield of my question. 4 5 THE COURT: I'm going to let him 6 complete the testimony. I'm not going to have a 7 filibuster, so to speak. But go ahead, Mr. Birchfield. 8 9 THE WITNESS: It's not that we were 10 opposed to bankruptcy, period. Not at the 11 beginning, because we were trying, we were trying to 12 negotiate. Before the LTL motion to dismiss, we 13 were negotiating a plan in bankruptcy. It was only 14 after it became very clear that we would not be able 15 to get reasonable compensation for our clients as 16 long as J&J had the weapon of a bankruptcy plan. 17 That's when we became adamant that --18 THE COURT: And I think that's a good 19 place to stop, Mr. Birchfield. 20 Go ahead, Mr. Brody. 21 MR. BRODY: Thanks. 2.2 BY MR. BRODY: So Mr. Birchfield, I think, even 23 0. after all of that, that we are on the same page 24 here, is that this Legacy toggle that you talked 2.5

Page 39 about was not what J&J was proposing and not what 1 2. J&J wanted to accomplish through the LTL 2 3 bankruptcy, correct? They did not want to -- they did not 4 5 want to do the -- they didn't want to pay the added 6 amount that would be necessary. But what J&J was 7 saying at that point was that they wanted finality. They are looking for finality. They are taking the 8 9 position it would only be achieved through 10 bankruptcy, which is false. And the Legacy -- the 11 Legacy option was a way for J&J to get finality 12 without -- without coercing claimants into a 13 bankruptcy plan. 14 That was -- that was -- I mean, let's 15 -- you understand that J&J would object to the use 16 of the word "coerce" being attached to that, right? 17 Α. No. 18 And you understand -- I mean, come 0. 19 on, Mr. Birchfield. J&J -- you know J&J proposed an \$8.9 billion resolution of the claims through the 20 21 LTL 2 bankruptcy that it wanted claimants to be able 2.2 to vote on, right? 23 That is true. Α. 24 Ο. And you did not want claimants to be able to vote on that, did you? 2.5

Page 40 1 It's not that I was opposed to Α. 2. claimants voting. It is -- and it's not --3 That's why you --Q. 4 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honor, please. 5 THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Birchfield. 6 MR. POLLOCK: He's answering 7 the questions. THE COURT: Let me hear the full 8 9 testimony based on that question first. Do you 10 remember the question, Mr. Birchfield? 11 THE WITNESS: That I'm opposed --12 that I'm opposed to claimants voting. 13 THE COURT: Right. 14 We're not opposed to a THE WITNESS: 15 vote that would be a fair vote. We are opposed --16 we are opposed to a plan that would essentially 17 stuff the ballot box in order to coerce the more 18 serious and legitimate claimants to accept 19 unreasonable values. 20 BY MR. BRODY: You didn't want the claimants who 21 Ο. 2.2 would have a right to vote on the plan to be able to 23 vote on the plan; that's why you wanted to dismiss 24 the bankruptcy, right? MR. POLLOCK: Objection, Your Honor. 2.5

Page 41 1 Asked and answered twice now. 2. THE COURT: Well, I don't know if he 3 answered, if I've heard the answer to that question. Mr. Birchfield. 4 5 THE WITNESS: There were multiple reasons that we were opposing a bankruptcy. 6 7 BY MR. BRODY: And that was one of them, right? 8 Ο. 9 Α. No. We were -- we were opposing a vote as J&J had constructed in that plan, yes. 10 11 Right. Ο. 12 THE COURT: Let us get back to our central issue, Mr. Brody. I know it appears you're 13 14 trying to lay some groundwork here, but let's not 15 lose focus of what our issue is as regards to the 16 allegations against Mr. Conlan. 17 MR. BRODY: Of course. 18 BY MR. BRODY: 19 So, Mr. Birchfield, before we Ο. 20 recessed on April 10, you started testifying about 21 your willingness in the fall of last year to join 2.2 Mr. Conlan to present a proposed settlement matrix 23 to J&J. Do you recall that testimony? 24 Yes. Mr. Conlan had asked me if I Α. would --2.5

Page 42 1 It's just a yes. I just asked you if 0. 2. you recall that testimony. That's all I asked. 3 Would I be -- he -- would I be Α. willing to join with him --4 5 It's a yes or no. 0. 6 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honor. 7 MR. BRODY: I'm just --8 MR. POLLOCK: It's not a yes or no. 9 MR. BRODY: -- orienting him. 10 MR. POLLOCK: If he needs to give you 11 a narrative response, he gives you a narrative. 12 think it can be a brief answer, but Mr. Brody keeps 13 on clipping off his answers because he doesn't like 14 the answer. 15 THE COURT: Well, I don't necessarily 16 know if Mr. Brody likes or dislikes the answer. But 17 focusing-wise, what's your response to that question 18 or your testimony, Mr. Birchfield? 19 Will you repeat the THE WITNESS: 20 question? 21 BY MR. BRODY: 2.2 Ο. Sure. My question was simply: Do 23 you recall, before we recessed on April 10th, beginning to talk about your willingness to join 24 2.5 Mr. Conlan to present a proposed settlement matrix

Page 43 to Johnson & Johnson in the fall of last year? 1 2. you recall that testimony? 3 I do not recall that testimony Α. specifically. I did agree to meet with J&J to 4 5 explain the matrix. And we -- we received a lot of 6 Ο. 7 testimony on it, so I don't want to spend too much 8 time on it, but we see your willingness reflected and disclosed to J&J for the first time on October 10 18th of last year in an email from Mr. Conlan that's 11 in your binder as Hearing Exhibit 4. 12 You -- you can take a look at it. 13 THE COURT: Do you have that binder, Mr. Birchfield? 14 15 THE WITNESS: I do. I do, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 THE WITNESS: So, Mr. Brody, may I 19 ask, what is the question? I mean, are you asking 20 me, because you're saying that that's the first time 21 that he disclosed --2.2 MR. BRODY: I'm asking you --23 THE WITNESS: -- and I can't answer 2.4 that. BY MR. BRODY: 2.5

Page 44 1 Ο. I'm asking you if you're familiar 2. with that, that exhibit. Α. 3 I mean, yes, I am familiar with the exhibit, you know, since this -- since it's been 4 5 filed, Exhibit Number 4. Now, October 18th of last year, that 6 Ο. 7 was shortly after the Mass Torts Made Perfect conference that took place in Las Vegas last fall, 8 9 right? 10 Α. That sounds right. And you heard Mr. Murdica mention 11 Ο. 12 that he had actually attended that conference last 13 fall. He testified to that on March 25th, right? 14 I know that he was in Las Vegas. I'm Α. 15 not sure that he attended the conference, but he was 16 there. 17 All right. And the conference was Q. 18 October 10th to the 12th of last year, last fall's 19 version? 20 Α. That sounds about right. I don't 21 recall specifically. 2.2 Ο. And this is something that happens 23 regularly, right, this conference? 24 Yes. There would be an annual --Α. annual meeting. 2.5

	Page 45
1	Q. And it's a conference where
2	plaintiffs' lawyers come together from around the
3	country to talk about things like the talc
4	litigation, right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. You you pitched the Legacy
7	proposal to other talc plaintiffs' lawyers at the
8	Mass Torts Made Perfect meeting last year, didn't
9	you?
10	A. No.
11	Q. You discussed it with them?
12	A. I may have had discussions about an
13	option that would give J&J finality, yes.
14	Q. Right. An option involving
15	structural optimization and disaffiliation, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Because you were trying to garner
18	support for the proposal that you had been
19	discussing with Mr. Conlan?
20	A. No. I mean
21	Q. Was Mr. Conlan there, by the way, at
22	Mass Torts Made Perfect last year?
23	A. Not that I know of.
24	Q. All right. Going back to the Hearing
25	Exhibit 4, you see that Mr. Conlan there offered

Page 46 that you, Doug Dachille, and he were prepared to 1 2. meet with J&J's treasurer in person to share and discuss the terms of a matrix, right? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 And that's a matrix that, I take it Ο. from your testimony, that you developed, right? 6 7 Α. I mean, I developed it along Yes. with the ovarian cancer negotiating group, yes. 8 9 Ο. And shared it with Mr. Conlan and 10 Legacy, right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And, you know, at the end of the day, Ο. 13 based on an anticipated number of claimants and the values assigned to claims in a matrix, the math has 14 to work if that matrix is going to be associated 15 16 with a total dollar figure, right? 17 MR. POLLOCK: Objection; vague. 18 THE COURT: Vague? 19 Vaque. I don't know MR. POLLOCK: 20 what that means, that it has to work as to a total 21 dollar figure. THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, 2.2 23 obviously, if the total number of claimants that are, you know, that are submitted and are expected 24 2.5 to receive compensation under that grid, if it

Page 47 exceeds -- if it exceeds the total amount of the 1 2. acquisition by a significant number, then it wouldn't work. That's -- that is true. 3 BY MR. BRODY: 4 5 Right, right. So if -- yeah, you Ο. can't -- you can't put together a claims matrix 6 7 where, you know, every claim's worth a million dollars, you have a hundred claims, and your funding 8 9 it at \$10 million. You just can't do that, right? 10 Α. That's right. 11 All right. Mr. Conlan was, of Ο. 12 course, being honest, I think, as he testified with 13 his offer to have you come in. You were ready, willing, and able to come in and talk about the 14 15 claims matrix, right? 16 Α. T was. 17 In connection with the proposal that Q. 18 Mr. Conlan was making to Johnson & Johnson for 19 structural optimization and disaffiliation, right? 20 Α. Yes. 21 You had been actually in discussions Ο. 2.2 with Mr. Conlan for months, at that point, right? 23 Α. If you look at the time of our first discussion, our first discussion was in -- the first 24 2.5 time I ever met him. It was in May. May 2nd.

Page 48 it had been months, true, it had been months between 1 May and October. 3 Ο. Yeah, five and a half months? Yeah. 4 Α. The day that email was sent was the 5 Ο. day after Johnson & Johnson's third quarter earnings 6 7 You're aware of that, right? I'm aware that -- I'm aware that 8 Α. 9 Mr. Haas made statements on October the 17th, and I 10 can see the date of this, this letter on October the 11 18th, yes. 12 Ο. Right. And you knew -- I mean, you 13 certainly knew that what was being proposed through 14 Legacy was not what Mr. Haas had announced on the 15 earnings call as any part of the company's preferred 16 course through the bankruptcy process, right? 17 Α. Is the -- is the Legacy proposal a 18 bankruptcy proposal? No, it's not. 19 Ο. Right. 20 What -- what we had understood --Α. 21 Q. And --2.2 MR. POLLOCK: Hold on, please. 23 THE WITNESS: -- was that J&J was 24 looking for finality, but we're -- and that was what we were making this proposal for. I mean, that's 2.5

Page 49 what -- that's why I agreed to submit a, you know, a 1 2. matrix, and that's why I, you know, offered, you know, for the -- you know, to have Legacy considered 3 as part of a mediation, as part of a bankruptcy, you 4 5 know, toggle point. Because this was a -- this would be a way that J&J could have gotten finality 6 7 without -- without having a coercive bankruptcy plan in place. 8 9 You know, this would be -- this 10 matrix, this proposal would be an opt-in proposal, so the claimants would -- and their counsel would 11 12 look at the settlement grid, they would look at the 13 terms of that grid, and they would choose; do we 14 want to voluntarily participate, or not. BY MR. BRODY: 15 16 The proposal that was being made by Ο. 17 Mr. Conlan and this settlement matrix it was 18 attached to was not a bankruptcy proposal; it was a 19 tort system proposal, wasn't it? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. And the -- did -- I assume Mr. Conlan 2.2 had -- had told you that J&J had told him that it 23 did not believe the deal that he was proposing would actually provide finality? 24 2.5 Α. No.

Page 50 Did you -- did you miss that part of 1 Ο. 2. his testimony from April 10th? 3 Α. You're asking me if he told me that, that -- you're asking me --4 5 I'm asking you -- well, let me ask you, did you know -- did you know that before April 6 7 10th of this year? That J&J had told Mr. Conlan that the 8 Α. 9 Legacy option would not give them finality? 10 That's right. 0. 11 Α. Okay. No. 12 Let's take a look at Hearing Exhibit Q. 13 7. 14 Α. I'm there. So this is the more detailed letter 15 Ο. 16 from Mr. Conlan that actually attaches the 17 settlement matrix, right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And that's the settlement matrix that Ο. 20 you were prepared to come in and talk about with J&J 21 jointly with Mr. Conlan and Mr. Dachille, right? 2.2 Α. I would have been talking about the 23 matrix. I would have gone in with Mr. Conlan and 24 Mr. Dachille if J&J had made that invitation, yes. 2.5 And this letter includes a \$19 Ο.

Page 51 billion total price tag that is associated with a 1 2. tort system resolution that tacks on your matrix, 3 right? Yes. I see -- I see that it is a --4 Α. 5 it is a -- it has a \$19 billion number or such 6 greater number as the, you know, the accountants, 7 Pricewaterhouse, would determine is necessary to clear the noncash charge. I see the number. 8 And he indicated that the proposal 9 Ο. 10 had been reviewed and was supported by leadership counsel on both the federal MDL and in state court 11 12 cases across the country. Do you see that? It's on 13 page 1. The paragraph starts, "Put simply..." 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And that was true, right? 16 I had not seen -- I had not seen this Α. 17 proposal. What I had, what we -- what I had discussed with him is that we would support a -- a 18 19 Legacy option being presented to J&J. It is -- this 20 is a -- this would be an offer that J&J would have 21 to accept. 2.2 If J&J -- if J&J were to accept, you know, this -- this option, then I would support -- I 23 24 would support a -- a settlement agreement that incorporates this matrix that's attached as 2.5

Page 52 1 Exhibit A. 2. Ο. And you knew that -- I mean, I 3 certainly I expect you knew that it was supported not just by you, but you had talked about it with 4 5 other -- other plaintiffs' counsel; fair? I have -- I knew that this would be 6 Α. 7 supported because for four years, every day, every day, I had been working toward a resolution. So I 8 9 talked to -- I talked to plaintiffs' lawyers about 10 various options, and I have a high level of 11 confidence that based on not only -- not only, you 12 know, my work, but, you know, all of the members of 13 the executive committee, all of the members of the 14 TCC on the ovarian cancer side, we had been working 15 on this intensely for a long time. 16 So I -- I knew --17 And so --Q. 18 -- that it would be supported. Α. 19 And so Mr. Conlan was telling the Ο. 20 truth when he wrote to J&J's board that Legacy's 21 proposal has been reviewed and is supported by 22 leadership counsel on both the federal MDL and in 23 state court cases across the country, right? 24 Α. I had not reviewed this proposal. I had not seen this, this letter or this proposal as 2.5

Page 53 it is written. I had not seen that until you filed 1 it. But I did -- I did provide the matrix and I did 2. 3 -- I did say that I would support that, and we have leadership roles in both the MDL and state court, in 4 5 state court litigations across the country. 6 And you -- you were on board with the 7 statement that we saw from Mr. Conlan on October 18th that lead counsel for the ovarian cancer 8 9 claimants, including Andy Birchfield, for an MDL 10 opt-in settlement matrix with Legacy would be 11 expected to garner a 95 percent opt-in of current 12 ovarian cancer claimants, right? 13 Α. Yes, I told him that. 14 Yeah, you -- that was going to be my Ο. 15 next question. That's what you told him, correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 All right. You were familiar -- by Q. 18 the way, at the same time all of this was going on, 19 you publicly advocated for Mr. Conlan's proposal, 20 right? 21 So, that may be a -- that may be a 2.2 bit of a stretch. I mean, he -- he did, he did 23 publish the structural optimization and -- in a op-ed piece, and I did, I did issue a press release 24 that would have -- that said I think that this is a 2.5

Page 54 -- this would be a win/win because it would give, at 1 2. that point -- at that point I thought that J&J truly 3 was looking for a way to get finality. And -- and that would be a win for J&J, but we -- the 4 5 plaintiffs were also looking for fair and reasonable compensation, you know, and -- and the right to 6 7 choose, and not a coercive plan. So, you know, you referred to a press 8 Ο. 9 release that you issued and to a piece that 10 Mr. Conlan had written. We have -- and we looked at 11 it with Mr. Conlan. The piece that he wrote is in 12 your binder as Hearing Exhibit 15, if you could just 13 verify that's what you were referring to. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. And that was the one that was titled 16 Time to Ditch the Texas Two-Step for a New Mass Tort 17 Strategy, right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And if you turn to Hearing Exhibit Ο. 20 18, you express support for Mr. Conlan's article the 21 very same day, right? 2.2 Α. That's true. 23 And your press release was titled Key Ο. Lawyer in Johnson & Johnson Talc Litigation Supports 24 Call to Rethink Legal Strategies in Light of Failure 25

Page 55 1 of Texas Two-Step, right? 2. Α. Yes. And it indicates, "Leading mass tort 3 0. lawyer, Andy Birchfield" -- that's you? 4 5 Α. Yeah. -- "of the Beasley Allen law firm is 6 Ο. 7 expressing strong support for a thought-provoking article in Bloomberg Law authored by James Conlan, a 8 9 seasoned expert in corporate restructuring and the 10 former global practice leader of Sidley Austin's 11 worldwide restructuring practice, " right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. You didn't disclose that Mr. Conlan 14 had represented J&J in the talc litigation, did you? 15 MR. POLLOCK: Objection; 16 argumentative. 17 THE COURT: I don't find that 18 argumentative, Mr. Pollock. 19 THE WITNESS: I -- I didn't --20 THE COURT: Please answer the 21 question, Mr. Birchfield. 2.2 THE WITNESS: I did not say -- I didn't say anything about, you know, him working at 23 24 Faegre. This was -- this was -- the main point was the structural optimization and disaffiliation, 2.5

Page 56 which he had developed while he was at Sidley, you 1 2. know, at Sidley Austin. 3 At this, you know, at this point, you know, the only thing I knew about --4 5 BY MR. BRODY: That's -- that's -- that's 6 Ο. 7 No, you've -- that's -- and I think you indicated that you didn't refer to the fact that he 8 9 had been at Faegre Drinker, did you? 10 Α. I did not. 11 All right. You didn't disclose the Ο. 12 fact that you had been -- you know, you had met him 13 and had been working with him for six months to the 14 day at this point, right? 15 At this point, I had -- I had met him 16 six months prior. 17 Right. And just two weeks before Q. 18 this, he had offered that he and you and 19 Mr. Dachille would go into J&J to pitch this idea? 20 Α. Yes. On November -- on October the 21 18th, the letter you just showed me. 2.2 Ο. And somebody reading your press release wouldn't have known that, correct? 23 24 Α. Somebody reading this press -there's nothing said in there about -- about an 2.5

Page 57 offer to, you know, to meet with J&J. That's true. 1 2. Ο. You knew, however, at the time that you wrote this that this was the Texas -- the 3 structural optimization and resolution through the 4 5 tort system that was being pitched was adverse to J&J's preferred resolution through the bankruptcy 6 7 process that had been announced by Mr. Haas on October 17th, just a couple of weeks before, right? 8 9 Α. I mean, I had -- Mr. Haas has made clear that his preference is bankruptcy, period. 10 11 And so what both you and Mr. Conlan Ο. 12 advocated in your dual November 2nd public 13 statements was adverse to what J&J wanted, right? 14 Α. I didn't know that. I thought J&J 15 wanted finality. I know that Mr. Haas, you know, 16 preferred bankruptcy. What -- what I had put I had 17 learned through, you know, through all of this 18 process, through two mediations, estimation process. 19 I had learned that J&J -- I had heard over and over 20 again J&J is looking for finality. They believed 21 that finality could only be achieved through 2.2 bankruptcy. That was --23 And you were advocating something Ο. 2.4 else? 2.5 I was -- I was looking for -- I was Α.

Page 58 looking for a way to give J&J what it said it 1 2. wanted, and getting our clients what we believed 3 they deserved. And that's -- that's why, you know, when -- when Mr. Conlan presented, you know, a path 4 5 that would offer finality to a company that had been done and you -- I had come to see that that had --6 7 that had viability. And so -- so I -- I have submitted a 8 9 matrix that would be part of that proposal to see if 10 that proposal would be accepted -- acceptable to 11 J&J. 12 And what you -- what you said is that Q. 13 plaintiffs' lawyers shared Mr. Conlan's vision of what you called a win/win solution where claimants 14 15 can pursue their claims in the tort system, right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 It would certainly be a win for you, Q. 18 right? 19 MR. POLLOCK: Objection. 20 THE WITNESS: A win for me is a win 21 for our clients, period. 2.2 BY MR. BRODY: 23 The tort system resolution and your 0. 24 firm's position on the MDL PSC, you stand to get money from a common benefit fund in the MDL if 2.5

Page 59 there's a tort system resolution, correct? 1 2. Α. That would be up to -- that would be 3 up to the court. That would be up to the MDL court. But it is also true that common benefit, you know, 4 5 funds are available in bankruptcy proceedings, too. 6 Ο. Not in the J&J bankruptcy proposal, 7 correct? I haven't -- I haven't seen, you 8 Α. 9 know, the -- I haven't seen the TDP, you know, for 10 -- for the next, but I'm sure that that's the -- I'm 11 sure that that's the case, based on everything that 12 I have -- I have heard so far. 13 Ο. So you were opposed to it, and you're not familiar with the terms of it? 14 Oh, I'm familiar with the terms 15 Α. 16 enough to know what the claimants would get or 17 possibly could get. I know the upper limits of what 18 the claimants could get under the J&J proposal 19 because I know it states in there, you know, in 20 their proposal and what they have rolled out what 21 the total amount would be. And I know what the -- I 2.2 have a very good idea of what the total number of claimants are. So I know it falls far short of what 23 24 claimants would be -- would be a reasonable and fair settlement for claimants. 2.5

Page 60 With all due respect, Mr. Birchfield, 1 Ο. 2. I don't -- I don't think you answered my question. And my question was simply -- well, let me -- I'll 3 rephrase it so that I can be sure we're on the same 4 5 page. Are you so unfamiliar with the terms 6 7 of the bankruptcy proposal that you were opposing and seeking to dismiss that you're not aware of 8 9 whether money from that proposal would go into the 10 MDL common benefit fund or not? I know the -- I know the terms that 11 Δ 12 J&J put forward in their proposal, and that proposal 13 did not -- did not provide for common benefit fees. 14 Right. And you were also generally Ο. familiar with the terms of the MDL order 15 16 establishing the common benefit fund, aren't you? 17 Α. I am. 18 And pursuant to the common benefit Ο. 19 order that Judge Wolfson entered in the federal MDL, 20 up to 12 percent, 10 percent fee and 2 percent cost, 21 of any amount recovered on talc claims in the MDL is 2.2 assigned to a common benefit fund, correct? 23 Α. Up to -- up to 10 percent fees 24 and up to 2 percent costs could be. That's --2.5 And that's exactly what I said, Q.

Page 61 1 right? 2. Α. I'm not so sure. There are other 3 provisions, so it's not a -- it's not determined. Ι mean, this is a -- you know, this is a -- an order 4 5 that is entered to provide holdbacks on an interim basis. At the end of the day, the Court, the 6 7 Article III judge would make that determination about what the appropriate amount is. 8 9 Ο. Your general understanding, though, 10 just -- just so we're clear, I don't think this is 11 that complicated, is that the range of fees that 12 could be contributed to the common benefit fund is 13 anywhere from 8 percent to 12 percent of the gross 14 recovery amount, depending upon whether or not the 15 individual firms were early participants or not, 16 right? 17 It would be -- it would be 6 percent Α. 18 fees to 10 percent fees and 2 percent cost. 19 For a total of 8 to 12 percent, Ο. 20 correct? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 Q. All right. 23 Not fees, but fees and costs. Α. 24 0. And that separate and apart from that 8 to 12 percent, the fees that you, Beasley Allen, 25

	Page 62
1	otherwise charge your talc clients is a 40 percent
2	contingency fee, correct?
3	A. It's not it's not truly separate
4	and apart because the, you know, the common benefit
5	fees the common benefit fees are deducted from
6	the contingency fees.
7	Q. All right. So let's just talk about
8	the common benefit fees. Okay?
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. We're talking about
11	MR. POLLOCK: Your Honor
12	BY MR. BRODY:
13	Q 8 to 12 percent?
14	THE COURT: Sure.
15	MR. POLLOCK: We're here on RPCs 1.6,
16	1.10.
17	THE COURT: You have a relevancy
18	question, right?
19	MR. POLLOCK: He had this whole
20	discussion in the press.
21	THE COURT: I don't need to catch up,
22	Mr. Pollock.
23	Mr. Brody, how is this relevant with
24	regard to the conflict, the alleged conflict with
25	Mr. Conlan?

Page 63 MR. BRODY: It's relevant to the 1 2. motivations for Mr. Birchfield to, you know, in violation of the ethical rules, align himself with 3 Mr. Conlan without paying mind to the ethical rules, 4 5 without disclosing to J&J that he was working with its former outside counsel from Faegre Drinker. 6 7 All of it goes to why it is -- and it frankly goes to credibility, as well -- why it is 8 9 that Mr. Birchfield would so recklessly align 10 himself with one of J&J's former outside lawyers on 11 the very same matter. 12 MR. POLLOCK: There's no proffer that 13 Mr. Conlan and Mr. Birchfield are sharing fees. 14 There's no proffer there's an agreement between Mr. Birchfield and Mr. Conlan to share fees. 15 16 this is has nothing to do, zero to do with what's 17 before you. This is Mr. Haas' effort to try and 18 19 get a sound byte in the national press so he can 20 attack Beasley Allen on a different matter outside 21 this courtroom, which is that they're greedy buggers 2.2 who are simply trying to make money. That's his 23 argument, and it's a wonderful argument. 2.4 Andy has a great argument in 2.5 response: I'm not. I'm trying to get a fair and

Page 64 reasonable compensation for my clients. And he'll 1 2. have the opportunity to answer that. 3 But respectfully, Your Honor, this is -- if we're going to try the Beasley Allen law firm, 4 5 then we ought to get all the facts up here. Let's just go do it. But if we're here to try the ethics 6 7 issue as a basis for disqualification, this is so far remote, so prejudicial, we should cut it off 8 9 right now. 10 THE COURT: I don't know if it's 11 prejudicial. I don't know if it's remote. I think 12 there's some relevancy, Mr. Brody. We can't really 13 -- you know, we understand, and the Court can take judicial notice of the common benefit fund. We all 14 15 know that. We know that plaintiffs' attorneys, you 16 know, have a percentage that's built into any 17 recovery. 18 But let's get it back focused to 19 whether Mr. Conlan, or not, shared confidential J&J. 20 So, you know, to the extent J&J is trying to suggest 21 there's motivation here, or not, I think it gets a 2.2 little bit remote with regard to relevancy and why 23 we're here. 24 So I don't know if you want to adjust your questions, fine-tune your questions, but I 2.5

Page 65 don't think -- and I don't think that's really on 1 2. point with why we're here. 3 MR. BRODY: I think I can wrap this line of questions up with two, just two simple 4 5 questions. THE COURT: It's clear. 6 I don't 7 think there's any secret here. J&J wanted something, "X"; the plaintiff, including 8 9 Mr. Birchfield, wanted "Y." To the extent there's a difference of opinion, the Courts accept that. You 10 11 know, but we really want to get back to where is 12 Mr. Conlan, and then the issue before this Court. 13 MR. BRODY: And I'm happy to --14 actually, I can wrap it up with a single question. BY MR. BRODY: 15 16 Just in terms of the common benefit Ο. 17 fund, Mr. Birchfield, the difference in terms of 18 amounts that would come into the common benefit fund 19 via an \$8.9 billion resolution -- if it's an \$8.9 20 billion resolution in the tort system and the money 21 is going into the common benefit fund, 12 percent of 2.2 that is more than a billion dollars, right? 23 Are you asking me to do the math? Α. Ι 24 mean, are you asking me if your math is correct? 2.5 Q. Yes.

Page 66 THE COURT: Do you need a calculator? 1 2. THE WITNESS: Maybe so. Are you 3 saying 12.9 --4 MR. POLLOCK: Can we take judicial 5 notice that whatever the math is --6 THE COURT: It's a lot of money. 7 It's a lot of money. BY MR. BRODY: 8 9 Ο. And if you go to 19 billion, it's 10 double-plus, right? 11 That's typically not the way that 12 common benefit funds work. You -- typically, what 13 courts have done over decades with common benefit is 14 that the -- the larger, you know, the larger the 15 recovery, depending on the lodestar and the amount 16 of hours that are put in, you know, those numbers, 17 the percentage would be reduced. 18 But if you're asking me if the math, 19 if 12 percent of 8.9 is less than 12 percent of 19 20 billion, the answer is yes. THE COURT: Now, let me just address. 21 2.2 You know, obviously, if that focuses on the MDL and 23 any award by the District Court judge, that is prognosticating. There's been no determination. 24 And I will have Judge Singh, if Judge Singh would 2.5

Page 67 like to address that, go ahead. 1 2. JUDGE SINGH: Just to be abundantly 3 clear, to the extent there's any proffer of what would be recoverable under the common benefit order 4 5 entered in the MDL, the Court is not making any preliminary findings as to what may or may not be 6 awarded on the back end. 7 I do understand and appreciate some 8 9 of these points were raised in the parties' briefing 10 on the application, at least in federal court, as to 11 the potential import of the common benefit order. 12 The Court will consider those arguments or not 13 consider it, as appropriate, based off of the ethical standard. 14 15 Notwithstanding, I also recognize 16 there is the request in the federal court 17 application for the [prima] relief as applicable to 18 the plaintiffs' steering committee. To the extent 19 this may or may not be relevant to that alternative 20 relief, it will be addressed by the Court. 21 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. BRODY: 2.2 BY MR. BRODY: Mr. Birchfield, you know who the 23 0. attorney Allen Smith is, right? 2.4 I do. 2.5 Α.

Page 68 And you have a co-counsel 1 Ο. relationship with the Allen Smith law firm, right? 2. 3 Α. Yes. As a general matter, speaking not 4 5 limited to Allen Smith at this point, the majority of your talc claims are obtained or referred to you 6 7 through co-counsel relationships, right? I mean, the vast majority of, 8 Α. Yes. 9 you know, the claims that -- where we represent 10 claimants, we have co-counsel agreements. 11 Among them, Allen Smith has a Ο. 12 litigation financing arrangement, correct? 13 Α. I understand that Allen Smith and 14 probably a number of the co-counsel would have 15 litigation funding agreements. 16 And at some point, I believe Fortress 17 was financing some of the Allen Smith claims? MR. POLLOCK: Objection, Your Honor. 18 19 Relevance as to what Allen Smith is doing regarding 20 a litigation funding matter where the question is, Did Jim Conlan share confidential information with 21 2.2 Beasley Allen. 23 So we're asking for hearsay as to the 24 relationship regarding whatever this funding group is, what discussions they had. We don't have the 2.5

Page 69 term sheets and conditions here, and we are far 1 2. afield on relevancy because there's been no proof 3 that litigation funding is relevant to Mr. Birchfield's decisions. 4 5 So I would -- I object to this on 6 relevance. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Brody? 8 9 MR. BRODY: It goes to the same 10 point, Your Honor. And these are just, you know, 11 three preliminary questions, and I have about four 12 more questions after that on this issue. But it 13 goes to -- it goes to the, you know, what is driving Mr. Birchfield and what drove Mr. Birchfield to --14 15 THE COURT: Allegedly. 16 MR. BRODY: -- to take advantage of 17 the --18 THE COURT: We've made no findings. 19 MR. BRODY: Right. But to, you know, 20 join forces with Mr. Conlan over a period of over 21 six months last year to engage in strategies that he 2.2 knew and Mr. Conlan knew were adverse to what J&J 23 preferred. 2.4 I will sustain the THE COURT: objection with regard to the litigation from the 2.5

Page 70 1 agreements. 2. MR. BRODY: Fair enough. 3 THE COURT: On relevance. 4 MR. BRODY: Fair enough. 5 BY MR. BRODY: So, Mr. Birchfield, the -- your 6 Ο. 7 position is that -- first of all, you couldn't have hired Jim Conlan to be a lawyer at Beasley Allen to 8 work on the talc cases, right? 9 10 I never made -- I mean, never made Α. 11 any effort to hire him. I mean, that's the whole 12 point. I mean, he came to us as an vendor. But you -- you couldn't have done 13 O. 14 that, right? 15 Α. Wouldn't have -- would not have done 16 that, because if we're going to hire him, we would 17 have found out more about his involvement, the 18 length of this work. And at that point, we would 19 not have hired him. 20 I'm -- I'm kind of struggling with Q. 21 your answer. Are you telling me you think there 2.2 might be a circumstance where it would be okay to 23 hire somebody who had been outside counsel for your 24 opponent to work on the same matter? 2.5 Not without a waiver. Α.

Page 71 1 Huh? 0. 2. Α. Not without a -- no, I would not. 3 What I was saying is, you know, that what has come out in this courtroom was much different than 4 5 anything I ever knew, because Jim Conlan was working behind the scenes. The only thing that I knew was 6 7 what Jim Murdica had told me, and that was that he had worked with an FCR on a proposal that Jim 8 9 Murdica and I were working together to try to get 10 the Imerys TCC to sign off on. 11 And we've -- we've covered that. Ο. 12 don't want to -- I don't want to go back to that. 13 But you acknowledge, no matter what his role was, if 14 he's outside counsel for J&J on the talc litigation, 15 you can't hire him as a lawyer at Beasley Allen to 16 work on the talc litigation, right? 17 MR. POLLOCK: Objection; asked and 18 answered. 19 THE WITNESS: Agreed. 20 THE COURT: I'll permit the question. 21 But go ahead. 2.2 BY MR. BRODY: 23 And you can't hire him as an expert 0. witness, right? 24 2.5 I would not hire him as an expert Α.

	Page 72	
1	witness, no.	
2	Q. Right. But you couldn't. The ethics	
3	rules would prohibit you from doing that, right?	
4	A. Right.	
5	Q. Right. But just your position is	
6	that entering into a relationship with him as CEO of	
7	Legacy to design a resolution of the talc litigation	
8	was just fine, right?	
9	MR. POLLOCK: Objection.	
10	THE WITNESS: This proposal	
11	THE COURT: Hold on.	
12	MR. POLLOCK: To design a resolution,	
13	because that we're going to see this in briefs, I	
14	promise you, for months to come.	
15	THE COURT: Suggests facts that are	
16	not in evidence.	
17	MR. POLLOCK: Exactly.	
18	THE COURT: Okay.	
19	MR. POLLOCK: He can answer the	
20	question.	
21	THE COURT: I think it's more of a	
22	hypothetical than a factual question. Why don't you	
23	rephrase the question, Mr. Brody.	
24	BY MR. BRODY:	
25	Q. Sure. Your position is that the work	

Page 73 you did with Mr. Conlan as CEO of Legacy is just 1 2. fine, right? 3 I didn't get any confidential Α. information from Mr. Conlan. What -- what would 4 5 happen -- understanding the nature of this proposal makes that crystal clear, because if -- for this 6 7 proposal to go anywhere, it has to be acceptable to J&J. They can do it without my participation, and 8 9 that was a big concern. 10 But if the proposal were accepted, 11 then Legacy is standing in the shoes of J&J. 12 are our adversary every -- every bit as much as J&J 13 is now. They have all of the talc liability. That's -- that's the nature of it. So I went into 14 15 -- into these discussions knowing that. 16 THE COURT: Let me ask a question, 17 Mr. Brody. 18 MR. BRODY: Of course. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Birchfield, you said 20 you didn't get any confidential information from 21 Conlan regarding J&J. That's your testimony, right? 2.2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: So to the extent that you know you didn't get any confidential information, so 24 2.5 Mr. Conlan didn't say, "Hey, by the way,

Page 74 Mr. Birchfield, I have this information regarding 1 2. J&J, " he never came to you in that with regard, 3 right? 4 THE WITNESS: Right. 5 THE COURT: But he -- he could have 6 told you information that he got from J&J? I mean, 7 you're not discounting that; am I right? You wouldn't know that? 8 9 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know. 10 THE COURT: You wouldn't know, if he 11 came to you and said, "Mr. Birchfield," and I'm --12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 THE COURT: -- referring to you as "Mr. Birchfield" -- "Mr. Birchfield, if you do X, Y 14 15 and Z, why don't you consider that and convey that 16 to the plaintiffs' committee." 17 To the extent he got that information 18 from J&J, but didn't tell you that, you wouldn't 19 know that, right? I'm not trying to set you up. 20 THE WITNESS: Right. 21 THE COURT: Unless he said, "Hey, Mr. Birchfield, this is what J&J is doing. Here's 2.2 what they're thinking. I worked there for 22 23 24 months. This is the information I got, X, Y and Z." 2.5 He could have just came to you and

Page 75 said, "Mr. Birchfield, why don't you propose X, Y 1 2. and Z to your clients and the plaintiffs' 3 committee." THE WITNESS: He did not. He did 4 5 not. He did not do that. The discussion, all the discussions, you know, with Mr. Conlan were, and the 6 7 other Legacy people, were focused on the -- this proposal of structural optimization and 8 9 disaffiliation. 10 And so, you know, we didn't -- in 11 that proposal, we didn't need -- we didn't need any 12 information from them, except as to how structural 13 optimization and disaffiliation would work. And, 14 frankly, you know, our big concern was, What are --15 what are the traps? Are there minefields here that 16 we must -- that we must avoid? 17 Legacy --18 THE COURT: And money drove -- money 19 drives any settlement, right? So you had 8.9 20 billion, you got 19 billion. And so to the extent 21 any plan would work, it's fund -- it's fund-driven. 2.2 THE WITNESS: It is fund-driven. 23 Absolutely. You know, the focus, you know, from our 24 perspective was what -- what would give our clients reasonable compensation, period. So if we -- if we 2.5

Page 76 put together a structure, if we put together a 1 2. structure and a settlement fund that would provide 3 our clients with reasonable compensation, the common benefit will take care of itself. Other issues will 4 5 take care of itself. That was the goal. That was the 6 7 driver, to, you know, to get a resolution plan that would be acceptable to J&J. And that's where the 8 9 finality came in. 10 THE COURT: Thank you, 11 Mr. Birchfield. 12 Judge Singh? 13 JUDGE SINGH: Nothing. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 I didn't mean to sidetrack, but I 16 wanted to follow up with regard to that question. 17 MR. BRODY: It's quite all right. 18 BY MR. BRODY: 19 Mr. Birchfield, you would agree with Ο. 20 me that --21 THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Placitella 2.2 has something from --23 MR. PLACITELLA: Your Honor, someone just sent me a note that they could hear Mr. Haas' 24 questions or information being provided to Mr. Brody 2.5

	Page 77	
1	over the	
2	JUDGE SINGH: The mic.	
3	MR. HAAS: Your Honor, I	
4	MR. PLACITELLA: So I just want to	
5	let everybody know.	
6	MR. HAAS: Thank you.	
7	THE COURT: Thank you,	
8	Mr. Placitella.	
9	MR. HAAS: Thank you, Chris.	
10	Your Honor	
11	THE COURT: And that is probably the	
12	case with regard to that transponder that's in front	
13	of you, Mr. Haas.	
14	MR. HAAS: Thank you very much, Your	
15	Honor. But I am completely confident that anything	
16	I said to my counsel I have no problem sharing up to	
17	this point in this courtroom. So it's okay.	
18	THE COURT: So keep that in mind.	
19	You also have with your microphones, there's a mute	
20	button so that we can't hear. And you can also, I'm	
21	going to tell you, Mr. Pollock, Mr. Brody, that the	
22	green light, if you touch it, it becomes a red	
23	light.	
24	So thank you, sir.	
25	MR. PLACITELLA: So pardon me.	

Page 78 That's quite all right. 1 THE COURT: 2. I think that's important to know, and everybody needs to know. 3 MR. BRODY: I definitely didn't know. 4 5 Thank you. BY MR. BRODY: 6 7 It would certainly help, if you were Ο. trying to come up with a number that J&J would agree 8 9 to, to have somebody who had been on the inside, 10 wouldn't it? 11 MR. POLLOCK: Objection; speculative, 12 hypothetical. 13 THE COURT: No, I disagree. 14 Objection overruled. To the extent that 15 Mr. Birchfield understands the question and can not 16 speculate. 17 THE WITNESS: Not at -- not at that 18 point in time. I mean, at that point in time, by 19 the time I first ever met Jim Conlan, we had been --20 we had been negotiating, started negotiations, 21 started negotiations with Mr. Murdica in April of 2.2 2020. 23 BY MR. BRODY: 2.4 Ο. Okay. 2.5 And I had been focused on mediate --Α.

Page 79 I -- I'm sorry, Mr. Birchfield. 1 Ο. 2. question -- my question was just if you wanted to 3 come up with a number that J&J would agree to, it would help to have somebody who had been on the 4 5 inside, wouldn't it? 6 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honors, I 7 understand Mr. Brody wants a yes or no. Mr. Birchfield is explaining that he already knows 8 all this stuff, anyway. If he's going to ask that 9 10 question, I respectfully beg you, let my client 11 answer the question. Because Mr. Brody can't just 12 do it the way he wants to do it, because he wants a 13 short and fast, snappy answer. The truth -- we're 14 here to get the truth. I respectfully beg that 15 Mr. Birchfield be able to give his response. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 If you were responding to that 18 question, but with regard to -- more directly, 19 though, Mr. Birchfield. 20 THE WITNESS: At that point in time, 21 we had a very good idea of what J&J would willingly 2.2 pay under those circumstances. They put it on the 23 table. They had -- they had done that through the -- through the \$8.9 billion, you know, proposal that 24 they had rolled out. We had -- that was after a 2.5

Page 80 year-long, a year-long mediation. 1 2. So, no. What we were looking for was 3 a way, because the whole -- the whole idea was that J&J needed finality. They needed finality. You saw 4 5 the bankruptcy plan. The bankruptcy plan would not pay, would not pay reasonable compensation to our 6 7 clients. And so we were looking for a way to give J&J the finality so we could get it. 8 9 BY MR. BRODY: So I take it that's a no, you 10 Ο. 11 disagree, it wouldn't be helpful to have somebody 12 who had been on the inside if you wanted to come up 13 with a number that J&J would agree to, and so you 14 just tossed 19 billion out there when you knew that 15 J&J proposed 8.9? 16 I did not toss out the 19 billion. 17 The \$19 billion number is Jim Conlan and Legacy's number. And that is a -- that's what he testified 18 19 He testified as to how he got to that number. 20 And that would be for all talc liability for all 21 time, taking on all of J&J's talc liability. 2.2 That was not the number -- that's not a number for the ovarian cancer claims. The ovarian 23 24 cancer claims were a piece of that overall proposal, but only a piece. 2.5

Page 81 And you don't know whether that 1 0. number is based on confidential discussions he had 2. with J&J, do you? 3 He testified that it is not, and --4 Α. 5 Ο. Well, he testified to a lot of things. You don't know, do you? 6 7 If you're suggesting that J&J had Α. told them internally that that's the number that --8 I would find that surprising. But I don't have any 10 way of knowing. 11 All right. Fair enough. Let's wrap Ο. 12 this up. 13 You know that on Wednesday morning, 14 J&J announced a proposed plan of reorganization by LLT Management LLC for the comprehensive and final 15 16 resolution of all claims and future claims related 17 to ovarian cancer arising from cosmetic talc litigation against it and its affiliates in the 18 19 United States, right? 20 Yes. Α. 21 0. And you know that the announced plan 2.2 provides for a three-month solicitation period during which ovarian claimants are informed of its 23 terms and will have the opportunity to vote for or 24 against the plan, right? 2.5

Page 82 1 Α. I understand that that's a provision 2. of the proposal. 3 Ο. And that was announced on Wednesday morning, right? 4 5 Α. Yes. By 8:26 a.m. on Wednesday morning, 6 Ο. 7 the same day, you had issued a press release stating your opposition to it, right? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. And on -- you will probably remember 11 this, but I'm quoting what was attributed to you in 12 that press release. "We believe any bankruptcy 13 based on this solicitation and vote will be found 14 fraudulent and filed in bad faith under the 15 Bankruptcy Code. On behalf of our clients who 16 deserve better, we are blowing the whistle on this 17 cynical legal tactic and will resist it at every 18 turn." 19 That was your statement, right? 20 Yes. Α. 21 And Ms. O'Dell also made a statement Ο. 2.2 in opposition, correct? 23 Α. Yes. 2.4 And she was quoted as saying, "The Ο. company is afraid of a legitimate vote among those 2.5

Page 83 who are truly sick and the families of the deceased 1 2. who have been battling J&J's obstruction and bad 3 faith for years and who are supported by numerous scientific studies showing that talc contains 4 5 asbestos and other known cancer-causing ingredients." 6 7 That's what Ms. O'Dell stated, right? 8 Α. Yes. So you are both out in the media 9 0. 10 resisting J&J's latest plan to resolve its talc 11 liabilities, right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. You even sent an open letter to the 14 legal community on the same day, right? 15 Α. That's right. 16 And you did that under the Mass Torts Ο. 17 Made Perfect organization logo, didn't you? 18 Α. It was a joint letter with Mike 19 Papantonio. 20 And you don't just want to, as you Q. 21 stated there, you don't merely want to challenge 2.2 J&J's proposed resolution as filed in bad faith, although, frankly, nothing has been filed, as you 23 indicated, but you also want to try to galvanize 24 claimant opposition to J&J's plan, right? 2.5

Page 84 1 Α. Yes. 2. Ο. And you're urging people to be united 3 in opposition to the J&J plan, right? 4 Α. Yes. 5 So, I mean, really, nothing has 6 changed since last summer when you were opposing the 7 J&J LTL 2 plan; you're still opposing the way in which J&J wants to resolve these claims, right? 8 9 MR. POLLOCK: Objection, Your Honor. 10 Again, relevance. What does the challenge to a plan 11 today have to do with a question of disclosure a 12 year ago? I don't see any connection. 13 MR. BRODY: Your Honor, he answered 14 the question "yes," and that was my last question. 15 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honor, I still 16 object to it. I, frankly, I don't know what --17 Mr. Brody said he would be done in half an hour. 18 Now it's now two hours. At this rate, we'll be here 19 all day. 20 THE COURT: I'm sustaining the 21 objection. MR. BRODY: That's fine. I have 2.2 23 nothing further. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 2.5 Judge Singh, do you want to take a

	Page 85
1	break?
2	JUDGE SINGH: Yeah, we can take a
3	break.
4	THE COURT: Okay. May we take 10
5	minutes, everyone?
6	MR. POLLOCK: That's fine, Your
7	Honor. What time would you like to reconvene?
8	THE COURT: 25 after 11. I'm using
9	that clock. I know we all haven't synchronized, but
10	we'll take 10 minutes.
11	(A recess was taken.)
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be
13	seated.
14	Mr. Birchfield, come on back. You're
15	still under oath, sir.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: And Mr. Pollock, you have
18	some questions?
19	MR. POLLOCK: I do, Your Honor
20	Honors. I apologize.
21	THE COURT: We're going to wait for
22	Mr. Brody. Okay.
23	MR. POLLOCK: It would be so much
24	faster without him.
25	MR. BRODY: Apologies.

Page 86 1 THE COURT: It's quite all right. 2. Just for the record, you were pretty 3 close to our time. But go ahead, Mr. Pollock. MR. POLLOCK: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. POLLOCK: 8 9 Mr. Birchfield, what I would like to 0. 10 do is start with the --11 MR. POLLOCK: And Your Honors, this 12 goes to the question as to whether Mr. Conlan had 13 significantly -- had significantly harmful 14 information. Yuna. So that's what I'm focused on 15 here. 16 BY MR. POLLOCK: 17 Mr. Birchfield, can you please walk Q. 18 me through what Beasley Allen first -- when did it 19 first become involved in talc litigation against 20 J&J? 21 It began in 2013. In 2013, you know, 2.2 is when we -- when we opened our first case and 23 began investigating the talc issues against J&J. 24 Ο. And do you know what that first case 25 was, by chance?

		Page 87
1	А.	I don't.
2	Q.	And do you know when they had their
3	first verdict?	
4	Α.	Okay. So the first trial was in
5	2016. In Febru	uary of 2016, we had the Fox trial.
6	That was a \$72	million verdict.
7	Q.	And is there another one called
8	Ristesund, R-I	-S-T-E-S-U-N-D? Have you heard of
9	that one?	
10	Α.	Ristesund, yes.
11	Q.	And when was that one, sir?
12	Α.	So it was in 2016, as well. So we
13	had, in 2016, w	we had three, three verdicts; the \$72
14	million verdict	t, a, I believe, a \$55 million
15	verdict, and a	\$70 million verdict in in 2016.
16	Q.	And in 2000 was Leigh O'Dell ever
17	appointed as co	o-lead of the MDL?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	When did that occur?
20	Α.	That would have been in 2016, as
21	well. I belie	ve it would be December of 2016.
22		MR. POLLOCK: I'm going to skip a few
23	just for brevi	ty, Your Honors.
24	Q.	Slemp, there's a matter called Slemp.
25	Have you heard	of that one?

Page 88 1 Yes. Α. 2. Ο. What was Slemp? 3 Slemp was an ovarian cancer trial. Ι Α. believe that was a \$110 million verdict. 4 5 And do you know approximately what 6 year that was? 7 I believe that was 2017. Three in -in 20 -- in 2016, we had three verdicts, three 8 favorable plaintiffs' verdicts. And then in the 9 10 beginning of 2017, we had a Daniels case that was a 11 defense verdict. And then we had the Slemp -- Slemp 12 trial, ovarian cancer trial. I believe that was a 13 \$110 million verdict. And then those cases were --14 those cases, the verdicts were vacated based on the 15 Bristol-Myers-Squibb case out the Supreme Court. 16 The verdicts were vacated on personal jurisdiction, 17 but those cases are -- those cases still ready to be 18 retried. 19 I'm sorry. 20 Were there any Daubert challenges, Q. 21 Igbal, Twombly, Kuhmo Tire challenges as to expert 2.2 qualifications during the course of these trials? I mean, every -- I mean, every 23 Α. trial, you know, you would have -- maybe not 24 Daubert. I mean, you had -- I mean, in -- in --2.5

Page 89 preceding these trials, you know, the courts would 1 2. weigh under the local state standard. So, in New 3 Jersey, it would be Kemp. In California, which 4 was --5 THE COURT: Accutane now. 6 THE WITNESS: Accutane now? Okay. 7 All right. 8 THE COURT: Just for our record, Kemp 9 Accutane Daubert. 10 MR. POLLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 THE WITNESS: There is Sargon. You 12 know, there was a -- in 2017, after the Slemp case, 13 we have tried the Echeverria case in California. 14 There was a Sargon hearing, you know, there. 15 BY MR. POLLOCK: 16 What's a Sargon hearing? I missed Ο. 17 that one. 18 Α. It's the -- it's the equivalent of 19 the Accutane here, but in California. The state 20 court equivalent of a Daubert, you know, proceeding. 21 And can you describe for the Court 2.2 the team, the Beasley Allen team that works on --23 what's your role within the talc stuff that you do? 24 What's your title? 2.5 I'm the section head for the mass Α.

Page 90 tort section. Our firm is divided into -- into 1 2. sections, and I head up our mass tort section. And 3 so we have a, you know, a pretty -- pretty large team, 30 something lawyers, you know, in the mass 4 5 tort section, and a, you know, a staff, a large 6 staff. 7 And so I'm -- I manage, I supervise, and -- and, you know, since 2020, I have been 8 9 hands-on in the, you know, in the talc. Before 10 that, I didn't -- I was not the one that tried any 11 of those cases, so -- and so Ted Meadows, you know, 12 tried, and Jerry Beasley, our senior partner, tried 13 the first Fox case in 2016. But, I mean, I would be -- I was 14 15 involved and learning the case, learning the 16 details, I mean, and supervising, but I was -- I had 17 other things prior to April of 2020. 18 And at that point, at that point, I 19 had my first meeting, you know, with Mr. Murdica, 20 who testified here. And at that point, you know, we 21 began, you know, pursuing resolution. So we had a 2.2 number of -- a number of trials we had gone through. 23 I'm sorry, I'll stop. But we had 24 gone through a number of trials up to that point. My role prior to April 2020 was more of a 25

Page 91 supervisory, you know, role within the section. 1 2. Ο. Is there -- did there come a point in 3 time when you weren't just representing clients for Beasley Allen, but you also had a leadership role 4 5 among the torts bar at large? That would have been -- well, I 6 Α. Yes. 7 mean, officially, it would have been in the MDL. So the -- before, before 2016, there was no -- there 8 9 was no MDL formed. Once the MDL was formed, Judge 10 Wolfson appointed Leigh O'Dell, my law partner, as 11 co-lead, along with Michelle Parfitt from Ashcraft 12 Gerel. She appointed the, you know, the committee. 13 And so Leigh and Michelle, Ms. O'Dell and Ms. Parfitt, served as co-lead counsel. And so 14 15 we had a leadership role nationally in that regard. 16 There's coordinated litigation here 17 in New Jersey, and, you know, Ted Meadows and 18 Mr. Placitella and Mr. Golomb, you know, were 19 instrumental there. I don't think there's an 20 official order, just -- just that role. 21 Ο. And do you, do you, as chair of the 2.2 department, do you view your role as of the time --23 I think you mentioned 2016 -- that your role vis-a-vis the other plaintiffs' law firms, that you 24 25 owe their clients a duty of loyalty, too; that

Page 92 you're there to protect their joint interests? 1 2. Α. Yes. I mean, our view, our view --3 and when I say "our view," it's shared by Ms. O'Dell, and it's our -- our firm approach. And 4 5 there is -- there are differences in how, you know, how firms would approach the leadership roles in 6 7 MDLs. But, I mean, my first -- my first MDL 8 9 leadership role was in Vioxx before Judge Eldon 10 Fallon and, you know, in that -- it was -- it was 11 instilled then. You know, you've got a duty to all 12 the plaintiffs. There are -- there are -- there are 13 a number of times when leadership have resolved 14 their own cases, and that could be -- you know, we 15 would never abandon our role as leadership. We have a fiduciary duty, in my view, to all of the, you 16 17 know, all of the plaintiffs that are pending in the 18 MDL, the lawyers and the plaintiffs. 19 I would like to address to the Court Ο. 20 what you learned, and I'm going to skip all the way up to 2021. I'm going to go right to this point 21 2.2 right here, when Mr. Conlan joins Faegre. So let's call it sometime in 2020. I would like you to 23 briefly describe what you learned. 24 2.5 MR. POLLOCK: And the premise, Your

Page 93 Honors, for my question is, What did Mr. Conlan know 1 2. that he didn't already know? That's really what I'm 3 getting to. All right? So, Andy, if you could walk through, 4 Ο. 5 what did you learn from 2013 to 2020 regarding the natures of the claims, the types of claims, value of 6 7 the claims, matrices? Just go for it. THE COURT: I think Mr. Brody wants 8 9 to address the Court, Mr. Pollock. MR. BRODY: Well, first, I'm going to 10 11 object to the question as calling for a narrative. 12 You know, it's -- you know, what did you learn about 13 six, seven, eight, nine things. I think the question should be more focused. 14 15 You know, generally, I'm all in favor 16 of counsel framing things for the Court when they're 17 going to go into a certain area and ask questions, but I think what we're hearing from Mr. Pollock is 18 19 argument, and I think that it's improper to offer 20 argument during the examination of Mr. Birchfield. 21 So I would object to the continued 2.2 framing arguments. 23 THE COURT: Could you rephrase the 24 question --2.5 MR. POLLOCK: Of course.

Page 94 THE COURT: -- Mr. Pollock with 1 2. regard to what you're exactly -- the testimony you want to elicit from Mr. Birchfield. 3 MR. POLLOCK: Absolutely. 4 5 BY MR. POLLOCK: Mr. Birchfield, the challenge here 6 0. 7 today is that you obtained information that was confidential to J&J and that you got it from 8 9 Mr. Conlan. That is the allegation that's been brought against you. 10 11 I would like to know, what did you 12 know before, regarding these claims, what did you 13 know before you met Jim Conlan? 14 Before I ever met Jim Conlan, we had 15 extensive, extensive knowledge and understanding 16 about the nature of these claims, as well as the --17 as well as what J -- how J&J would evaluate these, 18 you know, these claims. 19 And we gained that because there is 20 -- there, in my view, you know, there -- you learn 21 to strengthen the weaknesses of the cases through 2.2 trials. And so we had tried multiple, multiple trials before -- before we ever -- before I ever 23 24 met, you know, Jim Conlan. 2.5 Before I ever met, you know, Legacy,

Page 95 1 Jim Conlan, or anyone at Legacy, we had gone through 2. multiple Daubert-like, you know, hearings. gone through the MDL in front of Judge Wolfson. So, 3 I mean, that was extensive briefing and expert 4 5 reports and live testimony. And we had the, you know, we had the Daubert decision, you know, from 6 7 Judge Wolfson. We had gone through, you know, a 8 9 similar type proceeding in front of Judge Nelson 10 Johnson, both here and up on appeal to the -- to the 11 Appellate Court. 12 And then, beyond that, we, you know, 13 we had -- I had extensive negotiations, you know, on 14 behalf of, you know, ovarian cancer claimants with, 15 you know, with Jim Murdica. And so I had, I believe 16 -- I believe the first matrix, you know, that I 17 provided, you know, to -- to J&J through Jim 18 Murdica, I believe that was as early as May of 2020. 19 And so we had -- we had been 20 evaluating, you know, how, how can -- how can we put 21 together a structure for global resolution. 2.2 been working on that for a long time, a long time 23 before I ever met, you know, anyone -- Jim Conlan or 24 anyone from, you know, from Legacy. 2.5 You know, we -- we negotiated. We

Page 96

negotiated, you know, toward a, you know, private global -- when I say "private," I mean through the, you know, through a global deal for all claimants, but not part of any bankruptcy. We did that.

And then there was a proposal that was a bolt-on to the -- to the Imerys bankruptcy.

And so we had negotiated that.

All of that, all of that requires, you know, an in-depth understanding of the nature of the claims. What are, you know, what are truly compensable claims, what are the claims that are supported by the -- by the science and the medicine, and what's not.

It also requires an understanding of how many claims. What is the -- what's the total, you know, universe of claims. You know, you need to know that, unless it's just purely a, you know, a pay-as-you-go type proposal. You need to know what are the number of claims.

And so we had -- we had worked very closely with, you know, firms across the country to, you know, to assess that, to get a handle on what the total number of claims, you know, were. All of that was done, all of that was done before -- before J&J filed its first bankruptcy.

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 97 Then, when J&J filed its first 1 2. bankruptcy in October of 2021, at first -- at first, 3 I, on behalf of, you know, our ovarian cancer team, I was pushing -- I was pushing for resolution in --4 5 in that bankruptcy, in that bankruptcy context. And now I look back and -- and I see that that was, you 6 7 know, that that was a mistake. We had, at that point, you know, 8 9 there was meso -- there were mesothelioma lawyers 10 that were representing clients on the TCC, and they 11 were saying, No, you -- you can't, you can't. We've 12 had experience with bankruptcy. We've had 13 experience with the Texas Two-Step. It -- it will 14 not work. They will -- you cannot get reasonable 15 resolution in the bankruptcy context. 16 I resisted that and I was pushing for 17 resolution in the bankruptcy context at the 18 beginning of LTL 1. 19 But then after we got -- so, through 20 that process, you know, in the -- the LTL 1, you 21 know, was filed in North Carolina. 2.2 transferred to Judge Kaplan here in New Jersey. 23 Judge Kaplan, you know, urged mediation. 24 didn't -- that didn't happen, I mean, not officially before the motion to dismiss hearing. But we -- but 2.5

Page 98 1 we did engage. We were trying to reach a resolution. 3 Once Judge Kaplan denied the motion to dismiss, when he denied that motion to dismiss, 4 5 he ordered mediation, and he ordered -- he ordered Judge Joel Schneider and Gary Russo to serve as 6 mediators. And so --7 THE COURT: And I think that's, you 8 9 know -- I'm sorry, Mr. Birchfield. I think that 10 gets us to where we were --11 THE WITNESS: Sure. 12 THE COURT: -- to the crux of your 13 question; what did he know at that time frame. MR. POLLOCK: Correct. I think 14 15 you're -- I think you're right, Your Honor. 16 BY MR. POLLOCK: 17 Imerys. I know that everyone else Q. 18 probably knows more than I do. What was the Imerys 19 bankruptcy? 20 Imerys -- Imerys is a mining company. Α. 21 They were a supplier to J&J. So Imerys and Cyprus 2.2 were suppliers. They both filed bankruptcies. 23 Imerys bankruptcy was filed in, I think, February of 24 2019. And, you know, and Beasley Allen, Leigh O'Dell, you know, Ted Meadows, we served -- we 2.5

Page 99 represented a member of that Imerys tort claimants 1 2. committee, as well. There's a Cyprus -- Cyprus 3 filed bankruptcy, as well, but that was primarily for mesothelioma. We didn't -- we did not have a 4 5 client on that committee. During the course of the Imerys 6 Ο. bankruptcy, did you have discussions with J&J 7 regarding potential resolution of the talc claims? 8 9 Α. We did. 10 Ο. And over what time period did you 11 have those discussions? 12 Α. I believe that would have been in --13 it would have been the fall of 2020 and up through 14 the spring of 2021, roughly. 15 Ο. And the -- were there -- was there a 16 settlement matrix that you ever shared with anyone 17 at that point in time? 18 Α. Yes. I mean, there was a settlement 19 matrix that was, you know, that was developed and --20 as part of that process, as well. And when you say the settlement 21 2.2 matrix was developed, is this something that you worked on with the talc claimants committee? 23 24 Yeah, I mean, it is something that we Α. -- that was, you know, presented, you know, to the, 25

Page 100

you know, to the tort claimants committee. I'm just -- I'm just hesitant here because there are very, very strict rules about what's -- what goes on within the, you know, tort claimants committees in these bankruptcies.

- Q. Fair enough. On the -- I lost my train of thought. Sorry. During the course of time you were working on Imerys, did you gain insight as to what you believed were the settlement values that were -- that J&J was looking for? Do you know anything about their settlement position?
- A. Well, yes. I mean, through the -through the course of, you know, through the course
 of negotiations, you know, we were given, you know,
 pretty, you know, clear picture of at least what
 they were, you know, they were saying that they
 would be willing to pay at that point.
- Q. And if I were to pick the time, I have on the calendar up here, the clock up here, 2019 roughly is when Imerys starts, and they have 2024 as Imerys ongoing. I don't know if that's accurate.

Were the number of claims, as you understood them, changing, the number of claimants and the number of types of claims changing between

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 101 1 2019 and 2023 in Imerys? I'm having a little -- a little 2. 3 difficulty, you know, teasing out, you know, 4 Imerys --5 Sure. Q. -- because, you know, because I can't 6 Α. 7 really speak to Imerys on --8 Ο. Let me try to -- try it over again, 9 and ask a different question because I probably 10 screwed it up. 11 I apologize, Judges. I'm not a talc 12 guy. I just -- I live a decent life. I have not 13 done this talc claim, so I apologize if I botched 14 it. 15 Let me make the question broader. Ιf 16 I look at the number of talc claims regarding J&J 17 just generally between 2019 and 2022-23, do you 18 believe there was a change in the number and value 19 of those claims? 20 There was a -- there was a Α. 21 significant, you know, change in the, you know, in 2.2 the number, in the total number of claims. I mean, 23 that -- that is true. And, I mean, there are -- you 24 know, there were factors that, you know, any lawyer would weigh in, you know, impacting, you know, the 25

Page 102 value or a reasonable settlement value, you know, of 1 2. those claims. Those factors would -- would change, 3 you know, over, you know, over time, as well. But, I mean, over the course of, you 4 5 know, over the course of this litigation, over the last, you know, the ten years, there have been, 6 7 there have been, you know, milestones that have impacted the, you know, the total number of -- the 8 9 total number of claims. 10 So if we could go to something that 11 I'm dying to get into because I love numbers. We're 12 going to go to Exhibit 7, sir. This is one that 13 Mr. Brody was asking you about. Let me know when 14 you're there. 15 Α. I'm there. 16 And Exhibit 7 has a proposal from Ο. 17 James Conlan, Doug Dachille, and John Gasparovic, 18 and I'm looking at Plaintiff's -- Plenary Hearing 19 66. 20 Α. Okay. 21 And that has a matrix then at the 0. 2.2 end, at 67. 23 Yes. Α. 24 Ο. So I want to focus, first of all, on 2.5 the letter.

Page 103 Am I correct in understanding that 1 2. you had not seen this document nor a draft of it 3 until you -- this litigation began? That's right. I mean, the first time 4 Α. 5 I saw this letter was when this motion was filed. And if we go back to the email that 6 7 Mr. Brody was asking you about, which is Exhibit 4, am I -- is it fair to -- am I correct in 8 9 understanding that you did not get a cc on this 10 document, either? 11 Α. I did not. 12 Now, if we -- if we go to -- and I'm 0. 13 worried about timing here, but we'll get into it. On Exhibit 6, which is Plenary Exhibit -- it's the 14 15 Exhibit 7, it's the last page of the document. It's 16 the matrix there. 17 Could you walk us through -- first of all who created this matrix? 18 19 I did, in -- in conjunction with the Α. leadership committee on the talc claims. 20 21 So there's been a lot of questions, comment about the matrix. There's been oral 2.2 23 argument about the matrix. Since you're the author 24 of it, you might be the one to say. What -- can you explain this Court to 2.5

Page 104

the matrix [sic], what is this telling -- what is this telling the receiver? What is it -- what are you trying to describe?

A. And so this, this -- the way that this would work is that if a -- and this is -- this is not, you know, uncommon. We've developed, you know, similar type, you know, matrices in other -- other litigations, you know. We developed a similar one in Vioxx in the early, you know, mid 2000s.

But the way that this would work is a client -- claimant, client, would -- would be able to identify, okay, when was I diagnosed, how old was I when I was diagnosed. And so -- and then what was the, you know, what was the injury, what stage. Was I diagnosed with stage 4. You know, if I was diagnosed with stage 4 when I'm 55 years old, then you would -- you would see, you know, that that is -- that would -- the allocation there would be 500,000. 531,995. That -- that's how it would work.

And then, you know, there would be -a term sheet would provide, you know, qualification
criteria, you know, and -- and that's a -- that's a
key component, and that's -- that is a -- that's a
primary, a significant reason, you know, for our

2.

Page 105 opposition, and especially our opposition to this, 1 2. you know, this last proposal. 3 What we would base our, you know, any -- any settlement on would be the, you know, the 4 5 claims that are -- the claims that are supported by the medicine and the science for the ovarian cancer, 6 7 you know, claimants. The type of cancer, the subtypes that are supported by the science. You're 8 9 talking about, you know, the epithelial ovarian 10 cancer. It can be the fallopian tube or peritoneal cancers. All the same. 11 12 But if you meet that criteria, you 13 have the appropriate, you know, subtype, then you would be qualified here. And so you -- that's how 14 the matrix would work. 15 16 So when I was talking to Mr. Conlan, 17 I think I talked about peanut butter and chocolate, 18 Reese's cups, because it was almost lunch. 19 part of the deal in Exhibit 7 is a Jim Conlan thing, 20 and the attachment is an Andy Birchfield thing. 21 Is that -- do you believe that's a 2.2 fair statement? 23 I mean, the matrix -- the Α. 24 matrix is -- is -- that's -- that's definitely us. You know, I mean me on behalf of the -- of the team, 2.5

	Page 106
1	the ovarian cancer team.
2	Q. Did you ever haggle
3	THE COURT: Let me ask a question,
4	Mr. Pollock, I apologize.
5	MR. POLLOCK: Yes, of course.
6	THE COURT: So the total funding of
7	this matrix is \$19 billion?
8	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
9	THE COURT: What's the total funding
10	of the matrix, if you know?
11	THE WITNESS: Looking at well, so,
12	in order to determine that, I mean, I can tell you
13	I can tell you what
14	THE COURT: But it's more than \$19
15	million.
16	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
17	THE COURT: \$19 billion.
18	THE WITNESS: No, sir. It would be
19	it would be less than the 19 billion.
20	So, the 19 billion that that Mr.
21	the Legacy proposal includes, that that is a
22	proposal for Legacy to acquire an entity with all of
23	J&J's talc liability for all of time. So that would
24	include it would include the current ovarian
25	cancer claims, it would include the future ovarian

Page 107 cancer claims, it would include -- at the time that 1 2. this proposal was made, it would have included the mesothelioma, present and future, it would have 3 included the attorney generals, you know, actions. 4 5 And so you had the, you know, two, 6 two states that were litigating, but you also had an 7 ad hoc group of 42 states. So all -- you know, essentially, all the states, you know, had claims. 8 9 It would have included all, all of that, any 10 talc-related liability from J&J. 11 And so, you know, most of the -- most 12 of those buckets are fairly easy to model. Talc, I 13 mean, asbestos, mesothelioma, that litigation has been going on for decades, so there are easy ways to 14 15 model how many claims you will have each year. It's 16 much more difficult with ovarian cancer. 17 That's why, you know, for a Legacy 18 proposal, they needed -- they needed information 19 from us. We didn't need information from them. 20 They needed information from us. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. 2.2 I wanted -- I apologize. I didn't 23 want to get too far afield because I wanted to stay 24 -- I wanted to make sure my question was addressed 2.5 on the current question that you were posing.

Page 108 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honors, this is your courtroom, and I respect that, and we're here to answer your questions. You're the audience, so we're here to -- you can jump in any time you want. BY MR. POLLOCK: Ο. Is it fair to say that you were -you never haggled with Jim Conlan over the values in your matrix; your values are your values? Α. That is -- that is true. I mean, this is a -- this is the product of a matrix that -this wasn't our first. You know, this wasn't our first matrix. This wasn't our opening demand in this litigation. Before we got to this, you know, this matrix, it had been compromised -- we had compromised from -- you know, through two rounds of mediation. I mean, to some extent, J&J really didn't engage in the mediation in LTL 2, but we had engaged in negotiations through LTL 1, and then even, you know, following the dismissal. So, and as I mentioned earlier, we had -- we had -- we had put together a matrix. We, the ovarian cancer, you know, team, had put together a matrix very similar to this, and a term sheet in

March of 2023 that we offered to present to J&J in a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Page 109 meeting with Mr. Haas and Ms. Forminard, their 1 general counsel. And -- but they declined that 2. 3 meeting. But that was put together. This matrix is -- it's a compromised matrix through years of 4 negotiation, but this was our matrix. 5 To put a point on it, you weren't 6 Ο. 7 compromising with Jim Conlan? 8 Α. No, no, we were not. We were not 9 haggling, we were not discussing, we were not 10 negotiating the matrix. 11 So to quote from the language of RPC Ο. 12 1.6, first line, "A lawyer shall not reveal 13 information relating to representation of a client unless the client consents, " and it does go on from 14 15 there. 16 Under oath, to the best of your 17 knowledge and belief, at any point in time, did 18 Mr. Conlan ever reveal to you any information that 19 he had learned while at J&J? 20 Α. No. 21 Sitting here today, I recognize it's Ο. 2.2 impossible to look behind the black screen of what Mr. Conlan learned during the course of his 23 24 representation of J&J at Faegre. Is there anything you can think of that he would have learned, having 25

Page 110 heard his testimony, that was conceivably relevant 1 2. to your position of representing clients in Beasley Allen? 3 Not at that point in time. By the 4 Α. 5 time I met him, there would have been -- there would have been nothing that I can think of. 6 Have you seen a single document, 7 Ο. record, anything here that Mr. Conlan shared any J&J 8 9 confidences with you? 10 Α. No. 11 MR. BRODY: I'm going to object to 12 that, Your Honor. Really, he's asking for an 13 opinion on the evidence. 14 THE COURT: Are you asking for an 15 opinion or a fact, Mr. Pollock? 16 MR. POLLOCK: I'm asking for the 17 factual question. He's being accused of having received information --18 19 THE COURT: To the extent he knows. 20 MR. POLLOCK: And he said he did not. 21 THE COURT: Right. 2.2 Mr. Brody? But I think the Court's questions 23 earlier on the basis of your questions, Mr. Brody, 24 is, you know, candidly, he -- he may not know. 2.5

```
Page 111
1
                    MR. BRODY: Exactly. Exactly, Your
 2.
     Honor.
                    THE COURT: So his testimony is "no."
 3
                    MR. POLLOCK: We can address this
 4
 5
     later. I think his testimony is more that. I think
     it's, "I know I didn't receive anything," and it's
 6
 7
     also, "No, I can't think of anything that he could
     have shared with me that was relevant."
8
9
                    Because -- you know, let's -- so
10
     let's -- let me drill down on this a little bit, if
11
     you would.
12
                    THE COURT: Go ahead.
13
     BY MR. POLLOCK:
14
                    He's at Faegre until -- I can't
            0.
15
     remember, sorry.
16
                    THE COURT: March 2023.
17
     BY MR. POLLOCK:
18
                    March '23. So during -- can you
            0.
19
     describe briefly for the Court the changes in the
20
     number of tort claims, asbestos claims that are out
21
     there between June 2020 and February -- I'm sorry,
2.2
     March 2023. Can you describe what the evolution or
23
     change is, if there's any?
24
                    Well, yes, there were -- there was a
            Α.
     significant -- I mean, there was a significant
2.5
```

Page 112 increase in the total number of claims. And I am --1 2. I mean, I apologize. This is -- this is just --3 there's so much that's mixed in here, and I just --I want to be -- I want to be clear. 4 5 From our perspective, you talk about two different things. You talk about the total 6 7 number of compensable claims versus the total number of claims. And so to answer your question, I really 8 9 need to know what are you -- what are you asking me. 10 Let me break it out. Ο. 11 Α. Okay. 12 That's a fair comment. Q. 13 So at what -- and you know the dates 14 better than I do. LTL was filed, according to me, on October 2021. LTL is dismissed on January of 15 16 Does that sound about right? 17 The Third Circuit -- the Third Α. Yeah. 18 Circuit issued its opinion on January the 30th, 19 2023. 20 Q. Okay. It was the mandate issue that was 21 2.2 technically dismissed by Judge Kaplan on April the 4th of 2023. 23 2.4 And so -- and you live in this world; Ο. I visit it. The number of claims out there, did 2.5

that change significantly as the result of the Third Circuit's rejection of the LTL plan?

A. I wouldn't say that there was -- I wouldn't say that there was a significant number of change, changes as a result of the Third Circuit. I mean, there were -- along the scope of this litigation, you know, there had been some key events that have, you know, caused spikes in the number of claims, in the number of claims -- and in the number of claims, you know, filed. And, you know, some were, you know, were verdicts. You know, some were, you know, the, you know, the US Supreme Court's decision in Ingham, you know, the Daubert rulings.

And, but the biggest, the biggest, you know, spike in the total number of claims came as a result of the, you know, the LTL filing. And that's -- and that's -- that's a major concern from our perspective because we have -- we've always looked at, you know, the -- what are the compensable claims.

And so Ms. O'Dell and Ms. Parfitt, you know, as part of the MDL that communicate with lawyers, they communicated, and they have over the course of these years, indicate -- telling them, you know, this is what, you know, this is what the

2.

2.2

science shows, these are the types of cases that are supported by the science, just these -- just this finite group.

But then, when J&J filed its second bankruptcy, they brought in all these other claims, these other gynecological cancers that are not -- not supported to date by the scientific literature. You know, so cervical cancer cases, uterine cancer cases, vaginal cancer cases, you know, other types of cases. They're serious injuries; they're just not linked.

But the -- in the second bankruptcy and the third that is proposed, that's what -- they're bringing those claims in. They're bringing those claims in and would have them vote. They wouldn't vote. And vote on the plan that, if passed, would then force these unreasonably low values on the -- on the meritorious claims, the claims that are supported by the science.

Q. Let me switch gears for a second here. With regard to expert, Mr. Brody intimated in the first day before Judge Porto that Mr. Conlan -- he was citing a case from the District Court of New Jersey that he was an expert.

Did you ever retain Jim Conlan as an

2.

2.2

Page 115 1 expert? 2. MR. BRODY: I'm going to object to the characterization of my argument from January 3 17th because it is inaccurate. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, you will have an opportunity to address that. I certainly know our 6 7 records reflect what it is. But go ahead, Mr. Pollock. 8 9 MR. POLLOCK: And I'm not going to 10 quibble. BY MR. POLLOCK: 11 12 But did you ever retain him as an Q. 13 expert? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Did you ever seek advice from him 16 regarding how to prosecute or pursue your claims 17 against J&J? 18 Α. No. 19 A side-switching lawyer was another 20 argument that was raised. Did you ever retain 21 Mr. Conlan? 2.2 Α. No. I mean, I -- from the very 23 beginning of, you know, of any interaction with Jim 24 Conlan or Legacy, I recognized, I recognized the, you know, the role that they would play, and they 2.5

would be standing in the shoes of J&J. They would be our adversary.

I mean, any claim, any -- the

proposal that we put forward, the proposal that is in here, it is a -- it's an opt-in proposal.

Clients would voluntarily choose to accept the settlement, or not. And if -- if the -- if the settlement, if they chose not to, then -- or if the settlement proposal didn't meet the threshold of 95 percent, Legacy would be litigating on -- they would have full -- full responsibility, full liability.

We would be litigating against them.

So I approached this, our team, you know, approached this with that understanding and that appreciation. They would be our -- they would be the adversary. They would be on the opposite side of the V from us, if J&J chose to sell off its -- all of its talc liability to Legacy or to whoever.

Q. Mr. Brody has argued repeatedly that there was an alliance between you and Jim Conlan to work against J&J. Do you agree that you were ever in an alliance with Mr. Conlan to work against the interest of J&J?

A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Page 117 1 Why not? 0. 2. Α. As I just said, I mean, that -- you 3 know, this was -- this was not -- it was not against It's against -- it's against the proposal that 4 5 Mr. Haas has said is his preferred method, in his preferred bankruptcy, but is not opposed to, you 6 7 know, to J&J. I think this is -- I think that getting rid of the talc liability would actually be 8 9 in the best interest of J&J. 10 Did you ever sit down with Jim Conlan 11 and say, "Jim, I got an idea. We can get more money 12 out of J&J this way." Was that ever one of the 13 discussions you had? 14 Α. No. 15 Ο. And so when I look at Exhibit 4, it 16 says from Jim Conlan to Duane Van Arsdale, Doug 17 Dachille, Erik Haas, and Andrew White. It says: 18 "Duane, Thank you for your efforts to evaluate our 19 proposal." 20 Whose proposal is it? 21 Α. It's Legacy's proposal. 2.2 And that's a proposal that you had Q. 23 not seen before it was sent to J&J, correct? 24 Α. Correct. 2.5 Q. And at the end, it says Doug -- "Andy

Birchfield, Doug Dachille, and I are prepared to meet with you."

Did you -- did you ever take from that sentence that the idea, or did -- was it your belief that you two were going to link up, that is, you and Legacy, were going to link up and go attack J&J and take them for everything they're worth?

- A. No, not at all.
- Q. What was your understanding of what the meeting was about?
- A. The meeting was to, as I understood it, at least as, you know, the invitation to me, would I join, would be for me to talk about the matrix and how it would provide a measure of certainty, you know, that the auditors, the external auditors, Pricewaterhouse, would be able to, you know, to factor in, because they would have to give -- they would have to give sign-off on the -- they would have to sign off on the GAAP procedure, the ASC 450. They would have to sign off on that to remove the noncash charge from J&J to get the talc liability off of J&J's books.

And the -- and the uncertainty around the ovarian cancer case liability would be a factor that would provide a -- you know, could provide a

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

wide range. And they, as external auditors, to cover themselves, they'd have to -- they'd have to, you know, go on the highest end. This would provide some measure of certainty about what the ovarian cancer liability, you know, could -- could be resolved for.

And so, you know, to explain that, and for, you know, for Legacy people to explain the, you know, structural optimization and disaffiliation and how that would benefit J&J. That was, you know, that was my understanding. My role would have been to talk about the matrix, to talk about the values in the matrix, and what that would add up to.

And, Judge Porto, you asked me about the value, and before I -- before I got to that, you asked about is it more than the 19 billion. This -- this matrix, the matrix value here, before there are, you know, before there are any reductions for risk factors based on, you know, what we know about the universe, universe of the claims, the value of this would be approximately, you know, a 300,000 case average for -- for the legitimate, you know, claims.

The claims, when I say "legitimate,"
I don't mean to disparage any cancer victim, but

2.

2.2

there are -- there are cases, there are the ovarian cancer, the epithelial ovarian cancer, the certain subtypes that are supported by the science.

If it's limited to that, this, you know, this grid would be a 300,000 case average. We know that. We can't look at this grid and determine that, but we know, because we have worked on this for a long time, we know what a reasonable projection of how many claimants would fall into each of those boxes, so we can -- we can make that calculation of what this, you know, what this grid would -- would average.

And that's -- that's what we have done. But it is based on the -- a much smaller universe of the total number of claims, because J&J is now saying that the total number of current claimants is 100,000. You know, we -- we would say that the total number of claimants that meet the true qualifying criteria are significantly less than that.

Q. On topics -- you got questioned today regarding common benefit. Page 49, lines 10 through 11, 12, Mr. Haas threw out, "the common benefit fee was not available in the plan that LTL was advancing." Page 49.

2.

2.2

Page 121 MR. BRODY: Page 49 of what? 1 2. MR. POLLOCK: Page 49 -- I apologize, Steve. It's Eric Haas' direct on March 25. 3 4 MR. BRODY: Okay. 5 MR. POLLOCK: You can -- you can take 6 my copy. 7 MR. BRODY: That's all right. I have 8 one. 9 BY MR. POLLOCK: 10 "That common benefit fee was not 0. 11 available on the plan that LTL was advancing in the 12 LTL bankruptcy." 13 Here it is, Steve. 14 And you were questioned about it 15 today. Do you agree with Mr. Haas that you are not 16 entitled to -- I'm sorry. Let me try it this way. 17 Was the driving factor for you, at 18 any point in time, in regarding the LTL bankruptcy 19 that you would not get common benefit? 20 Absolutely not. Α. 21 Ο. Why not? What's Mr. Haas got wrong? 2.2 Α. Well, I mean, first of all, I mean, 23 there is no principle that you cannot -- you cannot 24 -- that a bankruptcy court cannot award common benefit fees. 2.5

But the thing that is so frustrating here is that, you know, that we have resisted overtures, we've resisted overtures to negotiate the common benefit fee. We're not going to do that. We are firmly committed that we will -- we will look out for the best interest of our clients. If we -- if we take care of getting reasonable compensation for our clients, the common benefit fee will take care of itself. We're not going to put that ahead of the -- of the clients and clients' interest.

The reason -- the reason that we are opposing bankruptcy is because of the values that are being offered in bankruptcy. If you look at the proposal, if you look at the proposal that they had in LTL 2, if you look at the proposal that they rolled out on Wednesday, the -- they have a payment schedule, a payment schedule that would be \$4.34 billion that would be available during the first seven years.

So that would be for your current claimants. Current claimants would be paid within that. They're saying there's 100,000, 100,000 claimants. That would be a 43,000 [point 4] average, case average.

Now, there would be a range there,

2.2

but you cannot -- you -- at that total number, even if you were to limit it to the -- to the truly compensable cases, you cannot get to fair values for the claimants here. We know. We know because we have been looking at this for a long time.

What are the elements that would go into a reasonable compensation for, you know, the claimants. One, you have the medical costs. The medical costs for especially someone in their 50s or younger that's diagnosed with a stage 4, those easily exceed a million dollars just in the -- just in the medical costs.

And if you have -- if you look at the matrix, I mean, there -- there are stage 1 cases, and go up to 80 years old or so. The cost for treating someone, you know, that's 80 and diagnosed with stage 1, that's in the tens of thousands. It's much lower.

But we know that the weighted average across this -- across this entire spectrum would be about \$224,000 average case value. The numbers that they are putting forward in this bankruptcy, in their bankruptcy, you know, proposal would be truly pennies on the dollar.

THE COURT: And that's -- you know,

2.

2.2

Page 124 there's no objection by Mr. Brody, but, you know, in 1 2. terms of where we are, things get a little bit far 3 afield from your question. But certainly that's the testimony, but --4 5 MR. POLLOCK: I'll move it along. 6 THE COURT: -- I want to get us back 7 to what he knew with regard to Mr. Conlan, what Mr. Conlan may or may not have --8 9 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honor, I'm going 10 to move it along. There was one direct -- part of 11 their theory in the case was to argue that Andy is a 12 bad guy and he's not credible. And one of the 13 points Mr. Haas made at direct solicitation of 14 Mr. Brody's testimony was that the bias here is 15 because Andy is looking for a pay day, and that the 16 way the pay day works is that you get -- you don't 17 get common benefit in bankruptcy. 18 So I will move it along. I do need 19 to address it one more time because he squarely 20 addressed it during the course of direct and I need 21 to respond. 2.2 THE COURT: Yes, you do. MR. POLLOCK: But I -- but I also 23 24 understand loudly and clearly we want to get this 2.5 done today. I think we are going to. I just need

Page 125 -- if you give me a little bit more leeway, I'd 1 2. appreciate it. 3 THE COURT: Go right ahead. BY MR. POLLOCK: 4 5 So if I -- if you go back to your Ο. binder of exhibits, page 5, exhibit -- I'm sorry. 6 7 Exhibit 5, I'm looking at page 29. It's Plenary Exhibit 56. 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Let me know when you're ready. Ο. 11 What page in the book? Α. 12 It's Plenary Exhibit 56, Exhibit 5. Q. 13 Α. Yes, I'm there. 14 Okay. So before you go and read Ο. 15 that, let me just ask you. Mr. Haas has argued that 16 you admitted that you are not entitled to common 17 benefit before. Do you agree that you ever admitted 18 that you were not entitled to common benefit? 19 Α. No. 20 Let's --Q. 21 I'm just going to object MR. BRODY: 2.2 and ask for a reference. 23 THE COURT: Tell him the page number. I think it's, what, page -- line 9? 24 2.5 MR. POLLOCK: Yeah. I have lines 9

		Page 126
1	through 14.	
2		THE COURT: I thought so. Okay.
3	BY MR. POLLOCK	:
4	Q.	So lines 9 through 14. "We'll come
5	back" this	is a questioning of you in you were
6	deposed before	, correct?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	And you were deposed on or about
9	April 17th, 20	23?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	And you were asked the following
12	question by Mr	. Haas:
13		"QUESTION: We'll come back to that
14	in a moment, b	ut you understand as a general matter
15	in bankruptcy,	you would not be entitled to any
16	portion of a c	ommon benefit fund that you would be
17	entitled to ou	tside of bankruptcy; correct?"
18		Answer, line A, answer, I have, "No,
19	I do not."	
20		Is that the answer you gave?
21	Α.	It is.
22	Q.	And do you stand by that answer
23	today?	
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	There was also a question regarding a

Page 127 -- bear with me one second. I'm sorry, Judge. 1 2. I'm going to go to -- you were handed 3 a transcript of April 17, 2023, last time we were here, and the -- and in particular, Mr. Haas had 4 5 argued during the first day that you had backed out of the deal. 6 7 Do you recall having that testimony from Mr. Haas? 8 9 Α. I recall that testimony --10 MR. BRODY: Objection; 11 mischaracterizes the testimony. 12 It's not that we're going THE COURT: 13 to base our evidence -- any evidence decision or 14 make a fact finding on the question. So I remember 15 the question, Judge Singh, I'm sure, remembers that 16 question, because it drew a reaction, candidly, from 17 what I recall, you know, from many individuals. 18 So why don't you synthesize the 19 question down. 20 MR. POLLOCK: Sure. 21 THE COURT: I got -- I got your 2.2 point, Mr. Brody, but --23 Thank you. MR. BRODY: 2.4 THE COURT: Yeah. 2.5 I thought this might be MR. POLLOCK:

Page 128 -- if you want me to go spend the time for 10 1 2. minutes, I can find the exact quote. I think the sum and substance of what I just said is absolutely 3 right. 4 5 Mr. Haas accused Andy of having 6 backed out of the deal in the Imerys bankruptcy, and 7 said, We had a deal at 3.5 million dollars -billion dollars, and you backed out. And I'm 8 9 simply, since this is cross -- this is, you know, this is redirect, I'm allowed some latitude, I 10 11 believe. If you want me to find the exact quote, I will find the exact quote, but it's going to take me 12 13 10 minutes to do it. 14 THE COURT: Can you help, Mr. Brody? 15 MR. BRODY: Well, I think the problem 16 is trying to build a characterization of Mr. Haas' 17 testimony into the question. If he can just ask him 18 questions about the deal, I think we can get to 19 where we're going. 20 THE COURT: And that's a fair 21 characterization. Do you remember that question 2.2 with regard to, purportedly --23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.4 THE COURT: -- the deal and something 2.5 happened to that deal?

Page 129 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. But there are --2. but there are two separate deals. 3 THE COURT: All right. MR. POLLOCK: Let me -- let me run 4 5 with it, Andy. 6 THE COURT: Go right ahead. 7 MR. POLLOCK: And Judge Porto will tell me if I screw it up. Okay? Is that fair? 8 9 THE COURT: That's fair, but --10 MR. POLLOCK: Judges have been doing 11 that to me --12 THE COURT: -- I just hear the 13 question. 14 MR. POLLOCK: Judges have been doing that to me for years. I've gotten used to it. 15 16 BY MR. POLLOCK: 17 I'm looking at the April 17, 2023 18 trans -- exhibit that -- transcript that Mr. Brody 19 was kind enough to provide to us last time. So I'm 20 going to go to page 61 of that transcript, line 9. 21 (As read): Do you recall that August 2.2 and September of 2020, you had further discussions with Johnson & Johnson's counsel regarding a 23 proposal to settle all ovarian cancer claims through 24 2.5 the Imerys bankruptcy?

Page 130 And there's a whole host of 1 2. objections. Uh-huh. 3 Α. 4 Q. Apparently, they were pretty excited 5 that day. 6 And then it goes on again at page 63, 7 line 24. (As read): On September 25, 2020 -- on September 5, 2020, you made a proposal to 8 Mr. Murdica on behalf of J&J in this role -- on 9 10 behalf of J&J to settle all the ovarian cancer 11 claims, both current and future, for \$3.25 billion, 12 right? And that's page 64. 13 Again, a mountain of objections. 14 And at page 74, and I'll have a 15 question soon, (as read): And you did -- you did 16 not represent to J&J -- this is line 3 -- in 17 connection with this settlement offer of 3.25 18 billion that you had spoken to each and every one of 19 the clients regarding the proposed offer, correct? 20 Your answer, line 8: I did not. Ι 21 did not make that representation. 2.2 (As read): And do you not require as 23 an element of your offer that you and two of the 24 other participating law firms were required to get an affidavit or to represent to Johnson & Johnson 2.5

Page 131 that they had spoken to every one of their clients 1 2. regarding their proposed -- proposal, correct? 3 There's objections. 4 Answer: We never got -- we never got 5 to that point. 6 What did you mean when you said, "We 7 never got to that point"? What's going on? I mean, this was -- this was a 8 Α. 9 proposal, you know, that was made. It was -- it was 10 not for 3 point --11 Whose proposal, Andy? Help me out. Ο. 12 I made the proposal. Α. 13 Ο. Okay. 14 It was a -- it was a term sheet and Α. 15 -- that was made, you know, to J&J. I was 16 questioned about that term sheet in my deposition. 17 And it was characterized as being a \$3.25 billion 18 proposal for all ovarian cancer claims, present and 19 future. 20 And so -- and through that colloquy 21 and what Mr. Brody asked me about on April the 10th, 2.2 I think, when we were here, was you -- "Did you 23 submit it?" I did submit that proposal. What I was 24 objecting to is, it is not a \$3.25 billion proposal. That was only a portion of the settlement proposal 2.5

Page 132 That -- that was it. So that was --1 2. Ο. So what's the total value? What's the real number and how do I break it up? 3 So it --4 Α. 5 Can you explain it to the Court, Ο. 6 please? 7 Okay. That was a -- that was a Α. proposal that would have been, testing my memory, 8 but I believe that the total would have been -would have been 5.5 to in the 6 range, depending on 10 11 how you count the present value, because it was --12 it was a -- it would have provided a 10-year payout, 13 you know, for futures in that regard. But that was a proposal in September of 2020, when the total 14 15 universe of claims, current claims, was much, much 16 smaller. 17 But that's not -- that's not what 18 Mr. -- that's not the proposal that Mr. Haas has 19 accused me of reneging on. That was a -- and in the 20 hearing, in the hearing last time on April the 10th, that was -- there was -- probably what you saw in 21 2.2 the reaction was that there was a shift. And 23 Mr. Haas didn't say on April the 10th that I 24 reneged; he said that he received communication from the mediators that the committee would accept it. 2.5

Page 133 1 And that's a different position than had been taken 2. previously. But that -- but those are two 3 separate -- two separate proposals. There was -- I 4 5 was asked in my deposition about that proposal in 6 September of 2020. It was presented as a 3.25 7 billion -- in my deposition, it was presented as a \$3.25 billion deal, which it was not. That's one. 8 9 And then later, you know, there was 10 a -- you know, there was an Imerys proposal, and 11 then there was a mediators' proposal. So we're 12 talking about different -- different steps along the 13 way, different agreements. But it was the -- it was 14 the mediator's proposal that I was initially accused 15 of reneging on. And then -- then it was purported 16 that the mediators said that the committee would 17 agree. 18 If we go on to one last question on Q. 19 page 94, the same transcript of April 17, 2023, 20 lines 12 to 17, let's call it. 21 "MR. HAAS: So does that clarify, 2.2 Mr. Birchfield, that --23 "ANSWER: This is the proposal. 24 was made in September of 2020. The world has changed since September of 2020 in multiple ways 25

Page 134 1 that would impact the proposal." 2. And it goes on from there. Can you describe for the Court --3 just to put this in context, this transcript is 4 5 created April 17th, 2023, and it's in the bankruptcy, apparently. 6 7 How had the world changed between September of 2020 and April 17 of 2023? 8 9 Α. Well, in the -- in the scope of the, 10 you know, talc litigation, so in September of -- in 11 September of 2020, there had not been a, you know, a 12 bankruptcy filing. You know, there had been an 13 effort to do a bolt-on. But the -- you know, but the number 14 15 of claims, the number of claims had increased, you 16 know, dramatically between September 2020 and -- and 17 then the time that deposition was given in April of 2023. So the number of claims had shifted, you 18 19 know, dramatically. Plus there was the, you know, 20 the introduction, you know, by J&J in their 21 bankruptcy proposal, their LTL proposal on April the 2.2 4th of 2023, that these -- all these other cancers should be included, as well. So the -- so that 23 24 impacted, you know, matters. 2.5 But perhaps the -- one of the

Page 135 bigger -- another big, you know, shift is, you know, we had gone through -- we had gone through the, you know, the threat of a -- of a J&J bankruptcy. You know, you're going to be in bankruptcy; your claims are going to be on hold for years; and then, you know -- and, you know, clients are going to die; you're going to -- the delay is going to cause significant problems. We had -- we had been through that. We had gone through LTL 1, we had gone through the motion to dismiss trial, we had gone through the appeal to the Third Circuit, and the Third Circuit had dismissed the bankruptcy for lack of financial distress. So there was -- that was a significant change. We, at that point, you know, even though they had filed their second bankruptcy

So there was -- that was a significant change. We, at that point, you know, even though they had filed their second bankruptcy on the strength of the Third Circuit, there was a significant change in the risk that we thought we were facing.

Q. So, subject to any questions the Court may have, I have one last question for you, Mr. Birchfield.

You opposed the bankruptcy matter, the bankruptcy filing that J&J had proposed. Why

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Page 136 did you propose -- why did you oppose that on behalf 1 of the talc claimants committee and Beasley Allen? 3 Α. When you say "the bankruptcy filing," you're talking about LTL 2 --4 5 Yes, sir. 0. Α. -- their second, you know --6 7 Ο. Yes, sir. -- their second bankruptcy filing. 8 Α. 9 The reason that we opposed, you know, 10 that bankruptcy is because it would not provide, it 11 would not provide reasonable compensation, you know, 12 to the claimants. And the matrix, you know, the 13 matrix values that we -- that we put forward, that's 14 now public, J&J made public with this, with this 15 filing, those reflect reasonable values for 16 legitimate claims. And we are -- we are adamantly 17 opposed to a bankruptcy or any -- or any supplement from a solvent defendant that would force a claimant 18 19 to accept -- accept value. Even reasonable, what we 20 would conclude to be reasonable values. 21 shouldn't be forced to take that. 2.2 But certainly, you know, certainly, 23 they should not be forced to take unreasonably low 24 That's the only reason. The interest of values. 2.5 the client is the only reason that we have opposed

	Page 137
1	these bankruptcies and continue to oppose these
2	bankruptcies.
3	MR. POLLOCK: Your Honors, do you
4	have any questions?
5	THE COURT: Judge Singh?
6	JUDGE SINGH: I don't.
7	THE COURT: I don't have any
8	questions, either.
9	MR. POLLOCK: Thank you, Judges.
10	THE COURT: Why don't we take our
11	lunch break, 45 minutes. All right?
12	Mr. Brody, how long will it take you?
13	MR. BRODY: 20, 20 minutes, maybe.
14	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And
15	we're hearing from Judge Singh's calendar with
16	regard to supplemental briefing. When we return,
17	we'll address the objections on Exhibit 5, and with
18	regard to the confidential client information.
19	Okay?
20	MR. BRODY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank
21	you.
22	THE COURT: All right. And we can go
23	off the record.
24	(A recess was taken.)
25	THE COURT: Counsel, thank you.

	Page 138		
1	Please be seated. We're going to go back on the		
2	record and reconvene.		
3	Mr. Brody, what did you say, you have		
4	five questions left?		
5	MR. BRODY: Not many more than that,		
6	actually.		
7	THE COURT: Okay. All right.		
8	MR. BRODY: So.		
9	THE COURT: Probably is a little bit		
10	more, but I was I was downplaying the questions a		
11	little.		
12	MR. BRODY: No, it's not many it's		
13	not many more than that.		
14	THE COURT: And Mr. Birchfield,		
15	you're still under oath, sir.		
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
17			
18	RECROSS EXAMINATION		
19	BY MR. BRODY:		
20	Q. Mr. Birchfield, you talked about some		
21	of the trials, the talc trials that the Beasley		
22	Allen firm has had. Do you recall that testimony?		
23	A. Yes.		
24	Q. It's and you talked about some		
25	verdicts that came in, in 2016. I think you		

Page 139 mentioned three in particular? 1 2. Α. Yes. 3 None of those are still standing 0. after the appeal, right? 4 5 None of the -- none of the verdicts 6 are standing, that's true. 7 Q. Right. 8 Α. Those cases are -- they vacate -- the 9 verdicts were vacated, and the trials are pending, 10 awaiting retrial. 11 And it's basically fair to say that Ο. 12 since 2013, when Beasley Allen started representing 13 talc plaintiffs, Beasley Allen has tried only 13 14 cases, not recovered a dime for claimants, and has 15 not settled any of the cases, right? 16 I mean, the point of -- the point 17 that you're going to make is excepted, it's not 18 true, I mean, that we haven't collected a dime. We 19 did have a co-counsel agreement in the -- for a 20 plaintiff in the Ingham case. 21 But it is true that we have been in 2.2 this litigation and we have -- we have a longstanding and continued commitment to this 23 24 litigation, even though we haven't been -- haven't been paid a dime. That's true. 2.5

Page 140 So it is fair to say that 1 Ο. Right. 2. since 2013, Beasley Allen has tried only 13 cases, not recovered a dime for claimants, and not settled 3 any of the cases? 4 5 MR. POLLOCK: Objection; compound, 6 asked and answered. 7 THE COURT: Why don't you break that question up. And you did ask the question. What is 8 9 different about that question, Mr. Brody? 10 MR. BRODY: Well, there were a bunch 11 of qualifications that preceded it. I was just 12 trying to make sure the record is clean. 13 THE COURT: That's fine. Why don't 14 you address each of those points. All right, Mr. Birchfield? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 BY MR. BRODY: 18 Q. That's fine. 19 So, since 2013, Beasley Allen has 20 tried only 13 cases, right? 21 We have had -- we have had 13 cases 2.2 go to trial. I mean, some of those cases are cases 23 that were our cases, some we were helping other -other law firms, but -- but yes. 24 2.5 Q. Beasley Allen has not recovered a

	Page 141
1	dime for the claimants?
2	A. Not true. Claimant.
3	Q. Fair enough. And has not settled any
4	of the cases, right?
5	A. We have not settled any of the cases.
6	Q. All right. Do you have a copy of
7	your April 2023 deposition in front of you up there?
8	A. I do not.
9	Q. All right. I'll give you a copy.
10	MR. BRODY: If I may approach, Your
11	Honor.
12	THE COURT: You may.
13	Do you have a copy of that,
14	Mr. Pollock?
15	MR. POLLOCK: I do not.
16	MR. BRODY: I'll give you a copy.
17	It's the one you were reading from earlier.
18	MR. POLLOCK: Okay. Is it the same
19	big fat thing?
20	MR. BRODY: Yeah.
21	MR. POLLOCK: Okay. Then I probably
22	have it.
23	THE COURT: It's not one of the
24	exhibits, either?
25	MR. POLLOCK: I'm sure I have it.

Page 142 1 Thank you. 2. MR. BRODY: Yeah. I think it's in 3 the exhibits, as well. It's --MR. POLLOCK: Thank you. We're good. 4 5 MR. BRODY: It's within the excerpt that in the exhibits, as well. 6 7 BY MR. BRODY: 8 Ο. So if I could turn to page 58, line 9 20. Are you there? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And you were asked the question: "So Ο. 12 since 2013, with respect to the 11,300 claims that 13 Beasley Allen represents, Beasley Allen has tried 14 only 11 cases -- "there have been two in Florida 15 this year in addition to that, right? 16 That's correct. Α. 17 Q. "-- not recovered a dime for 18 claimants, and not settled any of the cases; is that 19 fair?" And your answer was: "That's basically 20 fair." Correct? 21 Α. Correct, that's basically --2.2 Ο. That was -- that's -- all right. You 23 can set that aside. 24 The -- you were asked questions by Mr. Pollock about whether a contribution to the MDL 2.5

Page 143 common benefit fund could be part of a bankruptcy 1 2. resolution. Do you recall those questions? 3 Α. Yes. And you understand, however, that a 4 Ο. 5 contribution to the MDL common benefit fund was not part of J&J's proposed bankruptcy resolution, right? 6 7 I understand that, yes. Α. All right. You talked about a 8 Ο. 9 settlement matrix that you shared with J&J during 10 the course of the Imerys bankruptcy. Do you recall 11 that testimony? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. You were not privy to Johnson & 14 Johnson's internal privileged and confidential 15 discussions of that settlement matrix, were you? 16 Α. No. 17 The last thing I want to ask you Q. 18 about, you -- the last thing I want to ask you 19 about, you indicated that as a result of your 20 leadership position, that you, at times, are 21 representing not only your own clients' interests, 2.2 but the interests of clients of other lawyers, 23 right? 2.4 We have a -- what I said is that Α. No. we view -- we view our role as a leadership role in 2.5

Page 144 the MDL as having a fiduciary duty to the other 1 claimants and their counsel. 3 Fair. And that was going to be my Ο. next question. You indicated that you have duties 4 5 to them, right? 6 Α. Right. 7 And one of the things you talked Ο. about with respect to the Legacy proposal that you 8 9 testified about was this idea that Legacy, if the 10 proposal were accepted, would be adverse to you and 11 your clients, right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. That they would then hold the entity that had talc liabilities --14 15 Α. Right. 16 -- and, in essence, they would be on Ο. 17 the other side of the V from where you were, right? 18 Α. Yes, that's right. 19 Now, if -- if I may, I just -- just 0. 20 to reorient ourselves from April 10th, if I may 21 approach with another excerpt from the privilege 2.2 log. 23 THE COURT: You may. 2.4 MR. BRODY: This just makes it easier from sorting through the whole big thing. 2.5

	Page 145		
1	BY MR. BRODY:		
2	Q. We pulled out from that entries that		
3	and we talked about some of these on April 10th,		
4	where Ms. O'Dell shared an ovarian cancer leadership		
5	memo with Legacy discussing ovarian cancer case		
6	values, injuries, and damages analysis.		
7	Do you see that?		
8	A. Yes.		
9	Q. Your ovarian cancer claim values, as		
10	well as claim estimation report methodology.		
11	Do you see that?		
12	A. Yes.		
13	Q. And then we talked about Niall		
14	Davies, who is listed on here, sharing draft QSF		
15	qualifications for the Legacy ovarian cancer		
16	proposal.		
17	Do you see that?		
18	A. I do.		
19	Q. And Mr. Davies is he still with		
20	the Beasley Allen firm?		
21	A. He is.		
22	Q. All right. And he was at the time,		
23	correct?		
24	A. Yes.		
25	Q. Right. And so you gave somebody		

	Page 146
1	you've identified as a potential future adversary
2	your analysis of case values, injuries, and your
3	damages analysis, if I'm reading this correctly.
4	Right?
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. Yes?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. All right.
9	MR. POLLOCK: Thank you. That's all
10	I have.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	Mr. Pollock, anything in follow-up?
13	MR. POLLOCK: Sure.
14	Using the last document, which we
15	I don't think it's marked for identification. Do we
16	want to give it a number, or what do we want to do?
17	MR. BRODY: Sure. I believe the
18	privilege log was marked as J&J 1.
19	THE COURT: Right.
20	MR. BRODY: So maybe the excerpt that
21	I used earlier today could be J&J 1A, and this
22	excerpt can be J&J 1B, if that's okay.
23	THE COURT: Fair.
24	Mr. Pollock, any thoughts?
25	MR. POLLOCK: It works for me.

```
Page 147
1
                    THE COURT: A and B.
 2.
                     (Exhibit J&J 1A, transcript excerpt,
 3
     marked for identification.)
 4
 5
                     (Exhibit J&J 1B, transcript excerpt,
     marked for identification.)
 6
 7
8
                        FURTHER REDIRECT
9
     BY MR. POLLOCK:
10
            Q. On whatever you said just -- 1B, the
11
     one you've got in front of you, that one, can we
12
     agree that the -- these documents were subjected to
13
     a mediator -- I'm sorry. The mediation privilege is
     claimed, correct?
14
15
            Α.
                    Pardon me -- yes.
16
                    They were submitted for review by
            Ο.
17
     Judge Schneider, correct?
18
            Α.
                    Yes.
19
                    And Judge Schneider reviewed them and
20
     decided they were privileged, too, correct?
21
                    Yes. I mean, he entered his order,
            Α.
22
     yes.
23
                    And his order says they are
            Q.
     privileged, right?
24
25
            Α.
                    Yeah. That's right.
```

Page 148 Okay. Do you believe Judge Schneider 1 Q. 2. is capable? 3 Α. I do. Do you think he understands the 4 Ο. 5 players in the game? 6 Α. I do. 7 Q. Fair enough. So Mr. Brody got into the question of 8 9 how you have tried 13 cases, and some of them are 10 somebody else's cases, some of them are your cases. 11 Let's just call it 13, make it simple. I'm a simple 12 guy. So let's call it 13 cases. And you have not 13 collected a significant amount on the -- I am having a hard time reconciling this, because I'm looking at 14 the numbers that are being offered in settlement, 15 16 and they're different with zero value. 17 So how do you value the claims? What do you think the value of these claims is, in broad 18 19 brush? Why are you pursuing -- let me ask it 20 differently. Why are you pursuing it? 21 THE COURT: And you're talking about 2.2 current claims, future claims, or claims with merit 23 as --2.4 MR. POLLOCK: Good point. Let me go back, since I slaughtered this question, and I'm 2.5

Page 149 going to try and clean it up. I'll do it the right 1 2. way. BY MR. POLLOCK: 3 Beasley Allen, you said, is trying to 4 Ο. 5 get reasonable and fair value for its clients. testified to that before, right? 6 7 Α. Yes. What are the claims that you think 8 Ο. 9 are the ones you are pursuing today? Can you 10 describe that category of claims? 11 I mean, the claims that we are -- you 12 know, the claims that we are pursuing are the 13 ovarian cancer claims. I mean, that's the 14 leadership role that Beasley Allen has, pertains to the ovarian cancer claims. I do think that Beasley 15 16 Allen has one, maybe two meso claims. But our focus 17 is and has been since 2014 for the -- for the ovarian cancer claims. 18 19 And we've heard about the -- if I get Ο. 20 it off by a little bit, I'm wrong -- 3.2 billion --21 3.52 [sic] billion in Imerys. We've heard about the 2.2 7.9 billion that is J&J's preferred plan. Is that the -- to address the ovarian cancer claims? 23 2.4 that what -- that's what -- is that what those 2.5 numbers are targeted at?

Page 150

A. Yes. So there have been -- there have been multiple, you know, multiple proposals, term sheets that have been, you know, that have been proffered through the course of the -- the course of these last four years, and including the proposal that we talked about in September of 2020 that was presented as a 3.25. But that was just one slice. It's not a -- it wasn't a 3.25. That was one proposal in 2020.

The number of claims was much different. The number of claims was much different then. We have the -- not the 7.9, but the 8.9, you know, billion dollar proposal that was part of the LTL 2 bankruptcy that was for both the ovarian cancer currents and the futures, mesothelioma currents and futures, and all the attorney generals actions.

But the only -- I mean, our position, whether we have been -- you know, whether it was in the, you know, the earliest proposal in 2020 or part of the Imerys -- Imerys deal, or negotiations, the mediation in the bankruptcy, it has always been to get reasonable settlement values for our claimants and for our clients, and that's -- that's it.

Q. And do you believe in good faith that

2.2

2.5

Page 151 the claims you have -- are pursuing on behalf of 1 2. Beasley Allen and the MDL, the talc claimants 3 committee, the groups you work with, do you believe those claims have merit? 4 5 Yes, absolutely. Α. And do you intend to pursue them? 6 0. 7 Α. Yes. MR. POLLOCK: I have no further 8 9 questions. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 You may step down, Mr. Birchfield. 12 Let's quickly address -- yes? 13 MR. BRODY: With the Court's 14 permission, I would like to ask Mr. Haas to -- the 15 Court to allow Mr. Haas to take the stand to answer 16 five or so questions about the document that was 17 brought and offered as P-3 by Mr. Conlan. 18 MR. POLLOCK: Your Honor, absolutely 19 We have been patient to a fare-thee-well on not. 20 your end and our end. To suddenly allow Mr. Haas 21 the megaphone once again, there's nothing in that 2.2 document that could not have been addressed before, and there's no reason we should be addressing it 23 2.4 now. 2.5 Mr. Haas knew about this document, he

Page 152 received this document, so there's no reason he 1 couldn't have addressed it before. The fact that 2. 3 they withheld it and now they're saying we now want to address it, that's ludicrous. 4 5 At some point, there has to be an end, Your Honors. I respectfully submit this should 6 7 be it. THE COURT: Well, Judge Singh and I 8 9 will discuss that separately. Counsel may be 10 seated. The initial question we want to address is 11 what was contained in the correspondence that we 12 received this week. 13 Regarding Exhibit 5 and objections, 14 J&J has objections. To the extent, you know, J&J 15 has any objections, we would receive that document 16 with J&J's objections. 17 Mr. Pollock, Mr. Brody, any thoughts? 18 MR. POLLOCK: So the only -- there's 19 one word that is objected to. The one word is 20 "recommend," I think it is. And you had already 21 ruled, Judge Porto, that "Did Jim Conlan recommend 22 the Texas Two-Step?" He says, "I did not." 23 And so, to me, it is -- if that's the only objection they've got, that word "recommend," 24 because that would be attorney advice. But that, 25

Page 153 1 the attorney advice -- I'll even agree --2. THE COURT: But that's out. 3 know, that was out as part of our record. 4 MR. POLLOCK: I agree. That's why 5 under the -- I'll even, since I'm a generous guy, 6 I'll agree to a partial waiver, if you want. 7 that document, the privilege, whatever there is, is waived. But that's gone. 8 9 THE COURT: Mr. Brody? 10 MR. BRODY: Well, I don't --11 THE COURT: Because that was part of 12 the testimony. 13 MR. BRODY: It was part of the 14 testimony, but I don't think there was -- I don't 15 think the Court's waiver holding as to the question 16 that was posed to Mr. Conlan about the Texas 17 Two-Step and his recommendation was so broad as to 18 encompass the entirety of the November 5th email. 19 There can't be a -- there certainly 20 can't be a waiver of anything in there by 21 Mr. Pollock because it's J&J's privilege. 2.2 had proposed two very minor redactions, as the Court I think the Court saw both a redacted and 23 2.4 unredacted version. We tried to be as narrow as 2.5 possible based on objections that we think we should

Page 154 1 still be able to maintain. 2. Obviously, the Court has seen that. 3 The Court understands what its ruling was, understands the scope of its waiver ruling, and so 4 5 we -- you know, obviously, the Court can make a decision on which version will come into the record. 6 7 THE COURT: I think we're going to stand by we'll accept it with the J&J 8 9 recommendations. 10 JUDGE SINGH: The redactions. 11 THE COURT: Redactions. 12 MR. BRODY: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: And then --14 JUDGE SINGH: The objections to the 15 attorney's eyes only materials. 16 THE COURT: Right. 17 What are your thoughts with regard to 18 those records, then, Mr. Pollock, because they don't 19 really address -- they're not any issue with regard 20 to credibility or impeachment as it relates to 21 Mr. Birchfield. It goes -- really, it's impeaching 2.2 Mr. Conlan. And Mr. Conlan has counsel, and I 23 didn't see any objection from -- I mean, I don't 24 think Judge Singh did -- with regard to any objection from Mr. Conlan's attorney. 2.5

Page 155 MR. POLLOCK: Mr. Conlan's counsel doesn't have it. It wasn't served on him. didn't -- with all due respect, Judge, that's unfair. He is -- they have not been given the opportunity to be heard. But remember, Andy is being tied part and parcel under -- under the broad application of RPC 1.6, which addresses an attorney, meaning Mr. Conlan, disclosing information. But to me, the -- it is blatantly unfair, and certainly it goes to credibility at a minimum. It has to go to credibility, these documents, at a minimum, that J&J had these records. Mr. Haas' certification is, We've got an incredible computer system, everything gets shoved in there, we had this stuff months ago. So why did they wait until Jim Conlan is off the stand, when they have a legion of lawyers, a billion dollars to blow on defense, and, yet, they sandbag Jim Conlan with this document after he's off the stand and back home. outrageous. The confrontation clause, he's got an ethics hearing in Ohio, or in Illinois, wherever he lives.

Iowa.

THE COURT:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Page 156 1 MR. POLLOCK: Whatever. All the 2. It's west of the Mississippi. But the bottom line is the -- the fact is that he has no chance to 3 rebut it himself. 4 5 Second of all, I strongly, incredibly 6 disagree. When you look at 99 percent of the 7 documents, "I don't recall"; "I don't remember"; "I can't really -- that's not the way I remember it." 8 9 There's only a few where they say there's something. 10 And then, when we look at the 11 redactions, the redactions are, "I have incredibly 12 detailed discussions regarding ... blank"; "In fact, 13 here's a memo from me regarding... blank." So how does this Court ever make any 14 15 sense out of what was allegedly discussed, because 16 while it's true, under Yuna, they had the choice of 17 putting it in, I think that they have to actually 18 make their case. And right now, all it says is, 19 Yes, Jim -- what I conceded with you, Judge Porto, 20 on the very first day. Jim Conlan was a lawyer at 21 Faegre. He worked on super secret J&J stuff. 2.2 never denied it. He was a lawyer who gave advice. 23 But how do I, representing Andy Birchfield, ever confront the question, "Did Jim 24 Conlan learn anything during the course of that 25

Page 157 representation that is disclosed"? 1 That's not been 2. proven at all. But even if it was, was it 3 significantly harmful? And I have to rely on both prongs, right? I'm not giving up the second part of 4 5 Yuna. So it worries me deeply about 6 7 admitting these documents after the fact, at the end of the hearing, once Mr. Haas and Murdica and Conlan 8 9 are gone, and suddenly I get these documents. Ι 10 object to their introduction. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Brody? 12 I mean, they go directly to 13 Mr. Conlan's testimony. MR. BRODY: 14 They go directly to 15 Mr. Conlan's testimony --16 Has anyone provided it to THE COURT: 17 Mr. Conlan's attorney? 18 MR. BRODY: -- and to the credibility -- well, it's attorneys' eyes, attorneys' eyes only, 19 20 and so --21 MR. POLLOCK: They were not. MR. BRODY: We followed the Court's 2.2 instruction. 23 2.4 Now, as Your Honors know, I sought to offer them while Mr. Conlan was on the stand, and 2.5

Page 158

the Court's decision was, No, let's wait. And, you know, when you go through the transcript, you will see the number of places where, in response to his testimony, you know, I said, Well, here's another place where I would bring a document out now, if permitted.

The Court's preference was announced after the lunch break on April 10th, was if -- if there are areas where you believe that he perjured himself and you have that impeachment, you know, provide that impeachment. We have submitted that impeachment in camera and attorneys' eyes only at the Court's direction.

You know, as to the question that Mr. Pollock asked, Well, how does the Court make sense of what was submitted, of what the documents show or don't show, that's really an argument about the weight that Mr. Pollock believes the Court should give those documents.

And, certainly, I expect the parties will be making arguments about the weight the Courts should give all the documents that have been provided and are part of the record, including, you know, including documents like Plaintiff's P-3 that Mr. Conlan brought with him to his testimony on

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 159 1 April 10th. 2. And so the answer to that one is 3 easy. You know, the answer to the objection is, you know, we -- we sought to offer these while 4 5 Mr. Conlan was on the stand as impeachment. followed Court's direction. We are submitting --6 7 THE COURT: Well, there was also a concern about the waiver of the privilege, also. 8 9 That was, you know, critical with the Court's 10 thoughts in that regard. It wasn't that we had 11 blanketly said don't disclose them; it's just we 12 were concerned about the privilege. 13 MR. BRODY: Right. And the Court addressed that in its invitation that we make 14 15 redactions. And obviously, as Your Honor said, even 16 with redactions, you -- you submit them, and I may 17 find that, you know, there has been a waiver or not 18 a waiver. You made that point during the hearing on 19 April 10th. 20 And so that's where we stand. 21 followed the Court's direction, and we submitted the 2.2 materials. They are impeachment materials. And I 23 am sure that we will have arguments from Mr. Pollock 24 and Beasley Allen as to the weight that the Court 2.5 should give them.

	Page 160
1	THE COURT: All right. I think Judge
2	Singh and I would like to just discuss briefly with
3	regard to that, with regard to P-3.
4	MR. BRODY: Yes.
5	THE COURT: And then we for your eyes
6	only privileged materials.
7	MR. BRODY: Sure.
8	THE COURT: We'll take five. We'll
9	go off the record.
10	(A recess was taken.)
11	THE COURT: Counsel, the Courts are
12	going to maintain its position with regard to the
13	attorney eyes only privileged material, argue the
14	weight, you know, how much, you know, but maintain
15	the privilege that's been asserted. Okay? So you
16	can reference, but maintain the privilege. That
17	goes to the weight with regard to the issue here.
18	As it relates to, what, P-5, the
19	Court does not require or need any additional
20	testimony.
21	MR. BRODY: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right?
23	MR. BRODY: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: You're welcome.
25	JUDGE SINGH: Just one federal court

Page 161 footnote as to the attorneys' eyes only submission. 1 2. To the extent the parties address something substantive that has been submitted with that 3 designation, we anticipate it will be appropriately 4 5 filed under seal on the federal court's docket pursuant to our local civil rule. 6 7 THE COURT: And the same thing with 8 the regard to eCourts. Thank you, Your Honors. 9 MR. BRODY: 10 And as to the briefing, just to confirm, two weeks simultaneous submission, and then 11 12 replies seven days after that. 13 JUDGE SINGH: Correct. 14 THE COURT: That's correct. 15 MR. BRODY: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Counsel, thank you. 17 MR. POLLOCK: Thank you, Your Honors. THE COURT: You're welcome. 18 19 (Proceedings concluded at 1:43 p.m.) 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 2.5

Page 162

CERTIFICATION

I, CONSTANCE E. PERKS, CCR, CRR, CRC, RSA, a Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify the foregoing was prepared in full compliance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings, and is a true and accurate transcript to the best of my knowledge and ability.

2.2

Constance C. Forly CSRERROLL

Constance E. Perks, CCR, CRR, CRC, RSA

Notary Public and Certified Court

Reporter of the State of New Jersey

NJ CCR License #30XI00142900

Date: May 6, 2024

[**& - 2023**] Page 1

0	10th 7.0 0.7 10	17 127.2 120.17	2000g 104.0
&	10th 7:9 9:7,10	17 127:3 129:17	2000s 104:9
& 2:8,11,14 4:5	22:17 30:22	133:19,20	2013 86:21,21
4:21,21 5:2 7:9	36:21 42:23	134:8	93:5 139:12
14:11,16,20	44:18 50:2,7	17th 48:9 57:8	140:2,19
15:11 20:5 35:2	131:21 132:20	115:4 126:9	142:12
35:3 43:1 47:18	132:23 144:20	134:5	2014 149:17
48:6 54:24	145:3 158:8	18 54:20	2016 87:5,5,12
129:23 130:25	159:1,19	18th 43:10 44:6	87:13,15,20,21
143:13	11 85:8 120:23	48:11 53:8	88:8 90:13 91:8
0	142:14	56:21	91:23 138:25
	11,300 142:12	19 50:25 51:5	2017 88:7,10
08648 2:5	110 88:4,13	66:9,19 75:20	89:12
1	111 24:19	80:14,16,17	2019 98:24
1 27:15 51:13	118 28:10	106:7,14,17,19	100:20 101:1
97:18,20	12 25:13 60:20	106:20 119:16	101:17
108:19 123:14	61:13,19,25	1984 17:25	202.383.5300
123:17 135:10	62:13 65:21	1993 18:2	2:10
146:18	66:19,19	1:43 161:19	2020 78:22 90:8
1.10. 19:2 62:16	120:23 133:20	1a 3:13 146:21	90:17,25 92:23
1.6 15:12,14	12.9 66:3	147:3	93:5 95:18
18:25 62:15	1201 1:9	1b 3:14 146:22	99:13 111:21
109:12 155:8	12th 24:1,3	147:5,10	129:22 130:7,8
1.6. 11:24	25:23 34:24	2	132:14 133:6
1.9 15:14 19:2	44:18		133:24,25
10 16:23 26:23	13 139:13 140:2	'	134:8,11,16
26:24 27:7	140:20,21	39:21 60:20,24	150:6,9,20
29:17,22 30:13	148:9,11,12	61:18 84:7	2021 92:21 97:2
32:2 41:20 47:9	135 26:5	108:18 122:15	99:14 112:15
60:20,23 61:18	138 3:6	136:4 150:14	2022-23 101:17
85:4,10 120:22	14 126:1,4	20 7:18 16:22	2023 25:17
128:1,13	1454 162:16	25:15 88:8	28:11 101:1
132:12	147 3:7,13,14	137:13,13	108:25 111:16
100,000 120:17	15 16:22 54:12	142:9	111:22 112:16
,	1625 2:9	2000 87:16	112:19,23
122:22,22	16th 24:14,21	20006 2:10	126:9 127:3
	29:8		129:17 133:19
		ahnalagias	127.17 133.17

[**2023 - accept**] Page 2

134:5,8,18,22	30th 112:18	56 125:8,12	86 3:5
141:7	30xi00142900	58 142:8	877.370.3377
2024 1:11 21:22	1:22 162:21	5th 153:18	1:24
100:21 162:23	35 19:4	6	8:26 82:6
22 3:4 74:23	3rd 21:22	6 61:17 103:14	9
224,000 123:21	4	132:10 162:23	9 125:24,25
23 111:18	4 43:11 44:5	609.896.3600	126:4 129:20
24 130:7	45:25 103:7	2:5	917.591.5672
24th 24:16	104:15,16	61 129:20	1:24
25:13,17 26:22	117:15 122:23	63 130:6	93 18:3
34:18	123:10	64 130:12	94 133:19
25 85:8 121:3	4.34 122:17	66 102:19	95 53:11 116:9
130:7	40 9:9 21:4 62:1	67 102:22	99 156:6
25th 44:13	42 26:5 107:7	7	997 2:4
2648-15 1:2 4:7	43,000 122:23		9:38 4:1
2738 4:7	45 26:13,15	7 50:13 102:12	
29 125:7	137:11	102:16 103:15	a
2nd 47:25	450 118:20	105:19	a.m. 4:1 82:6
57:12	49 120:22,25	7.9 149:22	abandon 92:15
3	121:1,2	150:12	ability 162:9
3 1:11 130:16	4:30 9:8	70 12:19 87:15	able 13:6 33:14
131:10 151:17	4th 112:23	72 87:6,13	36:25 38:14
158:24 160:3	134:22	74 130:14	39:21,25 40:22
3.2 149:20		75 12:19	47:14 79:15
	5	7th 26:9 28:11	104:11 118:16
3.25 130:11,17	5 5:13,14 125:6	8	154:1
131:17,24	125:7,12 130:8	8 61:13,19,25	absolutely
133:6,8	137:17 152:13	62:13 130:20	12:10 21:3
3.25. 150:7,8	160:18	8.4 15:15	75:23 94:4
3.5 128:7	5.3 15:15	8.9 39:20 65:19	121:20 128:3
3.52 149:21	5.5 132:10	65:19 66:19	151:5,18
30 9:8 21:4 90:4	500,000 104:19	75:19 79:24	abundantly
300 1:4 4:7	50s 123:9	80:15 150:12	67:2
300,000 119:21	531,995 104:19	80 123:15,16	accept 37:3
120:5	55 87:14 104:16	00 143.13,10	40:18 51:21,22
	35 07.17 107.10		10110 01121,22
	33 07.14 104.10		65:10 116:6

[accept - allen] Page 3

	I		
132:25 136:19	44:12 47:21	administrator	agree 12:22
136:19 154:8	49:24 50:16	29:11	43:4 76:19 78:8
acceptable	65:14 117:8	admission 5:13	79:3 80:13
58:10 73:7 76:8	138:6 156:17	6:24	116:22 121:15
accepted 36:21	ad 10:21 107:7	admitted	125:17 133:17
58:10 73:10	adamant 38:17	125:16,17	147:12 153:1,4
144:10	adamantly	admitting	153:6
accomplish	136:16	157:7	agreed 49:1
39:2	add 119:13	advancing	71:19
accomplished	added 39:5	120:25 121:11	agreement 29:3
37:2	addition 142:15	advantage	51:24 63:14
accountants	additional	36:25 69:16	139:19
51:6	28:17 160:19	adversary	agreements
accurate	address 5:9,20	73:12 116:2,16	68:10,15 70:1
100:22 162:8	8:18,20 11:6	146:1	133:13
accused 16:25	30:6 66:21 67:1	adverse 11:19	ahead 35:23
17:5 19:7	92:19 93:9	57:5,13 69:22	38:8,20 67:1
110:17 128:5	111:4 115:6	144:10	71:21 86:3
132:19 133:14	124:19 137:17	advice 115:15	111:12 115:8
accutane 89:5,6	140:14 149:23	152:25 153:1	122:9 125:3
89:9,19	151:12 152:4	156:22	129:6
achieved 39:9	152:10 154:19	advocated	ahilton 2:6
57:21	161:2	53:19 57:12	alcoholism 17:9
acknowledge	addressed 5:21	advocating	align 63:3,9
9:21 30:17	30:25 67:20	57:23	allay 10:14
71:13	107:24 124:20	affect 16:3	allegation
acquire 106:22	151:22 152:2	affidavit	17:11 94:9
acquisition	159:14	130:25	allegations
47:2	addresses 155:8	affiliates 81:18	41:16
action 1:3	addressing	afield 27:21	alleged 17:6
actions 107:4	25:11 151:23	38:3 69:2	19:6 62:24
150:17	adjust 64:24	107:23 124:3	allegedly 69:15
actual 21:11,15	administer	afraid 82:25	156:15
21:16	21:21	ago 16:19 84:12	allen 2:7 4:15
actually 13:22	administration	155:16	10:6,9,13 11:16
28:8 29:20	29:4		11:20 12:8 13:2

[allen - argument]

Page 4

14:16,24 15:6 19:12 20:2,6 25:6 61:25 18:9 22:1,4 26:24 68:25,11 93:4 105:20 27:24 68:25,11 93:4 105:20 27:25 124:11 27:2	[6			\mathcal{E}
55:6 61:25 18:9 22:1,4 anticipated 116:13,14 63:20 64:4 53:9 55:4 63:24 8:16 46:13 appropriate 67:24 68:2,5,11 93:4 105:20 anyway 79:9 61:8 67:13 68:13,17,19,22 117:25 124:11 22:13 22:13 70:8 71:15 124:15 128:5 62:4 22:13 86:18 89:22 129:5 131:11 29logies 85:25 23 ppropriately 10:3 136:2 219:5 131:11 20logies 85:25 23 ppropriately 110:3 136:2 219:5 131:11 20logies 85:25 24 ppropriately 110:3 136:2 219:5 131:11 20logies 85:25 25 ppropriately 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 22:17 30:21 140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 149:14,16 214:14,21 82:3 21:22 11:2 22:17 30:21 151:2 159:24 44:25 21:30:4 134:6 20:2,6 78:21 216:23 31:3:3 35:20 41:3 21:22 12:20:29 29:10 216:23 33:3 35:20 41:3 23:23 13:21 21:23 13:21	14:16,24 15:6	andrew 117:17	anticipate	141:10 144:21
63:20 64:4 53:9 55:4 63:24 8:16 46:13 appropriate 67:24 68:2,5,11 93:4 105:20 117:25 124:11 124:15 128:5 70:8 71:15 124:15 128:5 62:4 appropriately 86:18 89:22 129:5 131:11 124:15 128:5 apologies 85:25 91:4 98:24 155:6 156:23 apologies 85:25 appropriately 110:3 136:2 andy's 18:10 85:20 101:11 approximately 138:22 139:12 anounced 101:13 106:4 april 7:9:7 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 22:17 30:21 140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appearntly 41:20 42:23 151:2 159:24 44:25 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:3 13:21 132:20 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 41:23 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 appellate 95:11 13:21 132:20 128:10 70:21 72:19 79:11,13 108:3 application 159:1,19	19:12 20:2,6	andy 2:7 3:3	161:4	approached
67:24 68:2,5,11 93:4 105:20 anyway 79:9 61:8 67:13 68:13,17,19,22 117:25 124:11 124:15 128:5 62:4 apart 61:24 appropriately 86:18 89:22 129:5 131:11 124:15 128:5 apologies 85:25 appropriately 91:4 98:24 155:6 156:23 apologies 85:25 appropriately 138:22 139:12 anounced 101:13 106:4 april 7:9:7 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 34:18 36:21 april 7:9:7 142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 130:4 134:6 apparently 41:20 42:23 34:18 36:21 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appear 95:10 90:17,25 116:23 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 13:21 132:20 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 41:21 32:21 alloaction 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 15:14:20 15:15,20 64:2 66:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 14:20 15:19:10 47:1 59:21 6	55:6 61:25	18:9 22:1,4	anticipated	116:13,14
68:13,17,19,22 117:25 124:11 apart 61:24 105:13 70:8 71:15 124:15 128:5 62:4 appropriately 86:18 89:22 129:5 131:11 apologies 85:25 appropriately 91:4 98:24 155:6 156:23 andy's 18:10 85:20 101:11 approximately 10:3 136:2 andy's 18:10 85:20 101:11 approximately 138:22 139:12 announced 101:13 106:4 approximately 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 22:17 30:21 140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 apparently 41:20 42:23 145:20 149:4 158:7 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 49:14,16 annual 44:25 apparently 41:20 42:23 151:2 159:24 44:25 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appearance 4:8 127:3 129:17 128:10 70:21 72:19 67:17 131:21 132:20 128:10 70:21 72:19 67:17 141:7 144:20 <	63:20 64:4	53:9 55:4 63:24	8:16 46:13	appropriate
70:8 71:15 124:15 128:5 62:4 appropriately 86:18 89:22 129:5 131:11 apologies 85:25 18:21 161:4 91:4 98:24 155:6 156:23 apologize 18:4 approximately 110:3 136:2 andy's 18:10 85:20 101:11 88:5 119:21 138:22 139:12 announced 101:13 106:4 april 7:9 9:7 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 22:17 30:21 140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 apparently 41:20 42:23 145:20 149:4 158:7 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 49:14,16 annual 44:25 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 419:14,16 answer 15:19 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 416:23 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 410:418 42:12,14,16 41:13 41:13 410w 34:15 43:23 55:20 49pellate 95:11 134:5,8,17,21 128:10 70:21 72:19 67:17 19:10 128:10 70:2	67:24 68:2,5,11	93:4 105:20	anyway 79:9	61:8 67:13
86:18 89:22 129:5 131:11 apologies 85:25 18:21 161:4 91:4 98:24 155:6 156:23 apologize 18:4 approximately 110:3 136:2 andy's 18:10 85:20 101:11 88:5 119:21 138:22 139:12 announced 101:13 106:4 april 7:9 9:7 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 22:17 30:21 140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 apparently 41:20 42:23 145:20 149:4 158:7 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 151:2 159:24 44:25 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 alloaction 33:3 35:20 41:3 appearance 4:8 127:3 129:17 16:23 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 132:23 133:19 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 158:10 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 allored 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:10,17 155:7 area 518:9	68:13,17,19,22	117:25 124:11	apart 61:24	105:13
91:4 98:24 155:6 156:23 apologize 18:4 approximately 110:3 136:2 andy's 18:10 85:20 101:11 88:5 119:21 138:22 139:12 announced 101:13 106:4 april 7:9 9:7 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 22:17 30:21 140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 apparently 41:20 42:23 145:20 149:4 158:7 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 151:2 159:24 44:25 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 alliance 116:21 answer 15:19 appearance 4:8 127:3 129:17 116:23 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 36:2,5 37:	70:8 71:15	124:15 128:5	62:4	appropriately
110:3 136:2 andy's 18:10 85:20 101:11 88:5 119:21 138:22 139:12 announced 101:13 106:4 april 7:9 9:7 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 22:17 30:21 140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 apparently 41:20 42:23 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 151:2 159:24 44:25 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 alliance 116:21 answer 15:19 appearance 4:8 127:3 129:17 116:23 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 16	86:18 89:22	129:5 131:11	apologies 85:25	18:21 161:4
138:22 139:12 announced 101:13 106:4 april 7:9 9:7 139:13 140:2 12:20 14:20,25 107:22 112:2 22:17 30:21 140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 apparently 41:20 42:23 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 151:2 159:24 44:25 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 alliance 116:21 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 application 145:3 158:8 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 application 145:3 158:8 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 151:15 159:2,3 16:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 <t< td=""><td>91:4 98:24</td><td>155:6 156:23</td><td>apologize 18:4</td><td>approximately</td></t<>	91:4 98:24	155:6 156:23	apologize 18:4	approximately
139:13 140:2	110:3 136:2	andy's 18:10	85:20 101:11	88:5 119:21
140:19,25 48:14 57:7 121:2 34:18 36:21 142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 151:2 159:24 44:25 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 alliance 116:21 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application 12:8 126:18 159:1,19 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 area 93:17 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 13:10 67:8 12:15 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 appreciate 145:0 146:2,3 answering 40:6 appreciation 19:10 <td>138:22 139:12</td> <td>announced</td> <td>101:13 106:4</td> <td>april 7:9 9:7</td>	138:22 139:12	announced	101:13 106:4	april 7:9 9:7
142:13,13 81:14,21 82:3 apparently 41:20 42:23 145:20 149:4 158:7 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 151:2 159:24 44:25 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 alliance 116:21 answer 15:19 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 application 159:1,19 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:17 application 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 area 93:17 47:1 59:21 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 13:10 67:8 127:5 60:21 61:8,14 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 appreciation 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 appreciation 145:6 146:2,3 answering 40:6 16:25 6:22 8:17 17:15	139:13 140:2	12:20 14:20,25	107:22 112:2	22:17 30:21
145:20 149:4 158:7 130:4 134:6 50:2,6 78:21 149:14,16 annual 44:24 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 151:2 159:24 44:25 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 alliance 116:21 answer 15:19 appearance 4:8 127:3 129:17 116:23 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 application 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 36:2,5 37:10,13 130:20 131:4 appointed 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 arguing 16:7 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 41:3 60:2 appreciation 19:10 analys	140:19,25	48:14 57:7	121:2	34:18 36:21
149:14,16 annual 44:24 appeal 95:10 90:17,25 alliance 116:21 answer 15:19 135:12 139:4 12:22 126:9 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appearance 4:8 127:3 129:17 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 131:21 132:20 allow 34:15 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 applicable 145:3 158:8 allorentive 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 area 93:17 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:1 59:21 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 66:15 148:13 41:3 40:6 appreciation 16:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	142:13,13	81:14,21 82:3	apparently	41:20 42:23
151:2 159:24 44:25 135:12 139:4 112:22 126:9 alliance 116:21 answer 15:19 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 42:12,14,16 41:13 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 appliced 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:1 59:21 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 13:10 67:8 127:5 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 44:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 145:6 146:2,3 answering 40:6 appreciation 16:22 8:17 17:15	145:20 149:4	158:7	130:4 134:6	50:2,6 78:21
alliance 116:21 answer 15:19 appearance 4:8 127:3 129:17 116:23 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 67:17 application area 93:17 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 13:10 67:8 127:5 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 44:13 140:6 appreciation 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	149:14,16	annual 44:24	appeal 95:10	90:17,25
116:23 17:20 28:23 17:24 21:10 131:21 132:20 allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answering 40:6 116:15 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	151:2 159:24	44:25	135:12 139:4	112:22 126:9
allocation 33:3 35:20 41:3 appears 25:14 132:23 133:19 104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 amount 39:6 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	alliance 116:21	answer 15:19	appearance 4:8	127:3 129:17
104:18 42:12,14,16 41:13 134:5,8,17,21 allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 67:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 amount 39:6 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	116:23	17:20 28:23	17:24 21:10	131:21 132:20
allow 34:15 43:23 55:20 appellate 95:11 141:7 144:20 151:15,20 64:2 66:20 applicable 145:3 158:8 allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 47:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 13:10 67:8 127:5 arguing 16:7 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 argument 6:22 8:17 17:15 45:6 146:2,3 answering 40:6 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	allocation	33:3 35:20 41:3	appears 25:14	132:23 133:19
151:15,2064:2 66:20applicable145:3 158:8allowed36:770:21 72:1967:17159:1,19128:1079:11,13 108:3applicationarea 93:17alternative112:8 126:1867:10,17 155:7areas 158:936:2,5 37:10,13126:18,20,22applied 29:3,4argue 8:167:19130:20 131:4appointed124:11 160:13amount39:6133:23 142:1987:17 91:10,12argued 19:2347:1 59:21151:15 159:2,3appreciate116:20 125:1560:21 61:8,14answered 41:113:10 67:8127:5amounts65:1884:13 140:6appreciation19:10analysis20:9answering 40:6116:15argument 6:2145:6 146:2,3answers42:13approach 23:36:22 8:17 17:15	104:18	42:12,14,16	41:13	134:5,8,17,21
allowed 36:7 70:21 72:19 67:17 159:1,19 128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 67:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 amount 39:6 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:22 8:17 17:15	allow 34:15	43:23 55:20	appellate 95:11	141:7 144:20
128:10 79:11,13 108:3 application area 93:17 alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 67:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 amount 39:6 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 amounts 65:18 44:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	151:15,20	64:2 66:20	applicable	145:3 158:8
alternative 112:8 126:18 67:10,17 155:7 areas 158:9 36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 67:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 amount 39:6 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 amounts 65:18 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	allowed 36:7	70:21 72:19	67:17	159:1,19
36:2,5 37:10,13 126:18,20,22 applied 29:3,4 argue 8:1 67:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 amount 39:6 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 amounts 65:18 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	128:10	79:11,13 108:3	application	area 93:17
67:19 130:20 131:4 appointed 124:11 160:13 amount 39:6 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 amounts 65:18 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	alternative	112:8 126:18	67:10,17 155:7	areas 158:9
amount 39:6 133:23 142:19 87:17 91:10,12 argued 19:23 47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 amounts 45:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:22 8:17 17:15	36:2,5 37:10,13	126:18,20,22	applied 29:3,4	argue 8:1
47:1 59:21 151:15 159:2,3 appreciate 116:20 125:15 60:21 61:8,14 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	67:19	130:20 131:4	appointed	124:11 160:13
60:21 61:8,14 answered 41:1 13:10 67:8 127:5 66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	amount 39:6	133:23 142:19	87:17 91:10,12	argued 19:23
66:15 148:13 41:3 60:2 71:18 125:2 arguing 16:7 amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	47:1 59:21	151:15 159:2,3	appreciate	116:20 125:15
amounts 65:18 84:13 140:6 appreciation 19:10 analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	60:21 61:8,14	answered 41:1	13:10 67:8	127:5
analysis 20:9 answering 40:6 116:15 argument 6:2 145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	66:15 148:13	41:3 60:2 71:18	125:2	arguing 16:7
145:6 146:2,3 answers 42:13 approach 23:3 6:22 8:17 17:15	amounts 65:18	84:13 140:6	appreciation	19:10
	analysis 20:9	answering 40:6	116:15	argument 6:2
23:10,11 92:4,6 32:9,13 63:23	145:6 146:2,3	answers 42:13		
			23:10,11 92:4,6	32:9,13 63:23

[argument - bankruptcies]

Page 5

63:23,24 93:19	50:3,4,5 65:23	attacking 6:9	avoid 75:16
93:20 103:23	65:24 66:18	10:4,9	awaiting
115:3,20	68:23 102:13	attended 44:12	139:10
158:17	103:7 110:12	44:15	award 66:23
argumentative	110:14,16	attorney 67:24	121:24
29:19 30:6 32:8	112:9	107:4 150:16	awarded 67:7
55:16,18	asks 36:13	152:25 153:1	aware 7:8
arguments 7:2	asserted 24:25	154:25 155:8	12:25 48:7,8,8
67:12 93:22	31:19,23 32:5	157:17 160:13	60:8
158:21 159:23	32:11 33:19	attorney's 5:8	b
arising 81:17	160:15	154:15	b 3:10 22:2
arrangement	asserting 22:23	attorneys 7:11	147:1
68:12	31:4 32:17,20	64:15 157:19	bacharach 1:9
arsdale 117:16	33:3	157:19 158:12	back 9:6 16:18
article 54:20	assess 96:22	161:1	25:10 30:25
55:8 61:7	assigned 46:14	attributed	
articulate	60:22	82:11	33:23 34:2
16:16	associate 4:16	audience 108:3	41:12 45:24
articulating	associated	auditors 118:15	64:18 65:11
19:4	46:15 51:1	118:16 119:1	67:7 71:12
asbestos 83:5	assume 49:21	august 129:21	85:14 97:6
107:13 111:20	assumes 29:19	austin 2:3 4:16	103:6 124:6
asc 118:20	assure 16:2	56:2	125:5 126:5,13
ashcraft 91:11	atl 1:2 4:7	austin's 55:10	138:1 148:25
aside 142:23	atlantic 1:1,9	author 24:5,8	155:21
asked 6:4 17:18	1:10	26:16,17	backed 127:5
21:14 32:16	attached 39:16	103:23	128:6,8
36:3 41:1,24	49:18 51:25	authored 55:8	background
42:1,2 71:17	attaches 50:16	available 59:5	30:2
119:14,16	attachment	120:24 121:11	bad 19:12
126:11 131:21	105:20	122:18	82:14 83:2,22
133:5 140:6	attack 12:5,8	average 119:22	124:12
142:11,24	63:20 118:6	120:5,12	ballot 40:17
158:15	attacked 10:3	122:24,24	band 12:17
asking 13:19	16:24 17:6	123:19,21	bankruptcies
43:19,22 44:1	10.2117.0	123.17,21	98:22 100:5
13.17,22 77.1			

[bankruptcies - billion]

Page 6

			_
137:1,2	20:12 21:12	beating 12:17	bench 20:20
bankruptcy	30:10 40:9	becoming 19:6	benefit 15:7
18:12 27:16	46:13 52:11	beg 79:10,14	58:25 59:4
31:13 34:4,9,17	59:11 67:13	began 86:21,23	60:10,13,16,18
35:3 36:7,11	81:2 82:13	90:21 103:3	60:22 61:12
37:2,5,8,20,22	88:14 119:19	beginning	62:4,5,8 64:14
37:24 38:1,10	120:14 153:25	37:21 38:11	65:16,18,21
38:13,16 39:3	basically	42:24 88:10	66:12,13 67:4
39:10,13,21	139:11 142:19	97:18 115:23	67:11 76:4
40:24 41:6	142:21	behalf 4:14 5:2	119:10 120:22
48:16,18 49:4,7	basis 14:19	14:11 31:10	120:23 121:10
49:18 57:6,10	61:6 64:7	37:16 82:15	121:19,25
57:16,22 59:5,6	110:24	95:14 97:3	122:4,8 124:17
60:7 80:5,5	battling 83:2	105:25 130:9	125:17,18
82:12,15 96:4,6	bear 127:1	130:10 136:1	126:16 143:1,5
96:25 97:2,5,5	bearing 11:11	151:1	best 109:16
97:12,15,17	beasley 2:7	belated 5:24	117:9 122:6
98:19,23 99:3,7	4:15 10:6,9,13	belief 12:3	162:8
114:5,12 117:6	11:16,20 12:8	109:17 118:5	better 82:16
121:12,18,24	13:2 14:16,24	believe 12:5	112:14
122:12,13	15:6 19:12 20:2	13:20 19:18	beyond 19:20
123:22,23	20:6 55:6 61:25	37:6 49:23	30:20 95:12
124:17 126:15	63:20 64:4	68:16 82:12	bias 124:14
126:17 128:6	68:22 70:8	87:14,21 88:4,7	big 10:5 73:9
129:25 134:6	71:15 86:18	88:12 95:15,16	75:14 135:1
134:12,21	89:22 90:12	95:18 99:12	141:19 144:25
135:3,4,13,17	91:4 98:24	101:18 105:21	bigger 23:16
135:24,25	110:2 136:2	128:11 132:9	135:1
136:3,8,10,17	138:21 139:12	146:17 148:1	biggest 113:14
143:1,6,10	139:13 140:2	150:25 151:3	113:14
150:14,22	140:19,25	158:9	billion 39:20
bar 91:5	142:13,13	believed 57:20	51:1,5 65:19,20
base 105:3	145:20 149:4	58:2 100:9	65:22 66:9,20
127:13	149:14,15	believes 8:8	75:20,20 79:24
based 1:3 4:6	151:2 159:24	158:18	80:14,16,17
8:6 11:8 14:2			106:7,17,19,20

[billion - brody] Page 7

	I		
119:16 122:18	birchfield's 9:3	briefing 6:23	47:4 49:15 56:5
128:8 130:11	69:4	7:23 8:4,7	58:22 62:12,23
130:18 131:17	bit 53:22 64:22	21:13 67:9 95:4	63:1 64:12 65:3
131:24 133:7,8	73:12 111:10	137:16 161:10	65:13,15 66:8
149:20,21,22	124:2 125:1	briefly 14:10	67:21,22 69:8,9
150:13 155:19	138:9 149:20	92:24 111:19	69:16,19 70:2,4
binder 43:11,13	black 109:22	160:2	70:5 71:22
54:12 125:6	blank 156:12	briefs 72:13	72:23,24 73:17
birchfield 2:7	156:13	bring 23:6	73:18 76:17,18
3:3 4:15 8:25	blanketly	158:5	76:25 77:21
9:15 10:3,17	159:11	bringing	78:4,6,23 79:7
11:7 13:23	blatantly	114:14,14	79:11 80:9
14:17,23 15:2,7	155:10	bristol 88:15	84:13,17,22
16:13 21:21,23	bloomberg	broad 19:10	85:22,25 93:8
22:1,4,14 27:20	55:8	148:18 153:17	93:10 102:13
30:1 33:6 35:23	blow 155:19	155:7	103:7 110:11
36:16 38:8,19	blowing 82:16	broader 12:25	110:22,24
38:23 39:19	board 52:20	15:16 101:15	111:1 114:21
40:5,10 41:4,19	53:6	brody 2:9 4:17	115:2 116:20
42:18 43:14	bolt 96:6	4:19,20,21 6:25	121:1,4,7 124:1
53:9 55:4,21	134:13	7:6,7 8:18,23	125:21 127:10
60:1 63:2,9,13	book 125:11	9:5,13,17 11:12	127:22,23
63:15 65:9,17	books 118:22	14:10 16:14	128:14,15
67:23 69:14,14	botched 101:13	17:20 18:14	129:18 131:21
70:6 73:19 74:1	bottom 26:10	19:3,10 21:3	137:12,13,20
74:11,14,14,22	156:2	22:9,13 23:15	138:3,5,8,12,19
75:1 76:11,19	boulevard 1:9	24:17 27:24,25	140:9,10,17
78:15 79:1,8,15	box 40:17	28:6 29:25 30:4	141:10,16,20
79:19 85:14	boxes 120:10	30:8,9 32:15,16	142:2,5,7
86:9,17 93:20	break 7:8 85:1	32:22 33:19,20	144:24 145:1
94:3,6 98:9	85:3 112:10	33:25 36:9,19	146:17,20
105:20 118:1	132:3 137:11	38:3,20,21,22	148:8 151:13
133:22 135:23	140:7 158:8	40:20 41:7,13	152:17 153:9
138:14,20	brevity 87:23	41:17,18 42:7,9	153:10,13
140:15 151:11	brief 42:12	42:12,16,21	154:12 157:11
154:21 156:24		43:18,22,25	157:14,18,22

[brody - changed]

Page 8

159:13 160:4,7 calling 93:11 88:10,15 89:12 160:21,23 camera 6:6,7 89:13 90:13,15 161:9,15 7:10 158:12 114:23 118:24 brody's 17:14 cancer 24:9 124:14 25:20 26:19 122:24 123:21 brought 29:12 27:4 28:14,15 124:11 139:20 94:10 114:5 28:18,21 31:10 145:5 146:2 151:17 158:25 32:4 46:8 52:14 156:18 brush 148:19 53:8,12 80:23 cases 14:21	certainly 7:7 8:3 21:9 33:14 48:13 52:3 58:17 78:7 115:6 124:3 136:22,22 153:19 155:11 158:20 certainty
161:9,15 7:10 158:12 114:23 118:24 brody's 17:14 cancer 24:9 119:22 120:5 124:14 25:20 26:19 122:24 123:21 brought 29:12 27:4 28:14,15 124:11 139:20 94:10 114:5 28:18,21 31:10 145:5 146:2 151:17 158:25 32:4 46:8 52:14 156:18	48:13 52:3 58:17 78:7 115:6 124:3 136:22,22 153:19 155:11 158:20
brody's 17:14 cancer 24:9 119:22 120:5 124:14 25:20 26:19 122:24 123:21 brought 29:12 27:4 28:14,15 124:11 139:20 94:10 114:5 28:18,21 31:10 145:5 146:2 151:17 158:25 32:4 46:8 52:14 156:18	58:17 78:7 115:6 124:3 136:22,22 153:19 155:11 158:20
124:14 25:20 26:19 122:24 123:21 brought 29:12 27:4 28:14,15 124:11 139:20 94:10 114:5 28:18,21 31:10 145:5 146:2 151:17 158:25 32:4 46:8 52:14 156:18	115:6 124:3 136:22,22 153:19 155:11 158:20
brought 29:12 27:4 28:14,15 124:11 139:20 94:10 114:5 28:18,21 31:10 145:5 146:2 151:17 158:25 32:4 46:8 52:14 156:18	136:22,22 153:19 155:11 158:20
94:10 114:5 28:18,21 31:10 145:5 146:2 151:17 158:25 32:4 46:8 52:14 156:18	153:19 155:11 158:20
151:17 158:25 32:4 46:8 52:14 156:18	158:20
brush 148:19	certainty
	•
buckets 107:12 80:24 81:17 51:12 52:23	118:15 119:4
buggers 63:21 83:5 88:3,12 70:9 88:13,14	certification
build 128:16 95:14 97:3 88:17,17 90:11	155:14
builders 16:21 105:6,7,10 92:14 94:21	certifications
built 64:16 106:1,25 107:1 114:1,8,9,9,10	14:4
bunch 140:10 107:16 108:23 120:1 123:3,14	certified 162:4
butter 105:17	162:19
button 77:20 118:24 119:5 140:2,4,20,21	certify 162:5
byte 63:19 119:25 120:2,2 140:22,22,23	cervical 114:8
c 129:24 130:10 141:4,5 142:14	cetera 10:6
c 1:12 2:1 22:2 131:18 145:4,5 142:18 148:9	16:21 20:14
162:1,1 145:9,15 148:10,10,12	chair 91:21
149:13,15,18 catch 62:21	challenge 83:21
120:11 149:23 150:15 category	84:10 94:6
calculator 66:1 cancers 105:11 149:10	challenged 17:5
calendar 114:6 134:22 cause 11:18	challenges
100:19 137:15 candidly 9:2 13:17 135:7	88:20,21
california 89:3 20:13 110:25 caused 113:8	chance 86:25
89:13,19 127:16 causing 83:5	156:3
call 48:7 15 capable 148:2 cc 103:9	change 30:15
54:25 92:23 care 76:4,5 ccr 1:22,22	101:18,21
133:20 148:11	102:2 111:23
148:12 carolina 97:21 center 2:4	113:1,5 135:16
called 58:14 case 1:44:7,7 central 41:13	135:19
87:7,24 12:16 17:13 ceo 72:6 73:1	changed 30:17
21:10,11 59:11 certain 8:15	84:6 133:25
77:12 86:22,24 93:17 120:2	134:7

[changes - coerce]

Page 9

changes 28:3	civil 1:3,9 161:6	94:18 96:10,11	61:10 65:6 67:3
31:2 111:19	claim 24:9	96:11,15,16,19	73:6 100:15
113:5	25:20 26:19	96:23 99:8	112:4
changing	27:4 101:13	100:23,25	clearly 124:24
100:24,25	116:3 145:9,10	101:16,19,22	client 19:15
character	claim's 47:7	102:2,9 103:20	79:10 99:5
16:23	claimant 83:25	105:5,5 106:25	104:11,11
characterizati	104:11 136:18	107:1,8,15	109:13,14
115:3 128:16	141:2	111:20,20	136:25 137:18
128:21	claimants	112:1,7,8,25	client's 5:6
characterized	10:10 34:3,16	113:9,9,10,15	clients 10:17
131:17	39:12,21,24	113:20 114:5	11:2 18:11
charge 20:16	40:2,12,18,21	114:14,15,18	37:16 38:15
51:8 62:1	46:13,23 49:11	114:19 115:16	58:2,21 62:1
118:21	53:9,12 58:14	119:20,23,24	64:1 75:2,24
child 12:9	59:16,18,23,24	120:15 129:24	76:3 80:7 82:15
chocolate	59:25 68:10	130:11 131:18	91:3,25 97:10
105:17	81:23 95:14	132:15,15	110:2 116:6
choice 156:16	96:3 99:1,23	134:15,15,18	122:6,8,10,10
choose 49:13	100:1,4,24	135:4 136:16	130:19 131:1
54:7 116:6	105:7 120:9,17	142:12 148:17	135:6 143:21
chose 116:8,17	120:18 122:21	148:18,22,22	143:22 144:11
chris 77:9	122:21,23	148:22 149:8	149:5 150:24
chronological	123:4,8 136:2	149:10,11,12	clipping 42:13
23:21,23,24	136:12 139:14	149:13,15,16	clock 85:9
circuit 112:17	140:3 141:1	149:18,23	100:19
112:18 113:5	142:18 144:2	150:10,11	close 9:10 86:3
135:12,12,18	150:23 151:2	151:1,4	closed 8:12
circuit's 113:2	claimed 147:14	clarify 29:24	closely 96:21
circumstance	claims 29:4,10	133:21	closing 8:12
70:22	39:20 46:14	clause 155:22	32:8
circumstances	47:6,8,15 58:15	clean 140:12	clue 29:22
11:17 79:22	60:21 68:6,9,17	149:1	code 82:15
citing 114:23	80:23,24 81:16	clear 12:11	coerce 39:16
city 1:10	81:16 84:8 93:6	37:15 38:14	40:17
	93:6,7 94:12,16	51:8 57:10	

[coercing - confidential]

Page 10

coercing 39:12 coercive 49:7 committed 9:23 lo:14 11:2 community 83:14 lo9:7 compromising 109:7 54:7 18:10 122:5 company 7:18 loing 8:25 loing 8:20 loing 8:21 loing				_
54:7 collaboration 18:10 122:5 committee company 7:18 58:5 82:25 computer 15:9 collect 12:18 collected 10:10 16:23,24 17:2 22:23 24:24 31:10 48:15 conceded 156:19 conceivably 139:18 148:13 colloquy 34:3,17 52:13 compensable 67:18 74:16 compensable 96:11 112:7 concern 73:9 19:13 110:1 concern 73:9 131:20 75:3 91:12 99:2 column 25:15 26:1 103:20 132:25 come 16:15 103:20 132:25 compensation 37:17 38:15 concerned 159:8 concerned 26:1 20:17 35:14,17 36:13 39:18 45:2 47:13,14 50:20 58:6 committees 100:4 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 concerns 10:15 13:10 concerns 10:15 45:2 47:13,14 50:20 58:6 65:18 71:3 72:14 78:8 79:3 80:12 85:14 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 65:21 66:12,13 65:21 66:12,13 commenced 4:1 13:22 commenced 4:1 13:22 comment 13:10 12:24,8 124:17 103:22 112:12 comment 13:10 12:24,8 124:17 comment 13:10 13:23 communicate 13:23 communicate 13:23 communicate commit 10:23 communicate 13:23 communicate commit 10:23 communication commitment 10:23 communication commitment 10:23 communication commitment 10:23 communication commitment 10:23 communication. 13:15 component 10:15 10	coercing 39:12	committed 9:23	community	compromising
collaboration committee 58:5 82:25 155:15 15:9 10:10 16:23,24 17:2 22:23 conceded collected 24:24 31:10 48:15 conceivably 139:18 148:13 34:3,17 52:13 compensable 19:13 110:1 colloquy 67:18 74:16 96:11 112:7 concern 73:9 131:20 75:3 91:12 99:2 113:19 123:3 75:14 113:17 column 25:15 99:5,23 100:1 compensation 159:8 26:1 103:20 132:25 37:17 38:15 concerned 20:17 35:14,17 151:3 committees 122:7 123:7 159:8 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 competitive 136:20 conclude 45:2 47:13,14 common 58:25 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 completive 136:20 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 66:16,18,22 38:6 completely 5:22 6:16 11:1 concluded 15:10 13:13 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 completely 5:22 6:16 11:1 conference 4:1 120:22,23 12:10,19,24	coercive 49:7	10:14 11:2	83:14	109:7
15:9	54:7	18:10 122:5	company 7:18	computer
collect 12:18 17:2 22:23 company's 156:19 collected 24:24 31:10 48:15 conceivably 139:18 148:13 34:3,17 52:13 compensable 96:11 112:7 131:20 75:3 91:12 99:2 113:19 123:3 75:14 113:17 column 25:15 99:5,23 100:1 compensation 159:8 26:1 103:20 132:25 37:17 38:15 concerned come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 20:17 35:14,17 151:3 75:25 76:3 80:6 concerns 10:15 36:11 39:18 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 13:10 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 136:11 conclude 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 competitive 136:20 conclude 65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 complete 27:23 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 completely 20:10 commenced 120:22,23 completely 5:22 6:16 11:1 conference 4:1 125:16,18 61:11	collaboration	committee	58:5 82:25	155:15
collected 24:24 31:10 48:15 conceivably 139:18 148:13 34:3,17 52:13 compensable 96:11 112:7 131:20 75:3 91:12 99:2 113:19 123:3 75:14 113:17 column 25:15 99:5,23 100:1 compensation 37:17 38:15 159:8 come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 20:17 35:14,17 151:3 75:25 76:3 80:6 122:7 123:7 13:10 36:11 39:18 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 13:10 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 136:11 conclude 136:20 conclude 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 completive 136:20 conclude 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 completely 20:10 conclusion 7:25 80:12 126:4,13 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 conference 44:8,12,15,17 103:22 112:12 122:48 124:17 122:48 124:17 complicated 61:11 <	15:9	10:10 16:23,24	98:20	conceded
139:18 148:13 34:3,17 52:13 compensable 19:13 110:1 colloquy 67:18 74:16 96:11 112:7 concern 73:9 131:20 75:3 91:12 99:2 113:19 123:3 75:14 113:17 column 25:15 99:5,23 100:1 compensation 159:8 26:1 103:20 132:25 37:17 38:15 concerned come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 20:17 35:14,17 36:11 39:18 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 136:11 conclude 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 completive 136:20 65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 complete 27:23 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:45,8 38:6 completely 20:10 80:12 126:4,13 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 4:1 120:19,24 162:6 44:8,12,15,17 comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 61:11 compleated 44:23 45:1	collect 12:18	17:2 22:23	company's	156:19
colloquy 67:18 74:16 96:11 112:7 concern 73:9 131:20 75:3 91:12 99:2 113:19 123:3 75:14 113:17 column 25:15 99:5,23 100:1 compensation 159:8 come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 20:17 35:14,17 151:3 75:25 76:3 80:6 159:12 36:11 39:18 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 136:11 conclude 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 competitive 136:20 65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 comcluded 72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 complete 27:23 161:19 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 concluded 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 compliance 44:8,12,15,17 comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 complicated 44:23 45:1 11:10 13:13 25:16,18<	collected	24:24 31:10	48:15	conceivably
131:20 75:3 91:12 99:2 113:19 123:3 75:14 113:17 column 25:15 99:5,23 100:1 compensation 159:8 come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 20:17 35:14,17 151:3 75:25 76:3 80:6 concerned 36:11 39:18 100:4 136:11 conclude 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 136:11 conclude 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 concluded 65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 complete 27:23 161:19 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 completely 20:10 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 completely 20:10 coming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 complicated 44:8,12,15,17 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence 11:10 13:13 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 20mmission 13:23 communicated 140:5 confidential<	139:18 148:13	34:3,17 52:13	compensable	19:13 110:1
column 25:15 99:5,23 100:1 compensation 159:8 come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 20:17 35:14,17 36:11 39:18 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 45:2 47:13,14 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 competitive 136:20 65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 conclude 72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 complete 27:23 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 concluded 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 completely 20:10 coming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 complicated 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 13:22 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 18:1 13:23 communicated 140:5 confidences 18:1 13:23 communication 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 <td>colloquy</td> <td>67:18 74:16</td> <td>96:11 112:7</td> <td>concern 73:9</td>	colloquy	67:18 74:16	96:11 112:7	concern 73:9
26:1 103:20 132:25 37:17 38:15 concerned come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 20:17 35:14,17 151:3 75:25 76:3 80:6 concerns 10:15 36:11 39:18 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 136:11 conclude 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 competitive 136:20 65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 conclude 72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 38:6 concluded 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 complete 27:23 91:2 126:4,13 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 conditions 69:1 comming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 conference 4:1 120:22,23 162:6 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:13 125:16,18 61:11 confidence 11:10 13:13 22:14,8 124:17 61:11 confidence 18:1 13:23 communicated 140:5	131:20	75:3 91:12 99:2	113:19 123:3	75:14 113:17
come 16:15 133:16 136:2 46:25 54:6 64:1 159:12 20:17 35:14,17 151:3 75:25 76:3 80:6 concerns 10:15 36:11 39:18 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 136:11 conclude 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 competitive 136:20 65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 concluded 72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 38:6 concluded 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 conclusion 7:25 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 completely 20:10 conditions 69:1 commenced 120:22,23 compliance conference 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 compliance 44:8,12,15,17 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 61:11 confidence 18:1 13:23 communicated 140:5 confident 19:1,	column 25:15	99:5,23 100:1	compensation	159:8
20:17 35:14,17 151:3 75:25 76:3 80:6 concerns 10:15 36:11 39:18 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 136:11 conclude 50:20 58:6 common 58:25 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 concluded 72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 complete 27:23 161:19 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 concluded 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 completely 20:10 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 confictions 69:1 commenced 120:22,23 compliance conference 4:1 121:10,19,24 162:6 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 61:11 confidence 11:10 13:13 126:16 143:1,5 compound 52:11 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:23 communicated 140:5 confidential 18:1 113:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:23	26:1	103:20 132:25	37:17 38:15	concerned
36:11 39:18 committees 122:7 123:7 13:10 45:2 47:13,14 100:4 competitive 136:20 50:20 58:6 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 concluded 72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 complete 27:23 161:19 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 conclusion 7:25 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 completely 20:10 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 conditions 69:1 coming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 compliance conference 4:1 122:4,8 124:17 61:11 complicated 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 11:10 13:13 25:16,18 61:11 confidence 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 10:9 confidences 18:1 113:23 communicated 81:15 confidential 18:1 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 1	come 16:15	133:16 136:2	46:25 54:6 64:1	159:12
45:2 47:13,14 100:4 common 58:25 competitive 136:20 65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 concluded 72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 36:8 concluded 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 conclusion 7:25 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 completely 20:10 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 conditions 69:1 coming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 compliance conference 4:1 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:8,12,15,17 comments 13:10 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 18:1 113:23 communicate 140:5 confident 77:15 commit 10:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	20:17 35:14,17	151:3	75:25 76:3 80:6	concerns 10:15
50:20 58:6 common 58:25 competitive 36:8 136:20 concluded concluded concluded concluded concluded separate se	36:11 39:18	committees	122:7 123:7	13:10
65:18 71:3 59:4 60:10,13 36:8 concluded 72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 38:6 161:19 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 20:10 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 5:22 6:16 11:1 conditions 69:1 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 compliance 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:23 45:1 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 13:22 compound 10:9 confidence 28:15 33:11 13:23 compound 140:5 confidential 18:1 13:23 communicated 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 73:3,20,24 81:2	45:2 47:13,14	100:4	136:11	conclude
72:14 78:8 79:3 60:16,18,22 complete 27:23 161:19 80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 conclusion 7:25 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 20:10 conditions 69:1 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 conditions 69:1 commenced 120:22,23 compliance conference 4:1 121:10,19,24 162:6 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:23 45:1 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 10:9 commitsion 13:23 comprehensive confidential commit 10:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communication 73:3,20,24 81:2	50:20 58:6	common 58:25	competitive	136:20
80:12 85:14 61:12 62:4,5,8 38:6 conclusion 7:25 91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 20:10 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 conditions 69:1 coming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 compliance 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:8,12,15,17 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 communicated 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 communicated 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	65:18 71:3	59:4 60:10,13	36:8	concluded
91:2 126:4,13 64:14 65:16,18 completely 20:10 154:6 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 conditions 69:1 coming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 compliance 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:23 45:1 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 28:15 33:11 13:22 compound 10:9 commission 13:23 comprehensive confidental 18:1 113:23 comprehensive 64:19 68:21 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communication 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	72:14 78:8 79:3	60:16,18,22	complete 27:23	161:19
154:6 65:21 66:12,13 5:22 6:16 11:1 conditions 69:1 coming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 compliance 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:23 45:1 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission 113:23 comprehensive confidential 18:1 113:23 comprehensive 64:19 68:21 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	80:12 85:14	61:12 62:4,5,8	38:6	conclusion 7:25
coming 11:15 67:4,11 76:3 77:15 confer 7:3 8:20 commenced 120:22,23 compliance 4:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:8,12,15,17 comments 11:5 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confidential commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	91:2 126:4,13	64:14 65:16,18	completely	20:10
commenced 120:22,23 compliance conference 4:1 121:10,19,24 162:6 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:23 45:1 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission communicated 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confidential 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 73:3,20,24 81:2	154:6	65:21 66:12,13	5:22 6:16 11:1	conditions 69:1
4:1 121:10,19,24 162:6 44:8,12,15,17 comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:23 45:1 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission communicated 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confidential 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	coming 11:15	67:4,11 76:3	77:15	confer 7:3 8:20
comment 13:10 122:4,8 124:17 complicated 44:23 45:1 103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission communicated 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confidential 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	commenced	120:22,23	compliance	conference
103:22 112:12 125:16,18 61:11 confidence comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission communicated 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confidential 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	4:1	121:10,19,24	162:6	44:8,12,15,17
comments 11:5 126:16 143:1,5 component 52:11 11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission communicated 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confident 77:15 commit 10:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	comment 13:10	122:4,8 124:17	complicated	44:23 45:1
11:10 13:13 communicate 37:25 104:24 confidences 28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission communicated 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confident 77:15 commit 10:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	103:22 112:12	125:16,18	61:11	confidence
28:15 33:11 113:22 compound 110:9 commission 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confident 77:15 commit 10:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	comments 11:5	126:16 143:1,5	component	52:11
commission communicated 140:5 confident 77:15 18:1 113:23 comprehensive confident ial commit 10:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	11:10 13:13	communicate	37:25 104:24	confidences
18:1 113:23 comprehensive confidential commit 10:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	28:15 33:11	113:22	compound	110:9
commit 10:23 communication 81:15 19:1,14 20:2,5 commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	commission	communicated	140:5	confident 77:15
commitment 25:1 132:24 compromised 64:19 68:21 13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	18:1	113:23	comprehensive	confidential
13:2 139:23 communicati 108:15,16 73:3,20,24 81:2	commit 10:23	communication	81:15	19:1,14 20:2,5
	commitment	25:1 132:24	compromised	64:19 68:21
25:5,8 109:4 94:8 137:18	13:2 139:23	communicati	108:15,16	73:3,20,24 81:2
		25:5,8	109:4	94:8 137:18

[confidential - counsel]

Page 11

143:14	L	•		8
confirmation 105:19 108:7 contained 26:19,25 27:5 28:24 29:10 35:2 37:1 109:7,18,23 152:11 28:24 29:10 conflict 62:24 110:8 114:22 contains 83:4 31:1 34:25 35:4 confront 115:24 116:21 97:17 134:4 39:3 45:15 35:7 36:22 37:2 confrontation 117:16 124:7,8 62:2,6 59:1,7 60:22 conjunction 153:16 154:22 56 9:2 65:24 68:12 conjunction 153:16 154:22 56 9:2 65:24 68:12 conjunction 153:16 154:22 56 9:2 65:24 68:12 conjunction 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 conjunction 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 697:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continued 117:24 126:6 697:14 13:4,5 158:25 159:5 continued 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 6:17 7:15 142:20,21 47:17 84:12 157:13	143:14	94:24 95:1,23	constructed	correct 24:6,7
35:2 37:1 109:7,18,23 152:11 28:24 29:10 conflict 62:24 110:8 114:22 contains 83:4 31:1 34:25 35:4 confront 115:24 116:21 97:17 134:4 39:3 45:15 35:7 36:22 37:2 confrontation 117:16 124:7,8 62:2,6 59:1,7 60:22 59:1,7 60:22 conjunction 153:16 154:22 62:2,6 59:1,7 60:22 65:24 68:12 conjunction 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 69:24 13 82:22 98:14 conjunction 157:8,25 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 continue 24:13 82:22 98:14 103:1,8 117:23 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 25:8 29:7 32:19 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 142:20,21 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 41:16,22,24 54:25 55:1 55:13 57:1 50:14 61:13,14 47:17 84:12 28:8 contribution 16:13,14 47:22 49:17,2	confirm 161:11	102:17 105:16	41:10	24:11 25:8,17
conflict 62:24 110:8 114:22 contains 83:4 31:1 34:25 35:4 62:24 114:25 115:21 context 97:5,15 35:7 36:22 37:2 confront 115:24 116:21 97:17 134:4 39:3 45:15 confrontation 117:16 124:7,8 62:2,6 59:1,7 60:22 conjunction 153:16 154:22 continuation 61:20 62:2 conjunction 153:16 154:22 continuation 65:24 68:12 conjunction 155:17,20 155:17,20 65:24 68:12 conlan 5:15,18 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 ontinue 24:13 82:22 98:14 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contains 31:12 13:2 142:16 25:10 19:14 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 contribution 122:25 143:5 correctly 16:13,14	confirmation	105:19 108:7	contained	26:19,25 27:5
62:24 confront 114:25 115:21 115:24 116:21 context 97:5,15 97:17 134:4 35:7 36:22 37:2 39:3 45:15 confrontation 117:16 124:7,8 8:14 155:22 15:17 152:21 conjunction 62:2,6 59:2 continuation 59:1,7 60:22 conjunction 103:19 154:22 155:9 continuation 5:6:9.2 continue 24:13 82:22 98:14 82:22 98:14 17:23 17:10 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 15:10 19:14 20:1,4 24:14,21 25:8 29:7 32:19 36:10,14,20 41:16,22,24 42:25 43:10 45:19,21,25 46:9 47:11,18 47:17,20 47:17 84:12 50:8,16,21,23 52:19 53:7 consents 47:22 49:17,21 50:8,16,21,23 52:19 53:7 consents 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 58:4 62:25 63:4 63:13,15 64:19 65:12 68:21 69:20,22 70:8 71:5 73:1,4,21 73:25 75:6 constance 1:22 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 constant 17:13 55:9 context 97:5,15 35:15 56:23 continued 61:20 62:2 continuation 61:20 62:2 continuation 61:20 62:2 continue 24:13 82:22 98:14 103:1,8 117:23 126:6 59:2 45:14 103:1,8 117:23 126:6 117:24 126:16 117:24 126:6 117:24 126:6 117:24 126:16 117:24 126:6 117:24 126:16 117:24 126:16 117:24 126:16 117	35:2 37:1	109:7,18,23	152:11	28:24 29:10
confront 115:24 116:21 97:17 134:4 39:3 45:15 156:24 116:23 117:10 contingency 53:15 56:23 8:14 155:22 151:17 152:21 continuation 61:20 62:2 conjunction 153:16 154:22 5:6 9:2 65:24 68:12 103:19 154:22 155:9 continuation 61:20 62:2 conlan 5:15,18 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continued 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contributed 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 conversation 28:9,14 correctly 146:3 55:13 57:11 consents 28:8 cot 60:20 55:13 57:11 considered 8:2 61:18 123:	conflict 62:24	110:8 114:22	contains 83:4	31:1 34:25 35:4
156:24 116:23 117:10 contingency 53:15 56:23 8:14 155:22 151:17 152:21 continuation 61:20 62:2 conjunction 153:16 154:22 5:6 9:2 65:24 68:12 103:19 154:22 155:9 continue 24:13 82:22 98:14 conlan 5:15,18 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continued 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 contributed 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 142:25 143:5 correctly 146:3 47:22 49:17,21 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversation correspondence 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 66:12,12,13 74:15 66:123 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 <td>62:24</td> <td>114:25 115:21</td> <td>context 97:5,15</td> <td>35:7 36:22 37:2</td>	62:24	114:25 115:21	context 97:5,15	35:7 36:22 37:2
confrontation 117:16 124:7,8 62:2,6 59:1,7 60:22 8:14 155:22 151:17 152:21 5:6 9:2 65:24 68:12 103:19 154:22 155:9 5:6 9:2 65:24 68:12 conlan 5:15,18 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continue 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contaideted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 contributed 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 conversation correctly 146:3 47:22 49:17,21 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversation correspondence 52:19 53:7 consider 8:7 61:18 123:15 cost 60:24 55:13 57:11 considered 8:2 correctly 14:6:3 correctly 14:6:3 69:20,22 70:8	confront	115:24 116:21	97:17 134:4	39:3 45:15
8:14 155:22 151:17 152:21 continuation 61:20 62:2 conjunction 153:16 154:22 5:6 9:2 65:24 68:12 103:19 154:22 155:9 continue 24:13 82:22 98:14 conlan 5:15,18 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continued 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contributed 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 142:25 143:5 correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversation cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consider 8:7 67:12,13 74:15 coordinated 61:23 123:6 costs 60:24	156:24	116:23 117:10	contingency	53:15 56:23
conjunction 153:16 154:22 5:6 9:2 65:24 68:12 103:19 154:22 155:9 continue 24:13 82:22 98:14 conlan 5:15,18 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continued 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 8:9 25:4 53:19 contradicted 131:2 142:16 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contributed 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 142:25 143:5 correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 55:13 57:11 considered 8:7 67:12,13 74:15 61:18 123:15 69:20,22 70:8 67:12,13 74:15 copies 26:4 c	confrontation	117:16 124:7,8	62:2,6	59:1,7 60:22
103:19 154:22 155:9 continue 24:13 82:22 98:14 conlan 5:15,18 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continued 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contributed 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 conlan's 6:17 7:15 142:20,21 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contribution 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 contribution 161:13,14 47:22 49:17,21 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 correctly 146:3 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cot 60:20 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:15 65:12 68:21 4	8:14 155:22	151:17 152:21	continuation	61:20 62:2
conlan 5:15,18 155:17,20 137:1 103:1,8 117:23 6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continued 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 conlan's 6:17 7:15 142:20,21 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contributed 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 contribution 161:13,14 46:9 47:11,18 47:17 84:12 conversation correctly 146:3 47:22 49:17,21 47:17 84:12 conversation 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 consistency	conjunction	153:16 154:22	5:6 9:2	65:24 68:12
6:9 7:14 13:4,5 156:20,25 continued 117:24 126:6 15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 8:9 25:4 53:19 contradicted 142:20,21 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contribution 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 conversation correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 connection 28:9,14 correspondence 47:22 49:17,21 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 cosmetic 81:17 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 consider 8:7 61:18 123:15 costs 60:24 55:13 57:11 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 51:6,17,23 7:4 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 51:6,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14	103:19	154:22 155:9	continue 24:13	82:22 98:14
15:10 19:14 157:8,25 93:21 139:23 126:17 130:19 20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 142:20,21 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contribution 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 conversation correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 connection 28:9,14 correspondence 47:22 49:17,21 47:17 84:12 conversation cosmetic 81:17 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consider 8:7 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 49:3 copies 26:4 cots 60:24 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 correctly 14:6:3	conlan 5:15,18	155:17,20	137:1	103:1,8 117:23
20:1,4 24:14,21 158:25 159:5 contradicted 131:2 142:16 25:8 29:7 32:19 8:9 25:4 53:19 7:15 142:20,21 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contribution 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 conversation correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 connection 28:9,14 correspondence 47:22 49:17,21 47:17 84:12 conversation cosmetic 81:17 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cot 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 67:12,13 74:15 copies 26:4 costs 60:24 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corpor	6:9 7:14 13:4,5	156:20,25	continued	117:24 126:6
25:8 29:7 32:19 conlan's 6:17 7:15 142:20,21 36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contribution 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 conversation correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 connection 28:9,14 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 65:12 68:21 49:3 copies 26:4 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12	15:10 19:14	157:8,25	93:21 139:23	126:17 130:19
36:10,14,20 8:9 25:4 53:19 contributed 145:23 147:14 41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contribution 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 conversation correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 connection 28:9,14 correspondence 47:22 49:17,21 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 consider 8:7 60:20 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 cordinated 61:18 123:15 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 65:12 68:21 49:3 copies 26:4 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 5:16,17,23 7:4 73:25 75:6 constance 1:22 corner 17:23 28:14,21 31:12 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11 <	20:1,4 24:14,21	158:25 159:5	contradicted	131:2 142:16
41:16,22,24 54:20 58:13 61:12 147:17,20 42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contribution 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 142:25 143:5 correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 connection 28:9,14 correspondence 47:22 49:17,21 130:17 conversation 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 61:23 123:8,9 65:12 68:21 49:3 copies 26:4 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 73:25 75:6 constance 1:22 corner 17:23 28:14,21 31:12 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	25:8 29:7 32:19	conlan's 6:17	7:15	142:20,21
42:25 43:10 154:25 155:1 contribution 161:13,14 45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 conversation correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 correspondence 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 65:12 68:21 49:3 copies 26:4 123:12 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	36:10,14,20	8:9 25:4 53:19	contributed	145:23 147:14
45:19,21,25 157:13,15,17 142:25 143:5 correctly 146:3 46:9 47:11,18 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations 28:9,14 cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated costs 60:24 58:4 62:25 63:4 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	41:16,22,24	54:20 58:13	61:12	147:17,20
46:9 47:11,18 connection conversation correspondence 47:22 49:17,21 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated costs 60:24 58:4 62:25 63:4 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	42:25 43:10	154:25 155:1	contribution	161:13,14
47:22 49:17,21 47:17 84:12 28:9,14 5:7,20 152:11 50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated costs 60:24 58:4 62:25 63:4 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	45:19,21,25	157:13,15,17	142:25 143:5	correctly 146:3
50:8,16,21,23 130:17 conversations cosmetic 81:17 52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated costs 60:24 58:4 62:25 63:4 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	46:9 47:11,18	connection	conversation	
52:19 53:7 consents 28:8 cost 60:20 54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated costs 60:24 58:4 62:25 63:4 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	47:22 49:17,21	47:17 84:12	28:9,14	5:7,20 152:11
54:10,11 55:8 109:14 convey 74:15 61:18 123:15 55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated costs 60:24 58:4 62:25 63:4 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	, , ,	130:17	conversations	cosmetic 81:17
55:13 57:11 consider 8:7 coordinated costs 60:24 58:4 62:25 63:4 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 73:25 75:6 constance 1:22 corner 17:23 28:14,21 31:12 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	52:19 53:7	consents	28:8	cost 60:20
58:4 62:25 63:4 67:12,13 74:15 91:16 61:23 123:8,9 63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 constance 1:22 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	54:10,11 55:8	109:14	convey 74:15	61:18 123:15
63:13,15 64:19 considered 8:2 copies 26:4 123:12 65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 constance 1:22 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	55:13 57:11	consider 8:7	coordinated	
65:12 68:21 49:3 copy 22:21 23:6 counsel 4:8 69:20,22 70:8 20:14 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 78:19 80:17 constance 1:22 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	58:4 62:25 63:4	67:12,13 74:15	91:16	61:23 123:8,9
69:20,22 70:8 consistency 23:13 121:6 5:16,17,23 7:4 71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 73:25 75:6 constance 1:22 corner 17:23 28:14,21 31:12 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	63:13,15 64:19	considered 8:2	copies 26:4	123:12
71:5 73:1,4,21 20:14 141:6,9,13,16 7:16,16 8:21 73:25 75:6 constance 1:22 corner 17:23 28:14,21 31:12 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	65:12 68:21	49:3	copy 22:21 23:6	counsel 4:8
73:25 75:6 constance 1:22 corner 17:23 28:14,21 31:12 78:19 80:17 162:3,18 corporate 2:4 32:4,13 33:12 86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	69:20,22 70:8	consistency	23:13 121:6	5:16,17,23 7:4
78:19 80:17	71:5 73:1,4,21	20:14	141:6,9,13,16	7:16,16 8:21
86:12 92:22 constant 17:13 55:9 49:11 51:11	73:25 75:6	constance 1:22	corner 17:23	28:14,21 31:12
	78:19 80:17	162:3,18	_	32:4,13 33:12
93:1 94:9,13,14 52:5,22 53:8		constant 17:13	55:9	
	93:1 94:9,13,14			52:5,22 53:8

[counsel - criticized]

Page 12

_	_		C
63:6 68:1,7,10	14:15 15:23,24	95:11 98:8,12	court's 11:12
68:14 70:23	17:22 18:2,19	103:25 106:3,6	15:19 16:3
71:14 77:16	19:17,21,25	106:9,14,17	110:23 113:12
91:14 93:16	20:4,8,12,24	107:21 110:14	151:13 153:15
109:2 129:23	21:6,14,20 22:7	110:19,21	157:22 158:1,7
137:25 139:19	23:11 27:23	111:3,12,16,19	158:13 159:6,9
144:2 152:9	29:23 30:5	114:23 115:5	159:21 161:5
154:22 155:1	32:13,15,24	121:24 123:25	courthouse 1:9
160:11 161:16	33:5,18 35:22	124:6,22 125:3	courtroom
count 25:25	35:22 36:3,3,16	125:23 126:2	63:21 71:4
29:16 30:11	37:2 38:5,18	127:12,21,24	77:17 108:2
32:2,12,12	40:5,8,13 41:2	128:14,20,24	courts 5:11,12
132:11	41:12 42:15	129:3,6,9,12	5:12 15:25
country 45:3	43:13,17 46:18	132:5 134:3	65:10 66:13
51:12 52:23	51:11 52:23	135:22 137:5,7	89:1 158:21
53:5 96:21	53:4,5 55:17,20	137:10,14,22	160:11
county 1:1,9	59:3,3 61:6	137:25 138:7,9	cover 119:2
couple 57:8	62:14,17,21	138:14 140:7	covered 31:18
course 7:17	64:10,13 65:6	140:13 141:12	71:11
29:14 35:1	65:12 66:1,6,21	141:23 144:23	covers 25:4
41:17 47:12	66:23 67:5,10	146:11,19,23	crc 1:22 162:3
48:16 73:18	67:12,16,20	147:1 148:21	162:18
88:22 93:25	69:7,15,18,24	151:10,15	created 103:18
99:6 100:7,13	70:3 71:20	152:8 153:2,9	134:5
100:13 102:4,5	72:11,15,18,21	153:11,22,23	creates 15:12
106:5 109:23	73:16,19,23	154:2,3,5,7,11	credibility 8:10
113:24 124:20	74:5,10,13,21	154:13,16	18:7,9,23 20:12
143:10 150:4,4	75:18 76:10,14	155:25 156:14	20:18 63:8
156:25	76:21 77:7,11	157:11,16	154:20 155:11
court 1:1,13 4:2	77:18 78:1,13	158:15,18	155:12 157:18
4:12,23 5:3	79:16 84:20,24	159:7,13,24	credible 124:12
6:13,22,25 7:9	85:4,8,12,17,21	160:1,5,8,11,19	criteria 104:23
8:2,5,11,24 9:8	86:1 88:15 89:5	160:22,24,25	105:12 120:19
9:11,17 11:4,4	89:8,20,21	161:7,14,16,18	critical 159:9
11:6,18 12:7,7	92:19 93:8,9,16	162:4,19	criticized 14:22
13:8,13,15 14:8	93:23 94:1		

[criticizing - diagnosed]

Page 13

!4! - !-!	1,02,02	Janidad 147.20	Jama 1.25
criticizing	162:23	decided 147:20	deps 1:25
	dates 112:13	decision 7:5	depth 96:9
cross 3:4 22:11	daubert 88:20	8:12 11:7,8,12	describe 89:21
128:9	88:25 89:9,20	11:19 12:13	92:24 104:3
crr 1:22 162:3	95:2,6 113:13	16:4 20:3 95:6	111:19,22
162:18	davies 145:14	113:13 127:13	134:3 149:10
crux 98:12	145:19	154:6 158:1	described 27:13
crystal 12:11	day 9:4,6,8,12	decisions 69:4	30:11 31:24
73:6	10:5,16 46:12	declarations	32:2
cups 105:18	48:5,6 52:7,8	14:3	description
current 53:11	54:21 56:14	declined 109:2	3:12 27:3,8
106:24 107:25	61:6 82:7 83:14	deducted 62:5	deserve 82:16
120:16 122:20	84:19 114:22	deeply 157:6	deserved 58:3
122:21 130:11	124:15,16	defendant	design 72:7,12
132:15 148:22	127:5 130:5	136:18	designation
162:7	156:20	defense 88:11	161:4
currents	days 25:13	155:19	detail 13:1
150:15,16	161:12	definitely 78:4	detailed 50:15
cut 64:8	dc 2:10	105:24	156:12
cynical 82:17	deal 17:10	degree 15:4	details 28:18
cyprus 98:21	49:23 96:3	delay 135:7	90:16
99:2,2	105:19 127:6	demand 108:12	determination
d	128:6,7,18,24	denied 36:3	18:8 61:7 66:24
d 2:7,9 22:2	128:25 133:8	98:3,4 156:22	determine 14:2
,	150:21	department	51:7 106:12
87:8	deals 129:2	91:22	120:6
dachille 46:1	dealt 11:22	depend 9:14	determined
50:21,24 56:19	decades 66:13	depending	18:9 61:3
102:17 117:17	107:14	61:14 66:15	develop 13:6
118:1	deceased 83:1	132:10	developed
dais 20:19	december	deposed 126:6	21:13 46:6,7
damages 145:6	87:21	126:8	56:1 99:19,22
146:3	decent 101:12	deposition	104:6,8
daniels 88:10	decide 8:6	131:16 133:5,7	diagnosed
date 1:11 28:10	12:14 18:19	134:17 141:7	104:12,13,15
48:10 114:7	12.1110.17		104:16 123:10
			107.10 123.10

[diagnosed - drive]

Page 14

- 8 -			9
123:16	disclose 55:13	38:12 40:23	32:25 34:22
die 135:6	56:11 159:11	60:8 97:25 98:4	147:12 155:13
difference	disclosed 43:9	98:4 135:11	156:7 157:7,9
65:10,17	43:21 157:1	dismissal 27:15	158:16,19,22
differences	disclosing 63:5	108:20	158:24
92:5	155:9	dismissed 34:4	doing 10:14
different 32:18	disclosure	36:7 112:15,22	19:7 35:20
63:20 71:4	11:25 12:1,2	135:13	68:19 72:3
101:9 112:6	84:11	disparage	74:22 129:10
133:1,12,12,13	discounting	119:25	129:14
140:9 148:16	74:7	disqualification	dollar 46:16,21
150:11,11	discuss 46:3	13:18 14:14	123:24 150:13
differently	152:9 160:2	16:5 20:25	dollars 47:8
148:20	discussed 28:25	21:17 64:7	65:22 123:11
difficult 107:16	29:14 45:11	disqualify	128:7,8 155:19
difficulty 101:3	51:18 156:15	12:14 17:20	double 66:10
dime 139:14,18	discussing	distress 135:14	doubt 33:12
139:25 140:3	28:17 45:19	district 1:13	doug 46:1
141:1 142:17	109:9 145:5	66:23 114:23	102:17 117:16
direct 121:3	discussion	ditch 54:16	117:25 118:1
124:10,13,20	19:11 28:13	divided 90:1	downplaying
direction	47:24,24 62:20	division 1:1	138:10
158:13 159:6	75:5	docket 1:2 4:6	draft 22:17
159:21	discussions	161:5	24:9 25:19
directly 10:8	16:12 28:3,23	document 5:14	26:18 27:3
15:5 23:8 79:18	29:2 32:3,19	24:18 25:15,24	28:15 29:1,8
157:12,14	34:7,13 37:11	26:5,5,13,15,15	33:13 103:2
disaffiliation	45:12 47:21	28:10 29:20	145:14
45:15 47:19	68:25 73:15	30:3 33:7,9,17	dramatically
55:25 75:9,13	75:6 81:2 99:7	103:2,10,15	134:16,19
119:9	99:11 117:13	110:7 146:14	drew 127:16
disagree 17:21	129:22 143:15	151:16,22,25	drill 111:10
20:23 34:18	156:12	152:1,15 153:7	drinker 56:9
78:13 80:11	dislikes 42:16	155:20 158:5	63:6
156:6	dismiss 34:17	documents	drive 2:4
	35:7 36:1,4	6:11,14 22:24	

[driven - exactly]

Page 15

75.01.00		1 . 72 (11. 1.16.05
driven 75:21,22	echeverria	entering 72:6	ethical 16:25
driver 76:7	89:13	entire 123:20	17:16 21:17
drives 75:19	echo 13:13	entirety 153:18	63:3,4 67:14
driving 69:13	ecourts 161:8	entitled 7:10	ethics 16:22
121:17	ed 53:24	8:4 121:16	17:1 19:5 64:6
drove 69:14	efficiently	125:16,18	72:2 155:23
75:18	10:24	126:15,17	evaluate 21:7,8
drug 17:9	effort 63:18	entity 106:22	94:17 117:18
dual 57:12	70:11 134:13	144:13	evaluating
duane 117:16	efforts 117:18	entries 24:13	95:20
117:18	eight 25:24	26:7 30:14,18	evaluation 8:9
due 6:17 60:1	26:5 29:16,21	145:2	15:19
155:3	30:12 32:1	entry 13:17	evd 3:12
duly 22:4	93:13	24:2,3,23 26:2	events 14:13,19
duties 144:4	either 11:20	26:24 27:9	15:17 113:7
duty 91:25	36:11 103:10	28:12,19	everybody 9:20
92:11,16 144:1	137:8 141:24	epithelial 105:9	77:5 78:2
dying 102:11	eldon 92:9	120:2	evidence 7:22
	alamant 120.22	a avvivalant	10 1 10 10
e	element 130:23	equivalent	12:1 13:18
	elements 123:6	89:18,20	20:14 29:20
e 1:22 2:1,1		_	
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8	elements 123:6	89:18,20	20:14 29:20
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3	89:18,20 eric 121:3	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15 95:18 104:9	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass 153:18	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9 essence 27:12 144:16	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate 31:17 evolution
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15 95:18 104:9 earnings 48:6	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass 153:18 engage 34:7	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9 essence 27:12 144:16 essentially	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate 31:17 evolution 111:22
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15 95:18 104:9 earnings 48:6 48:15	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass 153:18	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9 essence 27:12 144:16 essentially 40:16 107:8	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate 31:17 evolution 111:22 exact 27:3
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15 95:18 104:9 earnings 48:6 48:15 easier 23:4,9	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass 153:18 engage 34:7 69:21 98:1 108:18	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9 essence 27:12 144:16 essentially 40:16 107:8 establishing	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate 31:17 evolution 111:22 exact 27:3 128:2,11,12
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15 95:18 104:9 earnings 48:6 48:15 easier 23:4,9 144:24	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass 153:18 engage 34:7 69:21 98:1 108:18 engaged 108:19	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9 essence 27:12 144:16 essentially 40:16 107:8	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate 31:17 evolution 111:22 exact 27:3 128:2,11,12 exactly 17:6
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15 95:18 104:9 earnings 48:6 48:15 easier 23:4,9 144:24 easily 123:11	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass 153:18 engage 34:7 69:21 98:1 108:18 engaged 108:19 entered 60:19	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9 essence 27:12 144:16 essentially 40:16 107:8 establishing 60:16 estimation	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate 31:17 evolution 111:22 exact 27:3 128:2,11,12 exactly 17:6 26:22 60:25
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15 95:18 104:9 earnings 48:6 48:15 easier 23:4,9 144:24 easily 123:11 easy 107:12,14	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass 153:18 engage 34:7 69:21 98:1 108:18 engaged 108:19 entered 60:19 61:5 67:5	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9 essence 27:12 144:16 essentially 40:16 107:8 establishing 60:16 estimation 57:18 145:10	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate 31:17 evolution 111:22 exact 27:3 128:2,11,12 exactly 17:6 26:22 60:25 72:17 94:2
e 1:22 2:1,1 3:10 22:2 87:8 162:1,3,18 earlier 108:21 110:24 141:17 146:21 earliest 150:20 early 61:15 95:18 104:9 earnings 48:6 48:15 easier 23:4,9 144:24 easily 123:11	elements 123:6 elicit 94:3 else's 148:10 email 43:10 48:5 103:6 153:18 emails 25:10 encompass 153:18 engage 34:7 69:21 98:1 108:18 engaged 108:19 entered 60:19	89:18,20 eric 121:3 erik 2:14 5:2 117:17 especially 12:6 105:1 123:9 esquire 2:3,3,9 essence 27:12 144:16 essentially 40:16 107:8 establishing 60:16 estimation	20:14 29:20 72:16 110:13 127:13,13 evidenced 14:19 evident 13:11 eviscerate 31:17 evolution 111:22 exact 27:3 128:2,11,12 exactly 17:6 26:22 60:25

[examination - fee]

Page 16

	I	I	
examination	expected 46:24	fact 6:18 9:21	fall 41:21 43:1
3:1 13:24 22:11	53:11	12:16 13:3	44:8,13 99:13
86:6 93:20	experience	16:11 56:8,12	120:9
138:18	97:12,13	110:15 127:14	fall's 44:18
examined 22:5	expert 55:9	152:2 156:3,12	fallon 92:10
exceed 123:11	71:23,25 88:21	157:7	fallopian
exceeds 47:1,1	95:4 114:21,24	factor 118:17	105:10
excel 6:11	115:1,13	118:24 121:17	falls 59:23
except 75:12	explain 10:17	factors 20:13	false 20:17,17
excepted	43:5 103:25	101:24 102:2	39:10
139:17	119:7,8 132:5	119:19	familiar 20:24
excerpt 3:13,14	explaining	facts 11:9,9	44:1,3 53:17
23:3,7 142:5	21:14 79:8	29:19 64:5	59:14,15 60:15
144:21 146:20	express 54:20	72:15	families 83:1
146:22 147:3,5	expressing 55:7	factual 72:22	far 6:17 13:4
exchanged	extensive 94:15	110:17	19:22 27:21
22:18	94:15 95:4,13	faegre 55:24	38:3 59:12,23
excited 130:4	extent 8:8	56:9 63:6 92:22	64:8 69:1
executive 52:13	64:20 65:9 67:3	109:24 111:14	107:23 124:2
exhibit 43:11	67:18 73:23	156:21	fare 151:19
44:2,4,5 45:25	74:17 75:20	failure 54:25	fast 79:13
50:12 52:1	78:14 108:17	fair 37:16 40:15	faster 85:24
54:12,19	110:19 152:14	52:5 54:5 59:24	fat 141:19
102:12,16	161:2	63:25 70:2,4	favor 93:15
103:7,14,14,15	external 118:15	81:11 100:6	favorable 88:9
105:19 117:15	119:1	103:8 105:22	fax 1:24
125:6,7,8,12,12	eye 2:9	108:6 112:12	fcr 71:8
129:18 137:17	eyes 5:8 7:11	123:3 128:20	february 87:5
147:3,5 152:13	154:15 157:19	129:8,9 139:11	98:23 111:21
exhibits 125:6	157:19 158:12	140:1 141:3	federal 12:7
141:24 142:3,6	160:5,13 161:1	142:19,20	20:24 51:11
expect 52:3	\mathbf{f}	144:3 146:23	52:22 60:19
158:20		148:7 149:5	67:10,16
expectations	f 22:2 162:1	fairly 107:12	160:25 161:5
13:20	facing 135:20	faith 82:14 83:3	fee 60:20 62:2
		83:22 150:25	120:23 121:10
	1	I .	I .

[fee - frame] Page 17

122:4,8	find 17:16,19	93:10 95:16	forced 136:21
fees 60:13,23	55:17 81:9	96:25 97:1,2,2	136:23
61:11,18,18,23	128:2,11,12	102:24 103:4	forces 69:20
61:23,25 62:5,5	159:17	103:17 108:11	foregoing 162:6
62:6,8 63:13,15	finding 127:14	108:12 109:12	formal 6:2,12
121:25	findings 67:6	114:22 121:22	format 5:25
felt 7:12	69:18	122:18 127:5	162:7
fiduciary 92:16	fine 4:12 56:7	156:20	formed 91:9,9
144:1	64:25 72:8 73:2	fitness 16:24	former 55:10
figure 46:16,21	84:22 85:6	five 17:8 48:3	63:6,10
filed 31:13 34:9	140:13,18	138:4 151:16	forminard
34:12,17 35:6	finite 114:3	160:8	31:12 109:1
37:22 38:1 44:5	firm 4:15 10:3	flatly 7:15	forth 25:11
53:1 82:14	10:13,15 11:1	flip 26:8	30:25 33:24
83:22,23 96:25	12:3,8,9 13:2	florida 142:14	34:2 37:14
97:1,21 98:22	14:24 16:13	focus 16:1	fortress 68:16
98:23 99:3	18:10 22:18	41:15 75:23	forward 5:9
103:5 112:14	55:6 64:4 68:2	102:24 149:16	11:13 14:19
113:10 114:4	90:1 92:4	focused 18:8	15:20 21:12
135:17 161:5	138:22 145:20	21:5 64:18 75:7	26:9 36:1,8
filibuster 38:7	firm's 58:24	78:25 86:14	37:4,10 60:12
filing 27:16	firmly 122:5	93:14	116:4 123:22
113:16 134:12	firms 11:11	focuses 66:22	136:13
135:25 136:3,8	61:15 91:24	focusing 42:17	forwarded
136:15	92:6 96:21	follow 76:16	24:22 29:8,9
final 81:15	130:24 140:24	146:12	found 20:13
finality 39:7,8	first 7:1 14:12	followed	70:17 82:13
39:11 45:13	15:1 16:18	157:22 159:6	four 28:11
48:24 49:6,24	17:14 23:25	159:21	29:15,21 52:7
50:9 54:3 57:15	25:14 34:23	following	69:11 150:5
57:20,21 58:5	37:22 38:1 40:9	108:20 126:11	fox 2:2 87:5
76:9 80:4,4,8	43:9,20 47:23	follows 22:5	90:13
financial	47:24,24 70:7	font 23:17	foxrothschild
135:13	78:19 86:18,19	footnote 161:1	2:6,6
financing 68:12	86:22,24 87:3,4	force 114:17	frame 9:2
68:17	90:13,19 92:8,8	136:18	10:24 13:20

[frame - golkow]

Page 18

			I
98:13	131:19 146:1	125:1 141:9,16	goes 63:7,8
framing 93:16	148:22	146:16 158:19	69:9,13,13
93:22	futures 132:13	158:22 159:25	86:12 100:3
frankly 12:23	150:15,16	given 8:3 22:21	130:6 134:2
14:13 33:21	g	100:14 134:17	154:21 155:11
63:8 75:14	gaap 118:19	155:4	160:17
83:23 84:16	gain 100:8	gives 9:11	going 8:15 9:3
fraudulent	gained 94:19	42:11	9:6,9 11:8,9,13
82:14	galicu 54.15 galvanize 83:24	giving 21:6	12:11 13:11
free 18:24	game 148:5	157:4	14:19 15:19
front 13:23	game 148.3 garner 45:17	glad 31:8	16:1,3,9,16
77:12 95:3,9	53:11	global 55:10	21:7 23:20,24
141:7 147:11	gary 98:6	95:21 96:2,3	29:23 30:23
frustrating	gary 98.0 gasparovic	go 5:9 12:9 13:1	33:10,16,23
122:1	102:17	19:19,21 25:12	37:11 38:5,6
full 22:21 23:5	gears 114:20	30:20 35:23	45:24 46:15
40:8 116:11,11	general 27:8	38:8,20 56:19	53:14,18 64:4
116:11 162:6	31:11 61:9 68:4	60:9 64:6 66:9	65:21 70:16
fund 58:25	109:2 126:14	67:1 71:12,21	72:13 77:21
60:10,16,22	generally 60:14	73:7 86:3 92:21	79:9 85:21
61:12 64:14	93:15 101:17	93:7,17 96:18	87:22 92:20,21
65:17,18,21	generals 107:4	102:10,12	93:10,17
75:21,21,22	150:16	103:6,12	102:12 107:14
76:2 126:16	generous 153:5	109:14 111:12	110:11 115:2,9
143:1,5	generous 133.3 gerel 91:12	115:8 118:6	118:5,6 122:4,9
funding 47:8	getting 27:21	119:3 123:6,15	124:9,25
68:15,20,24	58:2 93:3 117:8	125:3,5,14	125:21 127:2
69:3 106:6,9	122:7	127:2 128:1	127:12 128:12
funds 17:8 59:5	gilbert 28:5	129:6,20	128:19 129:20
66:12	give 8:4,25 9:20	133:18 137:22	131:7 135:4,5,6
further 3:7	23:5,16 33:16	138:1 140:22	135:7,7 138:1
84:23 129:22	42:10 45:13	148:24 155:12	139:17 144:3
147:8 151:8	50:9 54:1 58:1	157:12,14	149:1 154:7
future 10:11	75:24 79:15	158:2 160:9	160:12
81:16 106:25	80:7 118:17,18	goal 76:6	golkow 1:24
107:3 130:11	00.7 110.17,10		

[golkow.com - honor]

Page 19

golkow.com	guess 36:10	handed 127:2	137:15 155:23
1:25	guess 30.10 guy 18:10 19:12	handle 96:22	157:8 159:18
golomb 91:18	19:12 101:12	hands 90:9	hearings 6:4
good 4:2,10,12	124:12 148:12	happen 15:20	16:12 95:2
4:17,20,23 5:1	153:5	73:5 97:24	hearsay 68:23
5:3 22:14,15	gynecological	happened	help 78:7 79:4
38:18 59:22	114:6	128:25	128:14 131:11
79:21 142:4		happening	helpful 23:18
148:24 150:25	h	33:22	80:11
gotten 49:6	h 3:10 22:2	happens 44:22	helping 140:23
129:15	haas 2:14 4:25	happy 23:4	hesitant 100:2
grabbed 18:20	5:1,2 10:2,21	65:13	hey 18:22 73:25
grammatical	12:18 14:11,12	hard 148:14	74:21
30:24	14:25 16:14	harmful 86:13	high 52:10
granted 12:15	19:11 31:12	157:3	highest 119:3
great 19:12	38:2 48:9,14	he'll 64:1	highlighted
63:24	57:7,9,15 63:18	head 89:25 90:2	26:10
greater 51:6	76:24 77:3,6,9	heads 9:1	hilton 2:3 4:16
greedy 63:21	77:13,14 109:1	hear 6:1,25 7:4	hire 70:11,16
green 25:12	117:5,17	13:18 14:6	70:23 71:15,23
26:10 77:22	120:23 121:3	19:25 40:8	71:25
grew 27:7	121:15,21	76:24 77:20	hired 70:8,19
29:16,25 30:1	124:13 125:15	129:12	hoc 107:7
grid 46:25	126:12 127:4,8	heard 11:17	hold 9:10 40:5
49:12,13 120:5	128:5,16	36:20 41:3	48:22 72:11
120:6,11	132:18,23	44:11 57:19	76:21 135:5
gross 61:13	133:21 151:14	59:12 87:8,25	144:13
groundwork	151:15,20,25	110:1 149:19	holdbacks 61:5
41:14	155:14 157:8	149:21 155:5	holding 153:15
group 46:8	haggle 106:2	hearing 1:64:1	home 155:21
68:24 107:7	haggled 108:7	7:25 9:23 13:22	honest 18:10
114:3	haggling 109:9	43:11 45:24	47:12
groups 151:3	half 17:9 48:3	50:12 54:12,19	honor 4:11,20
grown 25:24	84:17	89:14,16 93:18	7:7 15:22 16:7
26:23	hand 21:24	97:25 102:18	23:14 33:1
		132:20,20	35:19 40:4,25

[honor - instance]

Page 20

			_
42:6 43:16	i	implication	indicate 113:24
62:11 64:3	idea 9:11,20	6:19	indicated 7:9
67:21 68:18	29:21 56:19	import 67:11	7:24 51:9 56:8
69:10 76:23	59:22 79:21	important	83:24 143:19
77:3,10,15 84:9	80:3 117:11	16:16 78:2	144:4
84:13,15 85:7	118:4 144:9	impossible	indicates 55:3
85:19 86:4	identification	109:22	individual
89:10 98:15	146:15 147:4,6	improper 93:19	61:15
110:12 111:2	identified 146:1	impropriety	individuals
124:9 137:20	identified 140.1 identify 104:12	17:24 21:10,11	127:17
141:11 151:18	iii 1:7 61:7	21:16	information
159:15 160:21	illinois 155:23	inaccurate	13:3 19:1,15
160:23	imerys 71:10	115:4	20:2,5 68:21
honorable 1:12	96:6 98:17,18	inappropriate	73:4,20,24 74:1
1:12	98:20,20,21,23	32:12	74:6,17,24
honors 5:1 6:23	99:1,6 100:8,20	include 37:5	75:12 76:25
7:8,24 21:19	100:21 101:1,4	106:24,24,25	86:14 94:7
79:6 85:20	101:7 128:6	107:1	107:18,19,20
86:11 87:23	129:25 133:10	included 37:9,9	109:13,18
93:1 108:1	143:10 149:21	37:24 107:2,4,9	110:18 137:18
137:3 152:6	150:21,21	134:23	155:9
157:24 161:9	immediately	includes 50:25	informed 81:23
161:17	14:22	106:21	ingham 113:13
hooked 6:20	impact 134:1	including 15:17	139:20
hopefully 21:6	impacted 102:8	53:9 65:8 150:5	ingredients
host 130:1	134:24	158:23,24	83:6
hour 14:24	impacting	incorporates	initial 152:10
84:17	101:25	51:25	initially 133:14
hours 14:5	impeaching	increase 112:1	injuries 114:10
66:16 84:18	154:21	increased	145:6 146:2
huh 71:1 130:3	impeachment	134:15	injury 104:14
humble 12:24	7:13,21 8:3	incredible	inside 78:9 79:5
hundred 47:8	154:20 158:10	155:14	80:12
hypothetical	158:11,12	incredibly	insight 100:8
72:22 78:12	159:5,22	156:5,11	instance 25:5
	,- -		

[instances - johnson]

Page 21

instances 7:14	involving 45:14	56:19 57:1,13	63:10 80:21
instilled 92:11	iowa 155:25	57:14,19,20	83:2,10,22,25
instruction	iqbal 88:21	58:1,11 59:6,18	106:23 118:22
157:23	issue 5:15,21	60:12 63:5	143:6 149:22
instrumental	7:5 8:14 11:13	64:19,20 65:7	152:16 153:21
91:19	11:19 14:2,14	69:22 71:14	james 55:8
intend 151:6	15:13,14,15,15	73:8,11,12,21	102:17
intends 13:24	15:15 16:2,4	74:2,6,18,22	january 112:15
intensely 52:15	19:15,18 20:4	76:8 78:8 79:3	112:18 115:3
intent 13:21	20:20 21:1	79:21 80:4,8,13	jeffrey 2:3
interaction	31:16 41:13,15	80:15 81:3,7,14	jerry 90:12
115:23	53:24 64:7	84:3,7,8 86:20	jersey 1:1,10
interest 10:5	65:12 69:12	86:23 94:8,17	2:5 17:23 89:3
116:24 117:9	112:21 154:19	95:17 96:25	91:17 97:22
122:6,10	160:17	97:1 98:21 99:7	114:24 162:5
136:24	issued 54:9	100:10 101:16	162:20
interests 92:1	82:7 112:18	107:10 108:17	jim 13:4,5
143:21,22	issues 11:14	108:25 109:19	68:21 70:8 71:5
interim 61:5	18:13,15,22	109:24 110:8	71:7,8 78:19
internal 143:14	33:2 76:4 86:23	114:4 115:17	80:17 94:13,14
internally 81:8	j	116:1,17,22,24	94:24 95:1,15
intimated	j 94:17	117:4,7,9,12,23	95:17,23
114:21	j&j 3:13,14	118:7,21	105:19 108:7
introduction	11:7,20 12:4	119:10 120:15	109:7 114:25
134:20 157:10	31:11,22,22	130:9,10,16	115:23 116:21
investigating	33:7,9,10,12	131:15 134:20	117:10,11,16
86:23	37:4,14,17,22	135:3,25	152:21 155:17
invitation	38:1,16 39:1,2	136:14 143:9	155:20 156:19
50:24 118:12	39:6,11,15,19	146:18,21,22	156:20,24
159:14	39:19 41:10,23	147:3,5 152:14	job 9:25 10:12
invite 6:13	43:4,9 45:13	152:14 154:8	joel 98:6
involved 10:11	48:23 49:6,22	155:13 156:21	john 1:12
86:19 90:15	50:8,20,24	j&j's 7:16,16	102:17
involvement	51:19,20,22,22	27:16 35:16	johnson 2:11
70:17	54:2,4 55:14	36:25 46:2	2:11,14,14 4:5
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	52:20 57:6	4:6,21,22 5:2,2

[johnson - know] Page 22

			_
7:9,10 14:11,11	127:1,15 129:7	kind 70:20	69:10,13,19
14:16,16,20,20	137:5,6,15	129:19	71:3 73:24 74:8
15:11,11 20:5,5	147:17,19	knew 13:4 35:1	74:9,10,19 75:6
35:2,2,3 43:1,1	148:1 152:8,21	48:12,13 52:2,3	75:10,14,23,23
47:18,18 48:6	154:10,14,24	52:6,16 56:4	76:7 77:5 78:2
54:24,24 95:10	155:3 156:19	57:2 69:22,22	78:3,4 79:24
129:23 130:25	160:1,25	71:5,6 80:14	81:1,6,13,21
130:25 143:13	161:13	124:7 151:25	84:16 85:9
johnson's 35:4	judges 9:22	know 5:11 6:1	86:21,24 87:2
48:6 129:23	101:11 129:10	6:15,21 8:13	88:5,24 89:1,12
143:14	129:14 137:9	9:19 10:13	89:14,20 90:3,4
join 41:21 42:4	judicial 64:14	12:16 15:24	90:5,8,9,11,19
42:24 69:20	66:4 162:7	16:22 18:11	90:20,21 91:1
118:13	jump 108:4	19:11 20:1,3	91:12,17,18
joined 4:16	june 26:9 28:11	21:9 26:4 27:7	92:5,10,11,14
joins 92:22	111:21	27:10 30:6 34:9	92:17 93:1,2,12
joint 83:18 92:1	jurisdiction	36:18 39:19	93:12,15 94:11
jointly 50:21	88:16	41:2,13 42:16	94:12,13,18,20
jpollock 2:6	jury 32:14	44:4,14 45:23	94:24,25 95:2,6
jr 2:7	k	46:12,19,24	95:6,8,12,13,14
judge 7:3 8:16	kaplan 34:11	47:7 49:1,2,3,3	95:15,16,17,20
8:20 11:5,21	36:7 97:22,23	49:5,9 50:6,6	95:23,24,25
12:13 13:8,9,13	98:3 112:22	51:6,23 52:12	96:1,1,3,9,10
13:21 14:5,7	kcic 22:24	52:12 54:6,8	96:16,16,17,17
15:8 17:15,18	24:22 25:5	55:23 56:2,3,4	96:18,21,22,23
18:20 19:17	28:10,13 29:1,5	56:12 57:1,14	97:3,7,8,20,21
20:20,22,23	29:6,9,10 34:2	57:15,15,17	97:23 98:9,13
33:1 34:11 36:6	keep 15:23	58:3,4 59:4,9,9	98:17,24,25
36:6 60:19 61:7	77:18	59:16,17,19,19	99:19,25,25
66:23,25,25	keeping 8:13	59:21,23 60:11	100:1,4,10,13
67:2 76:12,13	keeps 35:19	60:11 61:4 62:4	100:14,14,15
77:2 84:25 85:2	42:12	63:2 64:10,11	100:16,21
91:9 92:9 95:3	kemp 89:3,8	64:13,15,15,16	101:3,3,6,21,21
95:7,9 97:22,23	key 6:8 54:23	64:20,24 65:11	101:24,24,25
98:3,6 112:22	104:24 113:7	66:14,16,22	101:25 102:1,3
114:22 119:14	102.113.7	67:23 68:9	102:3,5,6,7,8

[know - leigh] Page 23

102:13 104:6,7	135:1,1,3,4,6,6	law 1:1 4:15	learning 90:15
104:7,8,9,14,15	135:16 136:6,9	20:9 55:6,8	90:15
104:17,21,22	136:11,12,22	64:4 68:2 91:10	leeway 125:1
104:23,25	149:12 150:2,3	91:24 130:24	left 6:8 9:9
105:2,3,4,7,9	150:13,19,20	140:24	138:4
105:13,25	152:14 153:3	lawrenceville	legacy 22:18
106:10 107:4,5	154:5 157:24	2:5	24:9 25:20
107:7,8,11,17	158:2,4,10,14	lawyer 54:24	26:18 27:4 28:4
108:11,14,16	158:24 159:3,4	55:4 70:8 71:15	28:9,13,23
108:20,23	159:9,17	101:24 109:12	29:12,12 32:4
110:25,25	160:14,14	115:19 156:20	34:2,8,13,24
111:6,9 112:9	knowing 73:15	156:22	36:21,22 37:6
112:13 113:7,8	81:10	lawyers 12:18	38:25 39:10,11
113:10,10,11	knowledge	45:2,7 52:9	45:6 46:10
113:11,12,12	94:15 109:17	58:13 63:10	48:14,17 49:3
113:13,15,16	162:9	90:4 92:18 97:9	50:9 51:19
113:19,22,25	known 13:5	113:23 143:22	53:10 72:7 73:1
113:25 114:8,9	56:23 83:5	155:19	73:11 75:7,17
115:6,23,25	knows 32:10	lay 41:14	94:25 95:1,24
116:14 117:3,7	36:17 38:2 79:8	lead 53:8 87:17	106:21,22
118:12,15,17	98:18 110:19	91:11,14	107:17 115:24
118:25 119:3,5	kuhmo 88:21	leader 55:10	116:10,18
119:7,8,9,10,18	1	leadership	118:6 119:8
119:19,19,21	l 1:2,12 4:7 22:2	51:10 52:22	144:8,9 145:5
119:22 120:5,6	lack 135:13	53:4 91:4,15	145:15
120:7,8,11,17		92:6,9,13,15	legacy's 52:20
122:2 123:4,4,7	language 109:11	103:20 143:20	80:17 117:21
123:16,19,23	large 90:3,5	143:25 145:4	legal 54:25
123:25 124:1	91:5	149:14	82:17 83:14
125:10 127:17	larger 23:17	leading 55:3	legion 155:18
128:9 131:9,15		learn 93:5,12	legitimate
132:13 133:9	66:14,14 las 44:8,14	94:20 156:25	40:18 82:25
133:10 134:10	latest 83:10	learned 57:17	119:22,24
134:11,12,14	latitude 128:10	57:19 92:20,24	136:16
134:16,19,19	14111111 120.10	109:19,23,25	leigh 87:16
134:20,24			91:10,13 98:24

[length - lunch] Page 24

length 30:3 lined 29:20 lives 155:24 54:5 57:20,25 70:18 lines 17:12 ltc 1:24 2:11 58:1 80:2,7 lenox 2:4 28:11 120:22 4:22 81:15 100:10 102:15 ltc 48:10 125:25 126:4 llp 2:2,8 106:11 123:5 56:21 83:13,18 link 118:5,6 local 89:2 161:6 looks 26:3 level 52:10 listed 24:5,8 log 22:21 23:5 lose 41:15 lever 16:15 26:17 145:14 literature 114:7 literature 114:7 literature 114:7 literature 114:7 literature 114:7 long 9:13 11:23 love 10:21 low 124:24 local 10:21 low 114:17 lost 10:21 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 logstanding lit 2:11 27:15 lost 10:12 literature 114:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 loil 10:12 literature 114:7 look 11:22 look 11:24 look 11:25 look look look look
lenox 2:4
letter 48:10
50:15,25 52:25 133:20 llt 4:22 81:15 124:15 125:7 56:21 83:13,18 102:25 103:5 link 118:5,6 linked 114:11 local 89:2 161:6 looks 26:3 129:17 148:14 looks 26:3 level 52:10 listed 24:5,8 log 22:21 23:5 lose 41:15 lose 41:15 lever 16:15 26:17 145:14 literally 16:19 literature 114:7 literature 114:7 litigating 107:6 litigating 107:6 litigating 107:6 litigation 1:4,24 116:10,12 litigation 1:4,24 2:14 4:6 7:17 log 9:13 11:23 lowe 102:11 low 114:17 136:23 litigating 107:6 litigation 1:4,24 117:8 118:22 litigating 107:6 litigation 1:4,24 119:5 literature 114:7 log 9:13 11:23 lower 123:18 litigating 107:6 log 9:13 11:23 lower 123:18 litigating 107:14 log 9:13 11:23 lower 123:18 litigating 107:14 log 9:13 11:23 lower 123:18 log 114:17 log 114:17 log 114:18 log 114:17 log 114:18 log 114:17 log 114:17 log 114:18 log 114:17 log 114:17 log 114:18 log 114:17 log 114:17 log 114:17 log 114:18 log 114:17 log 114:18 log 114:19 log 114:17 log 114:18 log 114:19 log 1
56:21 83:13,18 link 118:5,6 local 89:2 161:6 129:17 148:14 level 52:10 listed 24:5,8 log 22:21 23:5 lose 41:15 lever 16:15 26:17 145:14 log 22:21 23:5 lose 41:15 liabilities 83:11 literally 16:19 34:22 144:22 lot 43:6 66:6,3 liability 73:13 litigating 107:6 logo 83:17 loudly 124:24 liability 73:13 litigating 107:6 logo 83:17 loudly 124:24 libing 116:10,12 long 9:13 11:23 love 102:11 106:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 15:10 38:16 low 114:17 116:11,18 2:14 4:6 7:17 52:15 80:1,1 136:23 lower 123:18 117:8 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12
linked 114:11 lodestar 66:15 looks 26:3 level 52:10 listed 24:5,8 log 22:21 23:5 lose 41:15 lost 100:6 liabilities 83:11 literature 114:7 literature 114:7 literature 114:7 logo 83:17 loudly 124:24 love 102:11 loc:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 literature 114:18 literature 114:18 literature 114:18 literature 114:19 long 9:13 11:23 lowe 102:11 loc:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 lose 102:11 low 114:17 literature 114:18 lower 123:18 literature 114:18 lower 123:18 literature 114:19:5 lose 43:6 66:6,7 loudly 124:24 love 102:11 loudly 124:24 love 102:11 lower 102:11 lower 123:18 literature 1:4,24 lose 1:4,15 logatanding literature 1:4,16 lower 123:18 loyalty 91:25 literature 1:22 literature 1:4,16 72:7 look 1:22 literature 39:2,21 84:7 look 1:22 literature 1:4,16 look 1:22 literature 1:4,16 look 1:22 literature 1:4,15 look 1:24,15 look 1:25 lo
level52:10 leverlisted24:5,8 26:17 145:14log22:21 23:5 29:15 30:10,14lost100:6liabilities83:11 144:14literally16:19 16:1934:22 144:22 146:18lot43:6 66:6,7 81:5 103:21liability73:13 80:20,21litigating107:6 16:10,12logo83:17 longloudly124:24106:23 107:10litigation1:4,24 2:14 4:6 7:1715:10 38:16 52:15 80:1,1low114:17117:8 118:22 118:24 119:511:10 14:18 45:4 54:2495:22,22 120:8 123:5 137:12lower123:18license1:22 68:20 69:3,25139:23 139:23loyalty91:25life12:16 101:1271:14,16 72:7 81:18 86:19look11:22 18:22 25:2534:4,11 38:12 39:2,21 84:7light54:25 91:16 102:543:12 47:23 43:12 47:23108:18,19 108:18,1977:22,23 likely103:3 107:13 108:13 113:749:12,12 50:12 97:6 101:16113:2,16
lever 16:15 26:17 145:14 29:15 30:10,14 lost 100:6 liabilities 83:11 literally 16:19 34:22 144:22 lot 43:6 66:6,3 liability 73:13 litigating 107:6 logo 83:17 loudly 124:24 80:20,21 116:10,12 long 9:13 11:23 love 102:11 106:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 15:10 38:16 low 114:17 116:11,18 2:14 4:6 7:17 52:15 80:1,1 136:23 lower 123:18 117:8 118:22 11:10 14:18 95:22,22 120:8 lower 123:18 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23
liabilities 83:11 literally 16:19 34:22 144:22 lot 43:6 66:6,7 liability 73:13 literature 114:7 146:18 81:5 103:21 80:20,21 litigating 107:6 logo 83:17 loudly 124:24 106:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 long 9:13 11:23 love 102:11 116:11,18 2:14 4:6 7:17 52:15 80:1,1 136:23 117:8 118:22 11:10 14:18 95:22,22 120:8 lower 123:18 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 5
144:14 literature 114:7 146:18 81:5 103:21 liability 73:13 litigating 107:6 logo 83:17 loudly 124:24 80:20,21 116:10,12 long 9:13 11:23 love 102:11 106:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 15:10 38:16 low 114:17 116:11,18 2:14 4:6 7:17 52:15 80:1,1 136:23 117:8 118:22 11:10 14:18 95:22,22 120:8 lower 123:18 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15
liability 73:13 litigating 107:6 logo 83:17 loudly 124:24 80:20,21 116:10,12 long 9:13 11:23 love 102:11 106:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 15:10 38:16 low 114:17 116:11,18 2:14 4:6 7:17 52:15 80:1,1 136:23 117:8 118:22 11:10 14:18 95:22,22 120:8 lower 123:18 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
80:20,21 116:10,12 long 9:13 11:23 love 102:11 106:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 15:10 38:16 low 114:17 116:11,18 2:14 4:6 7:17 52:15 80:1,1 136:23 117:8 118:22 11:10 14:18 95:22,22 120:8 lower 123:18 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
106:23 107:10 litigation 1:4,24 15:10 38:16 low 114:17 116:11,18 2:14 4:6 7:17 52:15 80:1,1 136:23 117:8 118:22 11:10 14:18 95:22,22 120:8 lower 123:18 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
116:11,18 2:14 4:6 7:17 52:15 80:1,1 136:23 117:8 118:22 11:10 14:18 95:22,22 120:8 lower 123:18 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
117:8 118:22 11:10 14:18 95:22,22 120:8 lower 123:18 118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
118:24 119:5 45:4 54:24 123:5 137:12 loyalty 91:25 license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
license 1:22 55:14 68:12,15 longstanding ltl 2:11 27:15 162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
162:21 68:20 69:3,25 139:23 34:4,11 38:12 life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
life 12:16 71:14,16 72:7 look 11:22 39:2,21 84:7 101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
101:12 81:18 86:19 18:22 25:25 97:18,20 light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
light 54:25 91:16 102:5 43:12 47:23 108:18,19 77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
77:22,23 103:3 107:13 49:12,12 50:12 112:14,15 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
likely 15:4 108:13 113:7 97:6 101:16 113:2,16
likes 42:16 134:10 139:22 109:22 117:15 120:24 121:1
limit 123:2 139:24 120:6 122:5,13 121:12,18
limited 68:5 litigations 53:5 122:14,15 122:15 134:2
120:4 104:8 123:13 156:6 135:10 136:4
limiting 13:21 little 12:23 23:3 156:10 150:14
limits 59:17 64:22 101:2,2 looked 19:3 ludicrous 152
line 65:4 109:12 111:10 124:2 22:20 54:10 lunch 7:8
125:24 126:18 125:1 138:9,11 113:19 105:18 137:1
129:20 130:7
130:16,20 live 15:24,25 18:25 19:1 21:1
142:8 156:3 95:5 101:12 21:11 28:12
112:24 39:8 48:24 54:3

[m - mean] Page 25

m	making 12:13	49:17 50:17,19	143:5 144:1
m 2:3	32:13 47:18	50:23 51:2,25	151:2
made 7:12 15:1	48:25 67:5	53:2,10 58:9	mdls 92:7
31:14 32:9	158:21	95:16 99:16,19	meadows 90:11
33:21 44:7 45:8	manage 90:7	99:22 102:21	91:17 98:25
45:22 48:9	management	103:16,18,22	mean 14:24
49:16 50:24	2:11 4:22 81:15	103:23 104:1	28:22 34:6 35:8
57:9 69:18	managing	105:15,23,24	39:14,18 43:19
70:10,10 82:21	13:19	106:7,10 108:8	44:3 45:20 46:7
83:17 107:2	mandate	108:10,12,15	46:22 48:12,25
124:13 130:8	112:21	108:22,24	52:2 53:22 57:9
131:9,12,15	march 44:13	109:3,4,5,10	61:4 65:24 68:8
133:24 136:14	108:25 111:16	118:14 119:12	70:10,11,12
159:18	111:18,22	119:13,17,17	74:6 76:15
magistrate 1:13	121:3	123:14 136:12	78:18 84:5
main 55:24	mark 33:13	136:13 143:9	88:23,23,25,25
maintain 7:13	marked 146:15	143:15	90:14,16 91:7
10:9 154:1	146:18 147:4,6	matter 11:1	92:2,8 95:4
160:12,14,16	mass 44:7 45:8	12:23 19:5	96:2 97:24
major 113:17	45:22 54:16	63:11,20 68:4	99:18,24
majority 68:5,8	55:3 83:16	68:20 70:24	100:12 101:22
make 7:10 16:8	89:25 90:2,4	71:13 87:24	101:23 102:4
20:14 23:3,9	material 5:8	126:14 135:24	103:4 105:23
34:14 36:22	8:9,15 160:13	matters 5:11	105:25 106:12
61:7 63:22	materials 8:7,8	7:17 13:6 17:10	107:13 108:9
101:15 107:24	154:15 159:22	134:24	108:17 111:25
120:10 127:14	159:22 160:6	mcl 4:7 14:18	112:2 113:6
130:21 139:17	math 46:14	mdl 4:7 13:16	115:22 116:3
140:12 148:11	65:23,24 66:5	14:18 51:11	117:2 119:25
154:5 156:14	66:18	52:22 53:4,9	121:22,22
156:18 158:15	matrices 93:7	58:24,25 59:3	123:14 131:6,8
150:16 156:15	104:7	60:10,15,19,21	139:16,18
makes 73:6	matrix 41:22	66:22 67:5	140:22 147:21
144:24	42:25 43:5 46:3	87:17 91:7,9,9	149:11,13
1 '+'+.'.'+	46:5,14,15 47:6	92:8,18 95:3	150:18 154:23
	47:15 49:2,10	113:22 142:25	157:12
	·		

[meaning - multiple]

Page 26

- 0 1			_
meaning 155:8	109:1,3 118:10	mid 104:9	moment 126:14
means 46:20	118:11	middle 32:9	money 36:22
measure	megaphone	mike 83:18	58:25 60:9
118:14 119:4	151:21	miles 19:20,20	63:22 65:20
media 13:12	member 99:1	milestones	66:6,7 75:18,18
83:9	members 52:12	102:7	117:11
mediate 34:10	52:13	million 47:7,9	month 81:22
78:25	memo 145:5	87:6,14,14,15	months 7:18
mediation	156:13	88:4,13 106:15	47:22 48:1,1,3
22:23 24:25	memory 132:8	123:11 128:7	56:13,16 69:21
25:4 31:17,19	mention 44:11	mind 8:13	72:14 74:24
31:23 32:5,10	mentioned	15:24 63:4	155:16
32:17,21 33:3	28:22 91:23	77:18	morning 4:2,10
33:16 34:11	108:21 139:1	mindful 10:7	4:17,20,23 5:1
49:4 80:1 97:23	merely 83:21	mine 24:15	5:3 22:14,15
98:5 108:17,18	merit 148:22	minefields	81:13 82:4,6
147:13 150:22	151:4	75:15	morphing
mediations	meritorious	minimum	17:14
57:18	114:18	155:12,13	motion 12:14
mediator	meso 97:9	mining 98:20	13:16 34:17
147:13	149:16	minor 153:22	35:6 36:1,4
mediator's	mesothelioma	minutes 9:9	38:12 97:25
133:14	97:9 99:4 107:3	21:4 85:5,10	98:3,4 103:5
mediators 98:7	107:13 150:15	128:2,13	135:11
132:25 133:11	met 47:25	137:11,13	motions 20:25
133:16	56:12,15 78:19	miscarriage	motivation
medical 123:8,9	94:13,14,24,25	17:11	64:21
123:12	95:23 110:5	mischaracteri	motivations
medicine 96:12	method 117:5	127:11	63:2
105:6	methodology	missed 89:16	mountain
meet 27:18	145:10	mississippi	130:13
43:4 46:2 57:1	mic 77:2	156:2	move 26:9 36:8
105:12 116:9	michelle 91:11	mistake 97:7	124:5,10,18
118:2 120:18	91:13	mixed 112:3	moving 36:1
meeting 44:25	microphones	model 107:12	multiple 33:11
45:8 90:19	77:19	107:15	41:5 94:22,22

[multiple - objection]

Page 27

95:2 133:25	62:21 66:1	97:22 114:23	112:7,25 113:4
150:2,2	75:11,11 96:16	162:5,20	113:8,9,9,15
murdica 12:17	96:18 107:19	news 10:2 12:5	120:15,16,18
44:11 71:7,9	112:9 124:18	niall 145:13	123:1 125:23
78:21 90:19	124:20,25	nice 4:3	132:3 134:14
95:15,18 130:9	160:19	nine 93:13	134:15,18
157:8	needed 7:12	nj 1:22 162:21	146:16 150:10
mute 77:19	80:4,4 107:18	noncash 51:8	150:11 158:3
myers 2:8 4:21	107:18,20	118:21	numbers 66:16
88:15	needs 21:6	nonsense 12:4	102:11 123:21
n	42:10 78:3	north 97:21	148:15 149:25
	negligence	notary 162:4	numerous 83:3
n 2:1 87:8	17:11	162:19	0
162:1	negotiate 38:12	note 76:24	
n.w. 2:9	122:3	notice 64:14	o 16:20 162:1
name 21:24,25	negotiated	66:5	o'dell 14:17,23
23:25 24:13	95:25 96:1,7	notwithstandi	82:21 83:7
25:13,14	negotiating	33:5 67:15	87:16 91:10,13
narrative 10:21	38:13 46:8	november	92:4 98:25
42:11,11 93:11	78:20 109:10	56:20 57:12	113:21 145:4
narrow 15:14	negotiation	153:18	o'melveny 2:8
153:24	109:5	number 3:12	4:21
national 10:2	negotiations	24:18 25:10,15	oath 21:22
12:20 63:19	78:20,21 95:13	25:15 26:4,13	85:15 109:16
nationally	100:14 108:19	26:15 27:8 44:5	138:15
91:15	150:21	46:13,23 47:2	object 6:3,3,24
nature 73:5,14	neither 35:18	51:5,6,8 59:22	39:15 69:5
94:16 96:9	nelson 95:9	68:14 78:8 79:3	84:16 93:11,21
natures 93:6	never 19:4	80:13,17,18,19	110:11 115:2
nauseam 10:21	70:10,10 74:2	80:22,23 81:2,8	125:21 157:10
necessarily	92:15 108:7	90:22,22,24	objected 6:5
42:15		, ,	152:19
necessary 39:6	131:4,4,7 156:22	92:13 96:19,23	objecting 5:17
51:7		100:23,24,25	131:24
need 5:20,21	new 1:1,10 2:5	101:16,18,22	objection 7:21
13:3 17:19	17:23 54:16	101:22 102:8,9	29:18,24 32:7
	89:2 91:17	111:20 112:1,7	

[objection - ovarian]

Page 28

26.12.40.25				
36:13 40:25 56:	18 108:25	open 83	:13	50:9 51:19,23
46:17 55:15	:13 148:15	opened	86:22	options 52:10
58:19 68:18 151	:17	opening	108:12	oral 6:1,22 7:2
69:25 71:17 offici	al 91:20	opinion	65:10	17:14 103:22
72:9 78:11,14 offici	ally 91:7	110:13,	15	order 7:12
84:9,21 124:1 97:2	24	112:18		13:16,17 21:6
127:10 140:5 oh 5	9:15	opponent	t 70:24	23:21,23,24
152:24 154:23 ohio	155:23	opportur	nity	40:17 60:15,19
154:25 159:3 okay	7:5 9:12	8:5,6 14	4:1,6	61:4 67:4,11
objections 13:3	8 24:16 33:5	64:2 81	:24	91:20 106:12
130:2,13 131:3 33:	18 43:17	115:6 1	55:5	147:21,23
137:17 152:13 50:	11 62:8,9	oppose	136:1	ordered 34:11
152:14,15,16 70:2	22 72:18	137:1		98:5,5,5
153:25 154:14 77:	17 78:24	opposed	37:18	organization
objective 35:4 85:4	4,22 87:4	37:20 3	8:10	83:17
obstruction 89:0	5 102:20	40:1,11	,12,14	orienting 42:9
83:2	:12 112:11	40:15,1	6 59:13	ought 64:5
obtained 68:6 112	:20 121:4	117:6 1	35:24	outrageous
94:7	:14 126:2	136:9,1	7,25	155:22
	:8 131:13	opposing		outside 7:16
10:20 46:23	:7 137:14	5:23 18	:11 41:6	63:6,10,20
, ,	:19 138:7		:7 84:6	70:23 71:14
	:18,21		2:12	126:17
occur 87:19 146	5:5,22 148:1	opposite	116:16	ovarian 24:9
):15	oppositio		25:20 26:19
	104:12,16	82:22 8		27:4 28:14,15
	:15	84:3 10	•	28:18,21 31:10
	.com 2:11	opt 49:1		32:3 46:8 52:14
	17:24 18:5	53:11 1		53:8,12 80:23
	9 98:3	optimiza		80:23 81:17,23
	:21 157:8	45:15 4		88:3,12 95:14
	149:9	53:23 5		97:3 105:6,9
	ing 14:15	57:4 75	:8,13	106:1,24,25
):21	119:9		107:16 108:23
offered 27:18 op 5	3:24	option 3		118:24 119:4
45:25 49:2		39:11 4	5:13,14	120:1,2 129:24

[ovarian - piece] Page 29

130:10 131:18	30:12,12,13	parties 7:25	percentage
145:4,5,9,15	paid 122:21	13:12 14:1 15:6	64:16 66:17
149:13,15,18	139:25	67:9 158:20	perfect 44:7
149:23 150:14	papantonio	161:2	45:8,22 83:17
overall 8:10	83:19	partner 90:12	period 15:10
80:24	papers 18:19	91:10	34:12 37:21
overrule 29:24	paragraph	passed 114:17	38:10 57:10
overruled	51:13	patently 13:11	58:21 69:20
78:14	parcel 155:7	path 58:4	75:25 81:22
overtures 122:3	pardon 77:25	patient 9:22	99:10
122:3	147:15	10:20 151:19	peritoneal
owe 91:25	parfitt 91:11,14	pay 10:5,16	105:10
own 92:14	113:21	39:5 79:22 80:6	perjured 158:9
143:21	part 35:9,10,11	80:6 96:18	perks 1:22
p	37:8,11 48:15	100:17 124:15	162:3,18
p 2:1,1 5:13,14	49:4,4 50:1	124:16	permission
151:17 158:24	58:9 96:4 99:20	paying 63:4	151:14
160:3,18	105:19 113:22	payment	permit 71:20
p.j.cv. 1:12	124:10 143:1,6	122:16,17	permitted
p.m. 9:8 161:19	150:13,20	payout 132:12	158:6
page 3:3 25:24	153:3,11,13	peanut 105:17	person 17:4
25:25 26:9	155:6 157:4	pending 34:24	46:2
29:16 30:10	158:23	92:17 139:9	personal 88:16
31:25 32:1,2,2	partial 153:6	pennies 123:24	perspective
32:11,12 38:24	participants	people 12:19	75:24 112:5
51:13 60:5	61:15	17:2 75:7 84:2	113:18
103:15 120:22	participate	119:8	pertains 149:14
120:25 121:1,2	49:14	percent 12:19	petition 31:13
125:6,7,11,23	participating	53:11 60:20,20	34:9
125:24 129:20	130:24	60:20,23,24	ph 1:24
130:6,12,14	participation	61:13,13,17,18	pick 100:18
133:19 142:8	73:8	61:18,19,25	picture 100:15
pages 25:25	particular 6:9	62:1,13 65:21	piece 53:24
26:5,23,24 27:7	11:13 14:2 30:3	66:19,19	54:9,11 80:24
27:9 29:17	127:4 139:1	116:10 156:6	80:25

[pike - position] Page 30

121.11.140.22 150.10	121.250124.5
pike 2:4 121:11 149:22 159:18	121:2,5,9 124:5
pitch 56:19 play 20:18 pointedly 17:19	9 124:9,23 125:4
pitched 45:6 115:25 points 67:9	125:25 126:3
57:5 players 148:5 124:13 140:14	127:20,25
place 1:9 12:6 playing 12:21 pollock 2:3 4:9	129:4,7,10,14
38:19 44:8 49:8 please 4:3 4:10,14 5:7,10	129:16 137:3,9
158:5 21:23 35:21 7:23 8:18,22	140:5 141:14
places 158:3 40:4 48:22 9:14,18,21	141:15,18,21
placitella 76:21 55:20 85:12 11:12,21 14:9	141:25 142:4
76:23 77:4,8,25 86:17 132:6 15:13,16,21	142:25 146:9
91:18 138:1 16:6 17:25 18:	3 146:12,13,24
plaintiff 65:8 plenary 1:6 19:19,23 20:7	146:25 147:9
139:20 102:18 103:14 20:11 21:19	148:24 149:3
plaintiff's 125:7,12 23:12,13 24:15	5 151:8,18
102:18 158:24 plus 66:10 29:18 32:7,20	152:17,18
plaintiffs 12:18 134:19 33:1,8,21 35:19	9 153:4,21
14:18 22:22 point 5:19,20 36:13 40:4,6,2:	5 154:18 155:1
24:24 45:2,7	7 156:1 157:21
52:5,9 54:5 17:16,22 18:6 46:19 48:22	158:15,18
58:13 64:15 26:21 28:8 55:15,18 58:19	159:23 161:17
67:18 74:16 33:21 39:7 62:11,15,19,22	portion 126:16
75:2 88:9 91:24 47:22 49:5 54:2 63:12 66:4	131:25
92:12,17,18 54:2 55:24 56:3 68:18 71:17	porto 1:12
139:13 56:14,15 65:2 72:9,12,17,19	12:13 13:21
plan 14:21 35:2 68:5,16 69:10 77:21 78:11	14:5,7 17:15
35:14,16 36:2,5 70:12,18 77:17 79:6 84:9,15	18:20 114:22
36:8,11 37:1,4 78:18,18 79:20 85:6,17,19,23	119:14 129:7
37:5,8,10,17 90:18,18,20,24 86:3,4,8,11,16	152:21 156:19
38:13,16 39:13 91:2 92:21 97:8 87:22 89:10,15	porto's 13:13
40:16,22,23 99:17 100:17 92:25 93:9,18	posed 153:16
41:10 49:7 54:7 109:6,17 110:4 93:25 94:1,4,5	posing 107:25
75:21 76:7 80:5 121:18 122:23 98:14,16 106:4	•
80:5 81:14,21 127:22 131:5,7 106:5 108:1,5	11:6 25:3 30:22
81:25 83:10,25 131:10 135:16 110:15,16,20	39:9 58:24 70:7
84:3,7,10 113:2 139:16,16 111:4,13,17	72:5,25 100:11
114:16 120:24	110:2 133:1

[position - proposal]

Page 31

143:20 150:18	162:6	prior 6:4 28:22	proceedings
160:12	preparing	56:16 90:17,25	59:5 161:19
positioned 15:6	35:25 36:2	private 96:1,2	162:7
15:7	present 2:13	privilege 7:13	process 6:17
possible 153:25	27:18 31:11,22	22:21,23 23:5	12:21 29:4
possibly 59:17	36:4 41:22	24:25 25:4	31:19 48:16
potential 67:11	42:25 107:3	29:15 30:10,14	57:7,18,18
99:8 146:1	108:25 131:18	31:17,20,24	97:20 99:20
powder 1:3	132:11	32:5,10,17,21	produce 6:6,7
practice 19:5	presented	33:3,18 34:22	product 108:10
55:10,11	13:23 14:3 36:2	144:21 146:18	products 1:4
preceded	51:19 58:4	147:13 153:7	4:6
140:11	99:25 133:6,7	153:21 159:8	proffer 12:2
preceding 89:1	150:7	159:12 160:15	63:12,14 67:3
precisely 21:15	president 2:14	160:16	proffered 150:4
precision 17:7	press 10:2 12:6	privileged 31:5	prognosticating
preference	12:20 14:23	33:16 143:14	66:24
57:10 158:7	53:24 54:8,23	147:20,24	prohibit 72:3
preferred 37:1	56:22,24 62:20	160:6,13	projection
48:15 57:6,16	63:19 82:7,12	privy 143:13	120:9
69:23 117:5,6	presume 25:6	pro 11:10	promise 16:12
149:22	pretty 11:23	probably 68:14	72:14
prejudice 14:15	86:2 90:3,3	77:11 82:10	prongs 157:4
prejudicial	100:15 130:4	98:18 101:9	proof 69:2
64:8,11	previously 14:4	132:21 138:9	proper 7:22
preliminary	27:13 28:2 37:7	141:21	proposal 24:10
5:11 67:6 69:11	133:2	problem 12:9	24:22 25:20
premise 92:25	price 51:1	19:8 77:16	26:19 27:4
prepare 6:12	pricewaterho	128:15	28:18 30:11
prepared 6:11	51:7 118:16	problems 135:8	36:21 45:7,18
22:22 23:2,7	prima 67:17	procedure	47:17 48:17,18
27:14,17,17	primarily 99:3	118:19	48:25 49:10,10
28:2 31:9,10,14	primary 104:25	proceed 6:24	49:16,18,19
31:16,22 33:6,8	princeton 2:4	18:17	51:9,17 52:21
35:17 46:1	principle	proceeding	52:24,25 53:19
50:20 118:1	121:23	35:3 89:20 95:9	58:9,10 59:6,18

[proposal - questioned]

Page 32

59:20 60:7,9,12	proposing 39:1	purported	qualifying
60:12 71:8	49:23	133:15	120:19
72:10 73:5,7,10	prosecute	purportedly	quarter 48:6
75:8,11 79:24	115:16	128:22	question 11:24
80:24 82:2 96:5	protect 10:16	pursuant 60:18	15:18 17:18
96:18 102:16	11:2 92:1	161:6	19:13 27:22
105:2 106:21	protected 33:17	pursue 58:15	30:6 32:23
106:22 107:2	proven 157:2	115:16 151:6	35:20 38:4 40:9
107:18 116:4,4	provide 29:6	pursuing 12:4	40:10 41:3
116:5,9 117:4	30:2 33:9,10,12	90:21 148:19	42:17,20,22
117:19,20,21	33:14 49:24	148:20 149:9	43:19 53:15
117:22 122:14	53:2 60:13 61:5	149:12 151:1	55:21 60:2,3
122:14,15	76:2 104:22	pushing 38:2,2	62:18 65:14
123:23 129:24	118:14,25,25	97:4,4,16	68:20 71:20
130:8 131:2,9	119:3 129:19	put 15:17 23:22	72:20,22,23
131:11,12,18	136:10,11	37:4,14 47:6	73:16 76:16
131:23,24,25	158:11	51:13 57:16	78:15 79:2,2,10
132:8,14,18	provided 23:22	60:12 66:16	79:11,18 84:11
133:5,10,11,14	29:1,7 76:25	76:1,1 79:22	84:14,14 86:12
133:23 134:1	95:17 132:12	95:20 108:22	93:1,11,14,24
134:21,21	157:16 158:23	108:23 109:3,6	98:13 101:9,15
144:8,10	provides 81:22	116:4 122:9	106:3 107:24
145:16 150:5,9	providing	134:4 136:13	107:25 110:17
150:13,20	22:16	putting 35:11	112:8 124:3
proposals 133:4	provision 82:1	37:10 123:22	126:12,13,25
150:2	provisions 61:3	156:17	127:14,15,16
propose 75:1	provoking 55:7	$oxed{\mathbf{q}}$	127:19 128:17
136:1	psc 23:22 58:24	qsf 145:14	128:21 129:13
proposed 14:21	public 57:12	qualification	130:15 133:18
39:19 41:22	136:14,14	104:22	135:22 140:8,8
42:25 48:13	162:4,19	qualifications	140:9 142:11
80:15 81:14	publicly 53:19	88:22 140:11	144:4 148:8,25
83:22 114:13	publish 53:23	145:15	152:10 153:15
130:19 131:2	pulled 145:2	qualified	156:24 158:14
135:25 143:6	purely 96:17	105:14	questioned
153:22		100.11	120:21 121:14

[questioned - redactions]

Page 33

		I	
131:16	range 61:11	75:25 76:3 80:6	recommendat
questioning	119:1 122:25	97:14 102:1	154:9
21:4 32:9 126:5	132:10	120:8 122:7	reconciling
questions 21:7	rate 84:18	123:7 136:11	148:14
29:25 40:7	reach 98:1	136:15,19,20	reconvene 85:7
64:25,25 65:4,5	reaction 127:16	149:5 150:23	138:2
69:11,12 76:25	132:22	reasons 41:6	record 7:15
85:18 93:17	read 6:14	rebut 156:4	8:11,13 14:3
103:21 108:3	125:14 129:21	recall 22:16,25	21:12 24:18
110:23,24	130:7,15,22	41:23 42:2,23	86:2 89:8 110:8
128:18 135:21	reading 56:22	43:2,3 44:21	137:23 138:2
137:4,8 138:4	56:24 141:17	127:7,9,17	140:12 153:3
138:10 142:24	146:3	129:21 138:22	154:6 158:23
143:2 151:9,16	ready 18:15,16	143:2,10 156:7	160:9
quibble 115:10	47:13 88:17	receive 7:24	records 115:7
quibbling 16:7	125:10	46:25 111:6	154:18 155:13
quickly 10:19	real 11:24 12:3	152:15	recoverable
10:24 151:12	15:25 19:7	received 43:6	67:4
quite 12:25	132:3	110:18 132:24	recovered
76:17 78:1 86:1	reality 18:6	152:1,12	60:21 139:14
quote 109:11	really 7:13	receiver 104:2	140:3,25
128:2,11,12	32:10 64:12	recess 85:11	142:17
quoted 82:24	65:1,11 84:5	137:24 160:10	recovery 61:14
quoting 82:11	93:2 101:7	recessed 41:20	64:17 66:15
r	108:17 110:12	42:23	recross 3:6
r 2:1 22:2 87:8	112:8 154:19	recklessly 63:9	138:18
162:1	154:21 156:8	recognize 67:15	recuse 11:18
raise 21:23	158:17	109:21	red 29:20 77:22
raised 67:9	reason 12:3	recognized	redacted 5:25
115:20	18:18 104:25	115:24,24	153:23
raises 9:14	122:11,11	recommend	redactions 7:11
raises 9:14 ramifications	136:9,24,25	5:18 152:20,21	7:12 153:22
	151:23 152:1	152:24	154:10,11
16:10 19:20 21:1	reasonable	recommendat	156:11,11
21.1	37:17 38:15	153:17	159:15,16
	54:5 59:24 64:1		
	1	1	1

[redirect - resolved]

Page 34

redirect 3:5,7	regarding 12.5	relief 67:17,20	ronrogantad
9:15 86:6	regarding 13:5 28:4,14 68:19	rely 157:3	represented 7:18 55:14 99:1
128:10 147:8	68:24 73:21	remember	representing
reduced 66:17	74:1 93:5 94:12	40:10 82:10	2:7,11 14:17
reductions	99:8 101:16	111:15 127:14	91:3 97:10
119:18	115:16 120:22	128:21 155:6	110:2 139:12
reese's 105:18	121:18 126:25	156:7,8	143:21 156:23
refer 56:8	129:23 130:19	remembers	represents
reference 28:9	131:2 152:13	127:15	142:13
28:13 125:22	156:12,13	remind 14:1	request 13:16
160:16	regards 41:15	remote 64:8,11	67:16
references 23:7	regularly 44:23	64:22	require 130:22
referred 15:9	rejection 113:2	remove 118:21	160:19
17:1 54:8 68:6	related 11:19	render 7:4	required 21:18
referring 54:13	81:16 107:10	reneged 132:24	130:24
74:13	relates 154:20	reneging	requires 96:8
refers 15:14	160:18	132:19 133:15	96:14
reflect 115:7	relating 109:13	reorganization	resist 82:17
136:15	relationship	81:14	resisted 97:16
reflected 43:8	15:8 68:2,24	reorient 144:20	122:2,3
regard 8:14 9:1	72:6	repeat 42:19	resisting 83:10
10:8 11:7,8	relationships	repeatedly	resolution
13:1,14 16:4	68:7	15:13 116:20	28:18 37:1,23
20:18 30:2	release 53:24	rephrase 60:4	37:24 39:20
62:24 64:22	54:9,23 56:23	72:23 93:23	51:2 52:8 57:4
69:25 74:2	82:7,12	replies 161:12	57:6 58:23 59:1
76:16 77:12	relevance 68:19	report 145:10	65:19,20 72:7
79:18 91:15	69:6 70:3 84:10	reported 1:21	72:12 76:7
94:2 114:21	relevancy	reporter 162:4	81:16 83:22
124:7 128:22	62:17 64:12,22	162:20	90:21 95:21
132:13 137:16	69:2	reports 95:5	97:4,15,17 98:2
137:18 154:17	relevant 14:13	represent 6:18	99:8 143:2,6
154:19,24	15:5,18 19:13	68:9 130:16,25	resolve 14:21
159:10 160:3,3	62:23 63:1	representation	83:10 84:8
160:12,17	67:19 69:3	109:13,24	resolved 92:13
161:8	110:1 111:8	130:21 157:1	119:6

[respect - rules] Page 35

respect 9:22,25	review 147:16	62:18 64:9	rights 6:18
10:13 30:17	reviewed 27:10	65:22 66:10	risk 119:19
60:1 108:2	51:10 52:21,24	67:24 68:2,7	135:19
142:12 144:8	147:19	69:19 70:9,14	ristesund 87:8
155:3	rid 17:24 117:8	71:16,24 72:2,3	87:10
respectfully	right 6:22 8:14	72:4,5,8 73:2	road 16:10
10:18 64:3	8:21 11:21	73:21 74:3,4,7	role 29:5 71:13
79:10,14 152:6	15:19 16:11	74:19,20 75:19	89:23 90:25
respond 14:11	18:15 21:20,24	76:17 78:1	91:1,4,15,20,22
15:21 124:21	22:20 23:2 24:1	81:11,19,25	91:23 92:9,15
responded	24:10,12,22	82:4,8,19 83:7	115:25 119:11
14:25	25:1,5,14,21	83:11,14,15,25	130:9 143:25
responding	26:3,6,8,16	84:3,8 86:1	143:25 149:14
79:17	27:1 28:15,16	89:7 92:21,22	roles 53:4 92:6
response 5:15	28:18 29:9,17	93:3 98:15	rolled 59:20
6:12 13:24	30:13,25 31:3,6	103:4 110:21	79:25 122:16
42:11,17 63:25	32:6 33:14 34:4	112:16 121:7	rothschild 2:2
79:15 158:3	34:6,14,21 35:3	125:3 128:4	roughly 99:14
responsibility	35:5,10,15	129:3,6 130:12	100:20
116:11	36:24 39:16,22	137:11,14,22	rounds 108:16
rest 21:5	40:13,22,24	138:7 139:4,7	rpc 17:16,19
restructuring	41:8,11 44:9,10	139:15 140:1	18:25 109:11
55:9,11	44:13,17,20,23	140:14,20	155:8
result 113:1,5	45:4,14,24 46:3	141:4,6,9	rpcs 62:15
113:16 143:19	46:6,10,16 47:5	142:15,22	rsa 1:22 162:3
retain 114:25	47:5,9,10,11,15	143:6,8,23	162:18
115:12,20	47:19,22 48:7	144:5,6,11,15	rukhsanah
rethink 54:25	48:12,16,19	144:17,18	1:12
retrial 139:10	50:10,17,21	145:22,25	rule 15:15,15
retried 88:18	51:3,15 52:23	146:4,8,19	161:6
return 137:16	53:12,17,20	147:24,25	ruled 33:2
reveal 109:12	54:6,17,21 55:1	149:1,6 154:16	152:21
109:18	55:11 56:11,14	156:18 157:4	rules 19:2 20:9
reverberations	56:17 57:8,13	159:13 160:1	20:14 21:17
16:10	58:15,18 60:14	160:22	63:3,4 72:3
	61:1,16,22 62:7		100:3

[ruling - shared]

Page 36

ruling 154:3,4	114:19 120:3	51:12 58:6,9	seriously 10:12
rulings 113:13	scientific 83:4	72:13 84:12	serve 98:6
run 5:12 129:4	114:7	97:6 104:17	served 91:14
russo 98:6	scope 19:9	145:7,11,17	98:25 155:2
S	113:6 134:9	154:23 158:3	services 1:24
s 2:1 3:10 87:8	154:4	seeing 16:13	set 74:19
87:8	scott 28:5	seek 115:15	142:23
sandbag 155:20	screen 109:22	seeking 60:8	setting 21:13
sandbag 133.20 sargon 89:11	screw 129:8	seen 19:5,21	settle 129:24
89:14,16	screwed 101:10	51:16,16 52:25	130:10
saw 9:7 29:8	seal 161:5	53:1 59:8,9	settled 139:15
34:21 53:7 80:4	seasoned 55:9	103:2 110:7	140:3 141:3,5
103:5 132:21	seated 4:3 22:8	117:23 154:2	142:18
153:23,23	85:13 138:1	self 10:5	settlement
saying 6:19	152:10	sell 116:17	41:22 42:25
18:14 39:7	second 5:24	sending 34:1	49:12,17 50:17
43:20 66:3 71:3	16:18 24:1,3	senior 90:12	50:19 51:24
82:24 97:11	27:16 31:13	sense 20:15	53:10 59:25
100:16 120:16	34:8 114:4,12	156:15 158:16	75:19 76:2
122:22 152:3	114:20 127:1	sent 34:23 48:5	99:16,18,21
says 6:5 28:20	135:17 136:6,8	76:24 83:13	100:9,11 102:1
117:16,17,25	156:5 157:4	117:23	105:4 116:7,8,9
147:23 152:22	secret 65:7	sentence 118:4	130:17 131:25
156:18	156:21	separate 61:24	143:9,15
sbrody 2:11	secretive 31:21	62:3 129:2	148:15 150:23
scenes 71:6	section 89:25	133:4,4	seven 20:13
schedule	90:1,2,5 91:1	separately	25:24 29:16
122:17,17	sections 90:2	152:9	30:12 31:25
schneider 15:9	see 4:3,13 11:5	september	93:13 122:19
33:2 98:6	23:5,25 24:14	11:16 129:22	161:12
147:17,19	24:19,23 25:14	130:7,8 132:14	share 20:1,5
147.17,19	26:2,4,10,13	133:6,24,25	23:12 31:15
school 20:9	27:10 28:8	134:8,10,11,16	33:6 46:2 63:15
science 96:12	29:15 31:5,7	150:6	68:21
105:6,8 114:1,2	43:8 45:25	serious 11:1	shared 19:14
103.0,6 114.1,2	48:10 51:4,4,8	40:18 114:10	46:9 58:13
L			

[shared - speculate]

Page 37

	I	1
showing 83:4	19:17 20:20,22	snappy 79:13
shows 114:1	20:23 66:25,25	solicitation
sic 104:1	67:2 76:12,13	81:22 82:13
149:21	77:2 84:25 85:2	124:13
sick 83:1	127:15 137:5,6	solution 58:14
side 52:14	152:8 154:10	solvent 136:18
115:19 116:17	154:14,24	somebody
144:17	160:2,25	56:22,24 70:23
sidetrack 76:15	161:13	78:9 79:4 80:11
sidley 55:10	singh's 137:15	145:25 148:10
56:1,2	single 65:14	soon 130:15
sign 71:10	110:7	sorry 18:3 79:1
118:18,19,20	sir 21:25 73:22	88:19 90:23
signature	77:24 85:15,16	98:9 100:7
162:16	87:11 102:12	111:15,21
significant 47:2	106:8,16,18	121:16 125:6
101:21 104:25	136:5,7 138:15	127:1 147:13
111:25,25	138:16	sorted 23:21
113:4 135:8,16	sit 117:10	sorting 144:25
135:19 148:13	sitting 109:21	sought 157:24
significantly	six 56:13,16	159:4
86:13,13 113:1	69:21 93:13	sound 63:19
120:19 157:3	skip 87:22	112:16
similar 95:9	92:20	sounds 44:10
104:7,8 108:24	slaughtered	44:20
simple 65:4	148:25	speak 14:15
148:11,11	slemp 87:24,24	38:7 101:7
simpler 34:15	88:2,3,11,11	speaking 68:4
simply 42:22	89:12	specific 10:23
51:13 60:3	slice 150:7	12:23 17:10
63:22 128:9	smaller 120:14	specifically
simultaneous	132:16	43:4 44:21
161:11	smart 12:15	spectrum 12:25
singh 1:12 7:3	smith 67:24	123:20
8:16,20 11:5	68:2,5,11,13,17	speculate 78:16
13:8,9 17:18	68:19	_
	shows 114:1 sic 104:1 149:21 sick 83:1 side 52:14 115:19 116:17 144:17 sidetrack 76:15 sidley 55:10 56:1,2 sign 71:10 118:18,19,20 signature 162:16 significant 47:2 101:21 104:25 111:25,25 113:4 135:8,16 135:19 148:13 significantly 86:13,13 113:1 120:19 157:3 similar 95:9 104:7,8 108:24 simple 65:4 148:11,11 simpler 34:15 simply 42:22 51:13 60:3 63:22 128:9 simultaneous 161:11 singh 1:12 7:3 8:16,20 11:5	shows114:120:23 66:25,25sic104:167:2 76:12,13149:2177:2 84:25 85:2sick83:1127:15 137:5,6side52:14152:8 154:10115:19 116:17154:14,24144:17160:2,25sidetrack76:15singh's 137:15sidley55:10singh's 137:1556:1,2single65:14sign71:10110:7118:18,19,20sir21:25 73:22signature77:24 85:15,16162:1687:11 102:12significant47:2106:8,16,18101:21 104:25136:5,7 138:15111:25,25138:16113:4 135:8,16sit 117:10significantlysix 56:13,1686:13,13 113:1sitting 109:21similar95:9104:7,8 108:24simple65:4148:25148:11,11simple87:24,24simple34:15slemp87:24,2488:2,3,11,1189:1251:13 60:3sice150:763:22 128:9smaller120:14simple1:12 7:3smith67:248:16,20 11:5smith67:2468:2,5,11,13,17

[speculation - supervisory]

Page 38

- •	• -		
speculation	start 4:9 7:2	stop 9:19 38:19	submit 49:1
36:14	8:19 20:8 86:10	90:23	131:23,23
speculative	started 30:11	straight 11:23	152:6 159:16
78:11	41:20 78:20,21	strategies 54:25	submitted 5:19
spell 21:24	139:12	69:21	14:4 46:24 58:8
spend 43:7	starting 23:25	strategy 54:17	147:16 158:11
128:1	starts 51:13	street 2:9	158:16 159:21
spent 16:21	100:20	strength 135:18	161:3
spike 113:15	state 12:7 51:11	strengthen	submitting
spikes 113:8	52:23 53:4,5	94:21	159:6
spoken 130:18	89:2,19 162:5	stretch 53:22	subpoenaed
131:1	162:20	strict 100:3	22:24
spreadsheet	stated 83:7,21	strong 55:7	substance 31:4
23:21	statement 5:17	strongly 156:5	128:3
spring 99:14	53:7 82:19,21	structural	substantive
squarely 17:23	105:22	45:15 47:19	11:14 28:3 31:2
20:1 124:19	statements 15:1	53:23 55:25	161:3
squibb 88:15	48:9 57:13	57:4 75:8,12	subtype 105:13
staff 90:5,6	states 59:19	119:9	subtypes 105:8
stage 104:14,15	81:19 107:6,7,8	structure 76:1	120:3
104:16 123:10	stating 82:7	76:2 95:21	suddenly
123:14,17	stay 107:23	struggling	151:20 157:9
stand 6:8 10:18	steering 22:22	70:20	suggest 8:15
36:22 58:24	24:24 67:18	studies 83:4	64:20
126:22 151:15	stenographic	stuff 30:24	suggesting 81:7
154:8 155:18	1:21	40:17 79:9	suggests 72:15
155:21 157:25	step 16:17	89:23 155:16	sum 128:3
159:5,20	54:16 55:1	156:21	summer 84:6
standard 67:14	97:13 151:11	subject 135:21	super 156:21
89:2	152:22 153:17	subjected	superior 1:1
standards	stephen 2:9	147:12	supervise 90:7
20:24	steps 133:12	submission	supervising
standing 33:4	steve 4:21	5:25 6:13 7:11	90:16
73:11 116:1	121:3,13	7:21 161:1,11	supervisory
139:3,6	stipulated	submissions	91:1
	32:11	6:3	

[supplement - term]

Page 39

gunnlament	switch 114:20	160:10	133:12 136:4
supplement 136:17	switching	takes 10:18,19	148:21
supplemental	115:19	talc 1:3 4:5,6	targeted 149:25
137:16	sworn 22:5	7:16 10:10 45:3	targeted 149.25 tcc 35:8,11,12
		45:7 54:24	52:14 71:10
supplier 98:21 suppliers 98:22	synchronized 85:9	55:14 60:21	97:10
support 45:18 51:18,23,24	synthesize 127:18	62:1 68:6 70:9	tdp 59:9
, ,		71:14,16 72:7	team 89:22,22
53:3 54:20 55:7	system 49:19	73:13 80:20,21	90:4 97:3
supported	51:2 57:5 58:15	81:17 83:4,10	105:25 106:1
51:10 52:3,7,18	58:23 59:1	86:19,23 89:23	108:23 116:13
52:21 83:3	65:20 155:15	90:9 99:8,23	teasing 101:3
96:12 105:5,8	t	101:11,13,16	technically
114:2,7,19	t 3:10 87:8	103:20 106:23	112:22
120:3	162:1,1	107:10,12	ted 90:11 91:17
supports 54:24	table 79:23	116:18 117:8	98:25
supposed 18:24	tacks 51:2	118:21 134:10	tell 21:24 30:16
supreme 17:22	tactic 82:17	136:2 138:21	74:18 77:21
18:18,18 88:15	tag 51:1	139:13 144:14	106:12,13
113:12	take 10:12	151:2	125:23 129:8
sure 6:15 7:2	13:25 23:20	talk 23:4 33:22	telling 52:19
15:23 27:25	36:25 43:12	42:24 45:3	70:21 104:1,2
30:4,8 42:22	46:5 50:12	47:14 50:20	113:24
44:15 59:10,11	64:13 66:4	62:7 112:5,6	ten 102:6
60:4 61:2 62:14	69:16 76:4,5	118:13 119:12	tens 123:17
72:25 98:11	80:10 84:25	119:12	term 22:17
101:5 107:24	85:2,4,10 118:3	talked 10:21	23:4,7 24:9
127:15,20	118:7 121:5	11:24 16:18	25:11,19 26:18
140:12 141:25	122:7,8 128:12	38:25 52:4,9,9	27:4,7,12,13,14
146:13,17	136:21,23	105:17 138:20	27:17,17,18
159:23 160:7	137:10,12	138:24 143:8	28:2,4,15,23,25
surprising 81:9	151:15 160:8	144:7 145:3,13	29:1,2,8 30:16
sustain 69:24	taken 6:8 17:8	150:6	31:5,7,8,11,15
sustaining	23:8 85:11	talking 16:19	31:20,21,25
84:20	133:1 137:24	16:20 50:22	32:1,3,4,18,22
	133.1 137.27	62:10 105:9,16	33:10,15,23

[term - time] Page 40

34:2,23 69:1	testing 132:8	think 5:10 7:20	thought 9:8
104:22 108:24	texas 54:16	7:20,22 9:5	11:17 23:8
131:14,16	55:1 57:3 97:13	14:12 17:22	27:24 54:2 55:7
150:3	152:22 153:16	18:17 19:22	57:14 100:7
terms 13:19	thank 4:2,12,17	20:19 23:17	126:2 127:25
29:3 46:3 49:13	4:23 5:3 8:22	24:15 27:21	135:19
59:14,15 60:6	9:17,24 14:7,9	28:7 30:5 33:20	thoughts 7:5
60:11,15 65:16	21:19,20 22:7	38:18,23 42:12	9:18 146:24
65:17 81:24	67:21 69:7	47:12 53:25	152:17 154:17
124:2	76:10,13,14	56:7 60:2 61:10	159:10
testified 7:14	77:6,7,9,14,24	64:11,21 65:1,1	thousands
22:5 28:1 37:6	78:5 79:16	65:3,7 70:21	123:17
44:13 47:12	84:24 85:12	72:21 78:2	threat 135:3
80:18,19 81:4,5	89:10 107:21	91:19,23 93:8	three 6:7,7
90:20 144:9	117:18 127:23	93:13,18,19	28:11 29:15
149:6	137:9,20,25	98:8,9,14,15,23	32:24 69:11
testify 13:24	142:1,4 146:9	105:17 109:25	81:22 87:13,13
36:15,20	146:11 151:10	110:6,23 111:5	88:7,8,8 139:1
testifying 41:20	154:12 160:21	111:5,7 117:7,7	threshold 116:9
testimony 5:6,9	160:23 161:9	124:25 125:24	threw 120:23
5:19 7:3 8:10	161:15,16,17	128:2,15,18	tied 155:6
8:19,24 9:3	thanks 38:21	131:22 138:25	time 9:1,19,23
13:22 14:5	thee 151:19	142:2 146:15	10:19,22,23
22:16 30:1,21	theme 13:7	148:4,18 149:8	11:23 12:21
38:6 40:9 41:23	theory 124:11	149:15 152:20	13:20 15:10
42:2,18 43:2,3	thereof 21:2	153:14,15,23	21:22 23:25
43:7 46:6 50:2	thing 56:4 71:6	153:25 154:7	25:23 30:22
73:21 94:2 95:5	105:19,20	154:24 156:17	33:23 34:1
110:1 111:3,5	122:1 141:19	160:1	35:25 37:12
124:4,14 127:7	143:17,18	thinking 20:15	43:8,9,20 47:23
127:9,11	144:25 161:7	74:23	47:25 52:15
128:17 138:22	things 7:14	third 48:6	53:18 54:16
143:11 153:12	10:8 13:11 45:3	112:17,17	57:2 78:18,18
153:14 157:13	81:6 90:17	113:1,5 114:13	78:19 79:20
157:15 158:4	93:13,16 112:6	135:12,12,18	80:21 85:7 86:3
158:25 160:20	124:2 144:7		91:3,22 95:22

[time - two] Page 41

told 9:7 17:6,7	transcript 1:6	139:18,21,25
19:6 34:16	3:13,14 6:14	141:2 156:16
49:22,22 50:3,8	9:7 16:11 30:24	162:8
53:13,15 71:7	127:3 129:18	truly 54:2 62:3
74:6 81:8	129:20 133:19	83:1 96:10
took 44:8	134:4 147:3,5	123:2,23
top 23:25 24:16	158:2 162:7,8	trupos 16:20
topics 120:21	transferred	truth 52:20
tort 34:3 49:19	97:22	79:13,14
51:2 54:16 55:3	transmission	try 9:9 18:15
57:5 58:15,23	27:11	23:19 63:18
59:1 65:20 90:1	transponder	64:4,6 71:9
90:2,5 99:1	77:12	83:24 101:8,8
100:1,4 111:20	traps 75:15	121:16 149:1
torts 44:7 45:8	treasurer 46:2	trying 12:17
45:22 83:16	treating 123:16	34:3,5,5 38:11
91:5	trial 11:15 87:4	38:11 41:14
toss 80:16	87:5 88:3,12,12	45:17 63:22,25
tossed 80:14	88:24 135:11	64:20 74:19
total 46:16,20	140:22	78:8 98:1 104:3
46:23 47:1 51:1	trials 88:22	128:16 140:12
59:21,22 61:19	89:1 90:22,24	149:4
96:15,23	94:22,23	tube 105:10
101:22 102:8,9	138:21,21	tune 64:25
106:6,9 112:1,6	139:9	turn 20:19
112:7 113:15	tried 5:16 89:13	54:19 82:18
120:15,16,18	90:10,12,12	142:8
123:1 132:2,9	94:22 139:13	twice 41:1
132:14	140:2,20	two 5:10 6:20
touch 77:22	142:13 148:9	24:13 26:22
toward 37:23	153:24	31:12 54:16
37:23 52:8 96:1	true 37:3 39:23	55:1 56:17
train 100:7	47:3 48:1 51:15	57:18 65:4,4
trans 129:18	54:22 57:1 59:4	84:18 97:13
transcribed	101:23 108:9	107:5,6 108:16
1:21	120:19 139:6	112:6 118:5
	19:6 34:16 49:22,22 50:3,8 53:13,15 71:7 74:6 81:8 took 44:8 top 23:25 24:16 topics 120:21 tort 34:3 49:19 51:2 54:16 55:3 57:5 58:15,23 59:1 65:20 90:1 90:2,5 99:1 100:1,4 111:20 torts 44:7 45:8 45:22 83:16 91:5 toss 80:16 tossed 80:14 total 46:16,20 46:23 47:1 51:1 59:21,22 61:19 96:15,23 101:22 102:8,9 106:6,9 112:1,6 112:7 113:15 120:15,16,18 123:1 132:2,9 132:14 touch 77:22 toward 37:23 37:23 52:8 96:1 train 100:7 trans 129:18 transcribed	19:6 34:16 3:13,14 6:14 49:22,22 50:3,8 9:7 16:11 30:24 53:13,15 71:7 127:3 129:18 74:6 81:8 129:20 133:19 took 44:8 134:4 147:3,5 topics 120:21 transferred 51:2 54:16 55:3 57:5 58:15,23 59:1 65:20 90:1 27:11 100:1,4 111:20 transponder 77:12 transponder 77:12 trass 75:15 torts 44:7 45:8 treating 123:16 45:22 83:16 trial 11:15 87:4 91:5 treating 123:16 toss 80:16 trial 11:15 87:4 87:5 88:3,12,12 88:24 135:11 140:22 46:23 47:1 51:1 140:22 46:23 47:1 51:1 140:22 46:23 47:1 51:1 139:9 106:6,9 112:1,6 139:9 112:7 113:15 139:9 106:6,9 112:1,6 139:9 112:7 113:15 140:2,20 123:1 132:2,9 142:13 148:9 15:2 2 153:24 15:15 15:15 15:15 15:15 15:15 15:15

[two - violation] Page 42

129:2 130:23	understand	unredacted	136:24 145:6,9
133:3,4 142:14	6:13 9:24 10:25	153:24	146:2 150:23
149:16 152:22	12:12 15:13	upper 59:17	van 117:16
153:17,22	16:1,6 18:7	ups 33:13	variations
161:11	39:15,18 64:13	urged 97:23	33:11
twombly 88:21	67:8 68:13 79:7	urging 84:2	various 52:10
type 30:24 95:9	82:1 124:24	use 23:19 30:23	vast 68:8
96:18 104:7	126:14 143:4,7	39:15	vegas 44:8,14
105:7	understandably	used 129:15	vehemently
types 93:6	20:15	146:21	17:21
100:25 114:1,9	understanding	using 16:15	vein 10:4
typically 17:4	9:1 61:9 73:5	85:8 146:14	vendor 70:12
66:11,12	94:15 96:9,14	usually 17:1	verdict 87:3,6
u	103:1,9 116:14	uterine 114:8	87:14,15,15
u 87:8	118:9 119:11	v	88:4,11,13
u.s. 1:13	understands	v 116:17 144:17	verdicts 87:13
u.s. 1.13 uh 130:3	78:15 148:4	vacate 139:8	88:8,9,14,16
unbeknownst	154:3,4	vacated 139.8	113:11 138:25
15:11	understood	88:16 139:9	139:5,9
uncertainty	8:23 30:21	vaginal 114:9	verify 54:13
118:23	48:20 100:24	vaginai 114.9 vague 46:17,18	version 26:22
uncommon	118:11	46:19	31:24,25 32:1,2
104:6	unfair 155:4,11	value 93:6	34:23 37:4
under 11:17	unfamiliar 60:6	101:18 102:1,1	44:19 153:24
17:25 46:25	unfortunately	119:15,17,20	154:6
59:18 67:4	17:9	123:21 132:2	versions 32:18
79:22 82:14	united 81:19	132:11 136:19	versus 112:7
83:16 85:15	84:2	148:16,17,18	viability 58:7
89:2 109:16	universe 96:16	149:5	vice 2:14
138:15 153:5	119:20,20	values 40:19	victim 119:25
155:7,7 156:16	120:15 132:15	46:14 100:9	view 12:24
161:5	unreasonable	108:7,8,8	91:22 92:2,2,3
underlying	40:19	114:18 119:12	92:16 94:20
16:4	unreasonably	122:12 123:3	143:25,25
10.4	114:17 136:23	136:13,15,20	violation 17:1
		150.15,15,20	17:16,19 63:3

[violations - witness]

Page 43

		I	I
violations 21:16	39:4,5,5,24	80:3,7 81:10	welcome 14:8
violative 6:17	40:21 43:7	84:7 92:20	160:24 161:18
vioxx 92:9	49:14 64:24	104:4,10	went 10:2
104:9	65:11 71:12,12	117:12 121:16	29:21 73:14
vis 91:24,24	77:4 83:20,21	124:16 133:13	west 156:2
vision 58:13	83:24 84:25	149:2 156:8	whatsoever
visit 112:25	94:3 102:24	ways 107:14	13:4
volume 1:7	107:23 108:4	133:25	whistle 82:16
voluntarily	112:4,4 124:6	we've 5:5,7,7	white 117:17
49:14 116:6	124:24 128:1	69:18 71:11,11	wide 2:14 119:1
vote 35:15,17	128:11 143:17	97:11,12 104:6	willing 31:3,9
36:11 39:22,25	143:18 146:16	113:18 122:3	33:14 42:4
40:15,15,22,23	146:16 152:3	149:19,21	47:14 100:17
41:10 81:24	152:10 153:6	155:14	willingly 79:21
82:13,25	wanted 6:10	weaknesses	willingness
114:15,16,16	35:2 36:4,14	94:21	41:21 42:24
voted 36:6	39:2,7,21 40:23	weapon 38:16	43:8
voting 40:2,12	57:13,15 58:2	wednesday	win 54:1,1,4
W	65:7,9 76:16	81:13 82:3,6	58:14,14,17,20
wait 85:21	79:2 80:12	122:16	58:20
155:17 158:1	107:22,23,24	week 14:13,20	wise 42:17
waived 153:8	wants 7:23 8:1	15:18 152:12	wish 7:24
waived 193.8 waiver 70:25	8:7 15:16 19:23	week's 19:10	withheld 152:3
153:6,15,20	37:18 79:7,12	weeks 26:22	witness 3:3
154:4 159:8,17	79:12 84:8 93:8	29:15 31:12	22:1 28:1 33:4
159:18	washington	56:17 57:8	35:24 36:18
walk 86:17 93:4	2:10	161:11	38:9 40:11,14
103:17	waste 10:22	weigh 89:2	41:5 42:19
want 5:12,22	watch 9:19	101:25	43:15,18,23
6:1,2,12,22,22	way 5:12 8:17	weight 8:2	46:22 48:23
9:24 10:22 18:7	17:22 19:13	158:18,21	55:19,22 58:20
18:12,16,22	20:16 34:15	159:24 160:14	66:2 71:19,24
19:9 31:7 33:15	39:11 45:21	160:17	72:1,10 73:22
35:14,16,20	49:6 53:18 54:3	weighted	74:4,9,12,20
36:10 37:16	58:1 66:11	123:19	75:4,22 78:17
30.10 37.10	73:25 79:12		79:20 85:16

[witness - zero] Page 44

00 4 4 4 0 2 4 4		
89:6,11 98:11	134:7	69:21 80:1,1
106:8,11,16,18	worldwide	84:12 88:6
128:23 129:1	55:11	107:15 132:12
138:16 140:16	worried 103:13	142:15
witnesses 6:7,8	worries 157:6	years 16:14,15
wolfson 60:19	worth 47:7	16:18,22,22
91:10 95:3,7	118:7	17:3 19:4 52:7
wonderful	wrap 65:3,14	83:3 102:6
63:23	81:11	104:16 109:4
word 39:16	wrapping 21:5	113:24 122:19
152:19,19,24	write 20:3	123:15 129:15
words 30:23	written 53:1	135:5 150:5
work 5:16	54:10	yesterday 10:1
46:15,20 47:3	wrong 121:21	12:20
52:12 66:12	149:20	younger 123:10
70:9,18,24	wrote 25:7	yuna 6:5 16:20
71:16 72:25	52:20 54:11	86:14 156:16
75:13,21 97:14	57:3	157:5
104:5,10,20	X	yup 20:11
105:15 116:22	x 3:10 65:8	Z
116:23 151:3	A 3.10 03.0	
110.25 131.3	74.14 24 75.1	7 7Δ·15 2Δ 75·2
worked 71:8	74:14,24 75:1	z 74:15,24 75:2
	y	zero 11:25
worked 71:8	·	,
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20	y	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7	y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21	y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5 48:3,4 53:14	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21 working 29:11	y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21 working 29:11 29:11 37:23,23	y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5 48:3,4 53:14	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21 working 29:11 29:11 37:23,23 52:8,14 55:23	y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5 48:3,4 53:14 55:5 85:2 99:24	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21 working 29:11 29:11 37:23,23 52:8,14 55:23 56:13 63:5 71:5	y y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5 48:3,4 53:14 55:5 85:2 99:24 112:17 125:25 127:24 141:20 142:2 147:25	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21 working 29:11 29:11 37:23,23 52:8,14 55:23 56:13 63:5 71:5 71:9 95:22	y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5 48:3,4 53:14 55:5 85:2 99:24 112:17 125:25 127:24 141:20 142:2 147:25 year 15:11	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21 working 29:11 29:11 37:23,23 52:8,14 55:23 56:13 63:5 71:5 71:9 95:22 100:8	y y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5 48:3,4 53:14 55:5 85:2 99:24 112:17 125:25 127:24 141:20 142:2 147:25 year 15:11 16:19 24:1	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21 working 29:11 29:11 37:23,23 52:8,14 55:23 56:13 63:5 71:5 71:9 95:22 100:8 works 89:22	y y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5 48:3,4 53:14 55:5 85:2 99:24 112:17 125:25 127:24 141:20 142:2 147:25 year 15:11 16:19 24:1 34:18 41:21	zero 11:25
worked 71:8 74:23 96:20 99:23 120:7 156:21 working 29:11 29:11 37:23,23 52:8,14 55:23 56:13 63:5 71:5 71:9 95:22 100:8 works 89:22 124:16 146:25	y y 65:9 74:14,24 75:1 yeah 28:21 47:5 48:3,4 53:14 55:5 85:2 99:24 112:17 125:25 127:24 141:20 142:2 147:25 year 15:11 16:19 24:1	zero 11:25

New Jersey Rules Governing Civil Practice

Part IV, Rule 4:14

Depositions Upon Oral Examination

4:14-5. Submission to Witness; Changes; Signing If the officer at the taking of the deposition is a certified shorthand reporter, the witness shall not sign the deposition. If the officer is not a certified shorthand reporter, then unless reading and signing of the deposition are waived by stipulation of the parties, the officer shall request the deponent to appear at a stated time for the purpose of reading and signing it. At that time or at such later time as the officer and witness agree upon, the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for examination and shall be read to or by the witness, and any changes in form or substance which the witness desires to make shall be entered upon the deposition by the officer with a statement of the reasons given by the witness for making them. The deposition shall then be signed by the witness. If the witness fails to appear at the time stated or if the deposition is not signed by the witness, the officer shall sign it and state on the record the fact of the witness' failure or

refusal to sign, together with the reason, if any, given therefor; and the deposition may then be used as fully as though signed, unless on a motion to suppress under R. 4:16-4(d) the court holds that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the

foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete

transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers

as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal

Solutions further represents that the attached

exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete

documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or

attorneys in relation to this deposition and that

the documents were processed in accordance with

our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored

in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.